

HEAT EQUATION FROM A DETERMINISTIC DYNAMICS

GIOVANNI CANESTRARI, CARLANGEOLO LIVERANI, AND STEFANO OLLA

ABSTRACT. We derive the heat equation for the thermal energy under diffusive space-time scaling for a purely deterministic microscopic dynamics satisfying Newton's equations perturbed by an external deterministic chaotic force acting like a magnetic field.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. The deterministic model and the result	6
2.1. Comments on the results	11
3. Dynamics: basic facts	12
3.1. Functions controlled by the energy	12
3.2. Short time dynamics	14
4. Time evolution of averages	16
4.1. The stochastic generator and the main estimate	16
4.2. On the strategy for the proof of Theorem 4.2	18
4.3. Standard pairs and their evolution	19
4.4. Proof of Theorem 4.2	22
4.5. Average of a first order term	28
5. Hydrodynamic limit	31
5.1. The fluctuation-dissipation relation.	33
5.2. Local equilibrium	34
5.3. The dynamics of the covariance matrix	37
5.4. Equipartition	40
6. Proof of Main Theorem 2.7	41
6.1. Error estimate	42
Appendix A. Decay of correlations for expanding map	44
Appendix B. Coboundaries	49
Appendix C. Standard pairs invariance	51
Appendix D. Correlation estimates	54
Appendix E. Discrete gradient, Laplacian and Fourier	58
Appendix F. On the diffusion coefficient D	58
Appendix G. Compactness	59
List of Notations and Symbols	61
References	63

Date: December 5, 2025.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 70F45, 82C70, 82C22.

Key words and phrases. Energy Diffusion, Hydrodynamic Limits, Statistical properties of mixing dynamical systems.

1. INTRODUCTION

An outstanding conceptual issue, going back, at least, to Zeno and Democritus 2400 years ago, stems from the fact that the world around us behaves like a continuum (we describe it using partial differential equations), yet it consists of atoms, hence it is discrete in nature (as conclusively proven by Einstein [32] who showed how Brownian motion may emerge from the microscopic dynamics).

The derivation of macroscopic PDE evolution laws from microscopic ones has finally become a well-posed problem with the foundation of statistical mechanics by Boltzmann, Gibbs, and Maxwell. Thanks to the axiomatization of probability by Kolmogorov, this problem can now be seen as a purely mathematical one. The relevance of this line of research in mathematics has been acknowledged by Hilbert in his famous sixth problem [41].

The mathematical problem is outlined as follows: for dynamics with a large number of degrees of freedom, some conserved quantities (e.g. energy, momentum, density of particles) evolve slowly and, properly rescaling space and time, the evolution of their distributions is expected to converge to the solution of macroscopic hydrodynamic equations (such as Euler or heat equations). In contrast, all other observables are expected to exhibit fast fluctuations for generic systems. The observed macroscopic equation depends on the space-time scaling chosen. In dynamics where momentum is conserved, the first natural space-time scale is the hyperbolic one, and in this limit arises the Euler system of equations for a compressible gas. The first *formal* derivation of the Euler equation from the microscopic Hamiltonian dynamics of interacting particles was done by Morrey [52].

Currently, there is no rigorous derivation of the Euler equation from a completely deterministic Hamiltonian dynamics. The main problem is the lack of good ergodic properties of the large microscopic dynamics that guarantee that energy, momentum, and density of particles are the only conserved quantities that evolve on the macroscopic scale. Progress has been made only by adding to the dynamics conservative noise terms that destroy all other integrals of motion, like local random exchanges of velocities among particles [53, 36, 50, 37].

The mathematical problem is even more difficult in diffusive scaling. This arises in dynamics that do not conserve momentum or have initial conditions with null momentum. In this scaling, if density is fixed and energy is the only

This work was supported by the PRIN Grants “Regular and stochastic behavior in dynamical systems” (PRIN 2017S35EHN), “Stochastic properties of dynamical systems” (PRIN 2022NTKXCX), and by the MIUR Excellence Department Projects MatMod@TOV and Math@TOV awarded to the Department of Mathematics, University of Rome Tor Vergata, and by the Institut Universitaire de France. GC and CL acknowledge membership to the GNFM/INDAM. GC and CL acknowledge the hospitality of the Université Paris-Dauphine, where part of this work was done. We thank Cedric Bernardin for key discussions at the very beginning of this work. Finally, we thank the referees for a careful reading of the paper and many very valuable suggestions.

conserved quantity, the expected macroscopic equation is the heat equation

$$(1.1) \quad \partial_t e = \nabla D(e) \nabla e,$$

where $D(e)$ is the thermal diffusivity given by the Green-Kubo formula associated with the space-time correlations of the microscopic energy currents. For completely deterministic models, even the proof of the existence of the thermal diffusivity D is missing. In fact, (1.1) is not always valid, and in one dimensional systems with momentum conservation it is expected a superdiffusion of the energy driven by a fractional Laplacian, as confirmed by numerical simulation and similar superdiffusion proven with conservative noise (cf. [48, 5] and references within).

Important progress has been achieved in the so-called kinetic theory of gases through rarefaction density limits (the so-called Grad limit). We can mention the derivation of the Boltzmann equation for a gas in the low-density regime [47], the derivation of the hydrodynamic limit from the Boltzmann equation [19, 3, 56] and important recent progress concerning the direct derivation of the heat equation and fluctuations in the kinetic limit (cf. [11, 13, 12, 21] and references within). However, these results are relevant only for extremely rarefied gases.

For systems at fixed particle densities, some important results have been obtained in diffusive limits assuming the microscopic dynamics to be stochastic. This has led to a well-developed theory of hydrodynamic limit for stochastic microscopic dynamics, starting with the work of Fritz [35], Presutti and collaborators [18] and Varadhan et al. [39, 60]. See [58] for a more complete description of the large-scale limits and the main results and [44] for an exposition of some of the main relevant mathematical techniques. Still, when interactions are non-linear, the non-equilibrium diffusive behavior of energy remains an open problem even for stochastic dynamics. One of the main difficulties is that known methods often rely on entropy estimates that fail to control quadratic non-linearities (in particular energy). When stochastic perturbations are present, some results on the existence of thermal diffusivity $D(e)$ exist [9], and equilibrium fluctuations of the energy following linearized heat equations [54] are established.

Diffusive scaling limits have also been established for the densities of *independent* particles with very chaotic dynamics, starting with the seminal work of Bunimovich and Sinai on the evolution of the density of a periodic Lorentz gas [29]. See also [4, 16].

Even more special are (deterministic) *extended completely integrable systems* [59]. In particular, for a one dimensional system of hard rods, hydrodynamic limits have been proved in hyperbolic and diffusive timescale ([26, 27, 28, 34]). Since in these integrable extended systems there are infinitely many conserved quantities, in the diffusing scaling, after recentering on the hyperbolic evolution, a diffusive system of infinitely many equations arises for the evolution of the energies associated with each conserved quantity.

Another example of an extended, completely integrable system is a chain of harmonic oscillators. When this dynamics is perturbed by an energy conserving noise (like the random flip of the sign of the velocities), completely integrability is destroyed, and it is possible to prove the diffusive behavior of the energy (1.1) with a thermal diffusivity D independent of ϵ and explicitly computable [8, 6, 45]. Such noise is multiplicative, but the linearity of the dynamics allows to perform the proof by computing the covariances. Unfortunately, this yields a result only on the asymptotic behavior of the average density of the energy, and not as a law of large numbers, as no known technique allows the control of higher moments.

To date, no direct hydrodynamic result for the heat equation (energy conservation) at high-density is available for purely deterministic (Hamiltonian) interacting systems. In this paper, we introduce a mechanical model for which we can perform a diffusive scaling and show that, on average, the energy density evolves according to the heat Equation (1.1).

To our knowledge, this is the first proof that a mechanical model yields the heat equation in some appropriate scaling limit (without rarefaction), thereby showing that the heat equation can indeed be a consequence of deterministic microscopic classical mechanics.

The model is a harmonic chain in which each particle is subjected to a fast evolving external force acting like a magnetic field. Such a field has a deterministic but chaotic evolution. In particular, each particle has two degrees of freedom, and the external force acts by rotating the velocity vector. In this way, it does not change the energy of the particles. The basic idea is to consider a system with three time scales: the macroscopic scale in which we establish the heat equation, the microscopic one in which the evolution of the positions and velocities of the particles takes place, and a faster timescale describing the evolution of the external field (the *environment*). Hence, the microscopic dynamics can be seen as a fast-slow system. This particular mechanical model was suggested to us by Cedric Bernardin.

Our key idea is that the fast chaotic external forcing acts on the harmonic chain similarly to a stochastic noise that randomly rotates the velocities (similar to the noise in [8, 6, 45]). This phenomenon is intensively studied in fast-slow dynamical systems (under the name of averaging or homogenization for fast-slow systems), and some powerful techniques have been developed [30, 23, 42, 17]. In fact, we prove that, under proper scaling on the fast external field, in the diffusive limit the covariance matrix converges to the one of the corresponding stochastic dynamics. A main obstacle is the need to control, uniformly in the size of the system, the difference between the two time evolutions for a time much longer than that achieved in any existing result.

To obtain our result, we combine ideas inspired by the work of Dolgopyat [30] (see [23] for a simple presentation) and techniques coming from the theory of hydrodynamic limits of stochastic dynamics [45]. In particular,

we succeeded in controlling the speed at which the deterministic dynamics converges to the stochastic one in a precise quantitative manner and for times that increase with the size of the system (since we take a diffusive scaling). In a different context, a related point of view has been explored in [24, 22, 25, 49, 15]. Note, however, that in all previous work the fast-slow systems were always systems with few degrees of freedom, with the notable exception of [31], for which, however, no estimate of the speed of convergence is available. In contrast, here we succeed in controlling the speed of convergence uniformly in the size of the system. This is necessary in order to perform a hydrodynamic limit.

Although we could model the exterior force evolution by any sufficiently chaotic system, to simplify the presentation, we chose the simplest possible model (a smooth expanding map of the circle). This has the disadvantage that the dynamics is not reversible, but it has the big advantage of considerably reducing the technicalities, hence making the argument accessible also to people with no prior knowledge of dynamical systems. Indeed, this allowed us to make the paper essentially self-contained by adding only a couple of appendices; hence catering to a much larger audience. The alternative to having the evolution of the magnetic-like field modeled by a reversible Anosov map or an Anosov flow would have required the introduction of many other technical ideas, which would have clouded the main argument without changing the conceptual relevance of the result.

For the same reasons, we use periodic boundary conditions, the simplest possibility. However, our results can be extended to different boundary conditions, such as open systems in contact with a heat bath or periodic forcing, where proofs already exist for the corresponding stochastic dynamics [45]. The result can also be extended to the unpinned dynamics ($\omega_0 = 0$ in (2.1)), where more conserved quantities will appear, cf. [46] for the corresponding stochastic dynamics.

The effect of stationary magnetic fields acting on harmonic chains has also been studied in [57, 14, 10]. Our situation is very different, as the forcing is time-dependent but does not exchange energy with the system. Hence, contrary to the constant case, we do not consider the energy of this exterior field as part of the system. Finally, in [38] is proposed and numerically studied a discrete time dynamics where a time-dependent external field exchanges chaotically the momentum between nearest-neighbor particles conserving the energy, but without any other interaction in the dynamics.

2. THE DETERMINISTIC MODEL AND THE RESULT

For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}_N$,¹ $\theta = (\theta_x) \in \mathbb{T}^N$, $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, we denote by $q_x^{N,\varepsilon}(t)$, $p_x^{N,\varepsilon}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ the solution of the following ODE on $\mathbb{R}^{2N} \times \mathbb{R}^{2N}$,

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{q}_x^{N,\varepsilon} &= p_x^{N,\varepsilon}, \\ \dot{p}_x^{N,\varepsilon} &= (\Delta_{\mathbb{Z}_N} - \omega_0^2)q_x^{N,\varepsilon} + \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}b(\theta_x^\varepsilon(t))Jp_x^{N,\varepsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

where²

$$\begin{aligned} b &\in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}), \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \Delta_{\mathbb{Z}_N} q_x^{N,\varepsilon} &= q_{x+1}^{N,\varepsilon} + q_{x-1}^{N,\varepsilon} - 2q_x^{N,\varepsilon}; \quad \omega_0 > 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \theta_x^\varepsilon(t) = f^{\lfloor t\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor}(\theta_x),$$

where $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{T})$, $f' \geq \lambda > 1$.

Equation (2.1) corresponds to the classical evolution of a periodic harmonic chain in which each particle is subject to a fast evolving external magnetic-like field. In particular, each particle has two degrees of freedom, and its motion is restricted to a plane, while the external “magnetic” field is perpendicular to the planes of the particles.

The choice of an expanding map is motivated by its strong statistical properties. In particular, by the fast decay of correlations. Moreover, the discrete-time dynamical system (f, \mathbb{T}) has a unique absolutely continuous invariant probability measure (called SRB measure); see Appendix A, e.g. Lemma A.3 and Remark A.4, for details. That is, calling ρ_\star the invariant density, for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^0(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$,

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi(f(\theta))\rho_\star(\theta)d\theta = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi(\theta)\rho_\star(\theta)d\theta.$$

For simplicity, we assume that

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} b(\theta)\rho_\star(\theta)d\theta = 0,$$

i.e, the fluctuations of the external field are zero-average.

Remark 2.1. *If b were not zero average, this would be equivalent to the presence of an additional very strong static magnetic field. Hence, the particles would move in very tight spirals. This would not change the essence of the result, as it would not contribute to the energy transport, but would substantially complicate the exposition.*

¹We assume *periodic boundary conditions*, in the sense that the site $x = N + 1$ coincides with the site $x = 1$.

²To simplify notations, we set $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T}^1$.

The following sum of correlations will play an essential role

$$(2.5) \quad \gamma = \int_{\mathbb{T}} b(\theta)^2 \rho_{\star}(\theta) d\theta + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}} b(\theta) b(f^k(\theta)) \rho_{\star}(\theta) d\theta.$$

Since $b \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$, Lemma A.3 (with $\rho = b\rho_{\star}$ and $g = b$), and Equation (2.4) imply that $\gamma < \infty$. Notice that γ is a variance (see Lemma B.1).

In addition, if we assume that there exists a periodic orbit $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$, $x_p = x_0$, such that

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{n=1}^p b(x_n) \neq 0,$$

then Lemma B.2 (see also the subsequent discussion in Appendix B) implies that $\gamma > 0$.

Notation 2.1. *In the following we will drop N, ε from the notation, and we denote $\mathbf{p} = (p_x)_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$, $\mathbf{q} = (q_x)_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ and $z = (\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$, unless it creates ambiguities.*

We define the single particle energy and the total energy,

$$(2.7) \quad \mathfrak{e}_x(z) = \frac{p_x^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(q_x - q_{x-1})^2 + \frac{\omega_0^2 q_x^2}{2}; \quad \mathcal{E}_N(z) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \mathfrak{e}_x(z).$$

Here and below, given any vectors $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we often write v^2 for $\|v\|^2$ and vu for $\langle v, u \rangle$.

Since Equations (2.1) are linear, we can rescale q, p so that $\mathcal{E}_N = N$. Consequently, given that the total energy is conserved (see Lemma 3.1), the evolution takes place on the energy shell

$$(2.8) \quad \Sigma_N = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{4N} : \mathcal{E}_N(z) = N\}.$$

Notation 2.2. *Let $(z(t, \bar{z}, \bar{\theta}), \theta(t, \bar{\theta})) = \phi^t(\bar{z}, \bar{\theta})$ be defined by (2.1) and (2.2) with initial conditions $(z(0, \bar{z}, \bar{\theta}), \theta(0, \bar{\theta})) = (\bar{z}, \bar{\theta})$. If the initial conditions are clear from the context, we may write $z(t), \theta(t)$ to simplify the notation. Also, given $A \in \mathcal{C}^0(\Sigma_N \times \mathbb{T}^N, \mathbb{R})$ we will write*

$$A(t) = A(z(t), \theta(t)) = A(z(t, \bar{z}, \bar{\theta}), \theta(t, \bar{\theta})) = A \circ \phi^t(\bar{z}, \bar{\theta}),$$

and, given a measure ν on $\Sigma_N \times \mathbb{T}^N$, we use the notions

$$\mathbb{E}_{\nu}(A(t)) = \nu(A(t)) = \int_{\Sigma_N \times \mathbb{T}^N} A(z(t, \bar{z}, \bar{\theta}), \theta(t, \bar{\theta})) \nu(d\bar{z}, d\bar{\theta}).$$

Finally, $\phi_{\star}^t \nu$ is the usual push forward of the measure ν by the map ϕ^t .

The last crucial ingredient to specify is the class of initial conditions. As we are looking for statistical properties, we have to start with an initial condition described by a probability measure. This is necessary since the dynamics is deterministic and if one considers a deterministic initial condition, there would be no stochastic behavior whatsoever. Moreover, we want measures that behave reasonably well in the thermodynamic limit.

Definition 2.2. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{init} = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{init}(N, C_0)$, $C_0 > 0$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, be the weak closure of the probability measures μ_N on $\Sigma_N \times \mathbb{T}^N$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$(2.9) \quad d\mu_N(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, \theta) = \bar{\mu}_N(d\mathbf{q}, d\mathbf{p}) \rho_N(\theta) d\theta,$$

where $\rho_N(\theta) = \prod_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \rho_{x,N}(\theta_x)$, with $\rho_{x,N} \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{T})$ such that

$$(2.10) \quad \sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \sup_{\theta_x \in \mathbb{T}} \frac{|\partial_{\theta_x} \rho_{x,N}(\theta_x)|}{\rho_{x,N}(\theta_x)} \leq C_0,$$

and $\bar{\mu}_N$ is any probability measure on Σ_N . Furthermore, we denote by \mathbb{E}_{μ_N} the expectation with respect to μ_N .

Remark 2.3. In Definition 2.2 we only demand some regularity in the initial distribution of θ , while we can choose $\bar{\mu}_N(d\mathbf{q}, d\mathbf{p})$ as singular as desired, even deterministic. The product structure of the measure is used only to simplify the presentation. In fact, since product measures are not invariant by the dynamics, we will be forced to introduce a much larger class of initial conditions. Unfortunately, its introduction requires several technical definitions. Hence, here we prefer to present a simple, but already quite general, class of measures and postpone to Definition 4.7 the precise definition of the set $\mathcal{M}_{init} \supset \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{init}$ of measures for which our results are valid (the inclusion is proven in Lemma 4.8). Notably, we will have $\phi_\star^t \mathcal{M}_{init} \subset \mathcal{M}_{init}$, for all $t \geq 0$, (Theorem 4.9).

Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$ be the set of probability measures on \mathbb{T} , endowed with the weak topology. We are interested in sequences of initial distributions $\mu_N \in \mathcal{M}_{init}(N)$ corresponding to a macroscopic energy distribution $\mathcal{E}_0(du) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$. See Definition 4.7 for the precise definition of $\mathcal{M}_{init} \supset \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{init}$, and Remark 4.10 for our use of the notation.

Definition 2.4. The class of initial conditions \mathcal{M}_{init}^\star consists of the sequences $(\mu_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\mu_N \in \mathcal{M}_{init}(N)$, such that

$$(2.11) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N} \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) \mathbf{e}_x(z(0)) \right] = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi(u) \mathcal{E}_0(du),$$

for some $\mathcal{E}_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$ and any $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^0(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$.

We need to introduce a quantitative version of (2.11) to state our result. Given $(\mu_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{M}_{init}^\star$, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \varpi(k, N, \mu_N) &= \left| \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N} \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} e^{2\pi i k \frac{x}{N}} \mathbf{e}_x(z(0)) \right] - \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{2\pi i k u} \mathcal{E}_0(du) \right|, \\ \Psi(N; \mu_N) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} k^{-7} \varpi(N, k, \mu_N). \end{aligned}$$

We call $\Psi(N; \mu_N)$ the convergence rate to the energy profile associated with the sequence of measures $(\mu_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{M}_{init}^\star$.

Remark 2.5. *If the initial profile of energies has a smooth density, i.e. $\mathcal{E}_0(du) = e_0(u)du$, and the initial distribution satisfies $\mathbb{E}_{\mu_N}(\mathbf{e}_x(0)) = e_0\left(\frac{x}{N}\right)$, then $\Psi(N; \mu_N) \leq \frac{C}{N}$, with a constant C depending on the regularity of e_0 .*

More generally, Equation (2.11), with the choice $\varphi(x) = e^{2\pi i k x}$, implies that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \varpi(k, N, \mu_N) = 0$ and, consequently,

$$(2.13) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(N; \mu_N) = 0.$$

However, the convergence in (2.11) could be arbitrarily slow. Since we aim for a quantitative result, we need to quantify the speed of convergence, and hence the utility of Ψ .

In order to formulate our main result, we introduce the measure-valued heat equation with initial condition $\mathcal{E}_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$,

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathcal{E} &= \frac{D}{2\gamma} \partial_u^2 \mathcal{E}, \quad u \in (0, 1), \\ \mathcal{E}(0, du) &= \mathcal{E}_0(du), \end{aligned}$$

where γ is given by (2.5) and $D = \frac{2}{2 + \omega_0^2 + \omega_0 \sqrt{\omega_0^2 + 4}}$, see Appendix F.

Definition 2.6. *We say that a function $\mathcal{E} : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$ is a (weak, measure-valued) solution of (2.14) if: it belongs to $\mathcal{C}^0([0, +\infty), \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T}))$ and for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$, we have*

$$(2.15) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi(u) \mathcal{E}(t, du) - \int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi(u) \mathcal{E}_0(du) = \frac{D}{2\gamma} \int_0^t ds \int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi''(u) \mathcal{E}(s, du).$$

It is also well known that for any $t > 0$ the measure $\mathcal{E}(t, du)$ has a smooth density, and that the solution of (2.15) is unique. Consequently, for $t > 0$, $\mathcal{E}(t, du) = \mathcal{E}(t, u)du$, where $\mathcal{E}(t, u)$ is a strong solution of (2.14). We can finally state our main result.

Theorem 2.7 (Main Theorem). *For each sequence $(\varepsilon_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$, such that $\varepsilon_N \leq N^{-\alpha}$, $\alpha > 6$, and for any sequence of initial probability measures $(\mu_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{M}_{init}^*$ there exist $C_\star, \tilde{D} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that, for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^7(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \geq 0} \left| \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N} \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) \mathbf{e}_x(z(N^2 t)) \right] - \int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi(u) \mathcal{E}(t, du) \right| \\ \leq C_\star \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}^7} \left\{ e^{-\tilde{D}t} \Psi(N; \mu_N) + N^{-\beta_\star} (\ln N)^2 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{E}(t, du)$ is the unique solution of (2.14), with initial data $\mathcal{E}_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$; $z(t)$ is the solution of (2.1) with initial measures $(\mu_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ that satisfy (2.11) with the same \mathcal{E}_0 ; $\Psi(N; \mu_N)$ is defined in (2.12) and $\beta_\star = \min\{1, \frac{\alpha}{6} - 1\}$.

The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.7. Such a proof, after several preliminaries, is concluded in section 6.1. Before starting, let us state an important corollary of Theorem 2.7.

The corollary states that on time scales shorter than N^2 the energy does not evolve; on the time scale N^2 the energy evolves according to the heat equation, and on longer time scales the energy is uniformly distributed.

Corollary 2.8. *For any $(\mu_N)_N \in \mathcal{M}_{init}^*$, $\varepsilon_N \leq N^{-\alpha}$, $\alpha > 6$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and $\beta > 0$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N}(\mathfrak{e}_x(z(N^{2-\beta}t))) \delta_{\frac{x}{N}} &= \mathcal{E}_0 \\ \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N}(\mathfrak{e}_x(z(N^2t))) \delta_{\frac{x}{N}} &= \mathcal{E}(t) \\ \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N}(\mathfrak{e}_x(z(N^{2+\beta}t))) \delta_{\frac{x}{N}} &= \text{Leb}, \end{aligned}$$

where the limits are meant in the weak topology.

Proof. For each $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^0(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ and $\delta > 0$ let $\varphi_\delta \in \mathcal{C}^7(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ be such that $\|\varphi - \varphi_\delta\|_\infty \leq \delta$. By Theorem 2.7, for each $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N} \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \varphi \left(\frac{x}{N} \right) \mathfrak{e}_x(z(N^{2+\beta}t)) \right] - \int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi(u) \mathcal{E}(N^\beta t, du) \right| &\leq 2\delta \\ &+ C_\star \left\{ \Psi(N; \mu_N) + N^{-\beta_\star} (\ln N)^2 \right\} \|\varphi_\delta\|_{\mathcal{C}^7}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, recalling (2.13) and the arbitrariness of δ , we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N} \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \varphi \left(\frac{x}{N} \right) \mathfrak{e}_x(z(N^{2+\beta}t)) \right] - \int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi(u) \mathcal{E}(N^\beta t, du) \right| = 0.$$

If $\beta = 0$, the second statement of the Lemma follows. If $\beta < 0$, then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi(u) \mathcal{E}(N^\beta t, du) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi(u) \mathcal{E}_0(du)$$

yielding the first statement. If $\beta > 0$, then the last statement follows, since³

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi(u) \mathcal{E}(N^\beta t, du) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi(u) du. \quad \square$$

³One can easily check it by studying the behavior of Equation (2.14) when applied to the Fourier modes $e^{2\pi i k x}$.

2.1. Comments on the results.

We conclude this section with some comments on the ideas behind the result and its possible generalizations.

The microscopic Equation (2.1) depends on two parameters ε, N . The thermodynamics limit corresponds to the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, therefore the final objective would be to study the evolution of the energy at time $N^2 t$ in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ for ε small, but fixed. This is an extremely hard problem that we do not know how to tackle. On the other extreme, there is the possibility of first taking the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ at N fixed and then the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$. The first limit should yield the stochastic differential equations

$$(2.16) \quad d\tilde{q}_x = \tilde{p}_x dt, \quad d\tilde{p}_x = (\Delta - \omega_0^2) \tilde{q}_x dt - \gamma \tilde{p}_x dt + \sqrt{2\gamma} J \tilde{p}_x dw_x(t),$$

where $\Delta \tilde{q}_x = \tilde{q}_{x+1} + \tilde{q}_{x-1} - 2\tilde{q}_x$ with periodic boundary, and $\{w_x(t)\}_{x=1}^N$ are independent one-dimensional standard Wiener processes. The SDEs (2.16) are written in the Ito's formulation. In the Stratonovitch formulation, they read

$$(2.17) \quad d\tilde{q}_x = \tilde{p}_x dt, \quad d\tilde{p}_x = (\Delta - \omega_0^2) \tilde{q}_x dt + \sqrt{2\gamma} J \tilde{p}_x \circ dw_x(t).$$

which is more suggestive of the relation with (2.1). The study of the hydrodynamic limit for (2.16) is similar to existing works in the hydrodynamic limit (for example, in [45] the hydrodynamic limit is proven for a related stochastic dynamics with a different noise term). Such a strategy has been pursued in more general models (e.g. [31, 51]). Unfortunately, for such models, the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ still presents obstacles, even the Green-Kubo relation can be obtained only formally [7].

However, the latter limit is rather non-physical. One can interpolate between the above two cases by choosing $\varepsilon = N^{-\alpha}$, this is what we do. Clearly, the smaller α the closer to the ideal goal.

The condition $\alpha > 6$ in Theorem 2.7 is not optimal. Including more terms in the expansion stated in Lemma 3.5 one could probably establish the same result for a smaller α , at the cost of considerably more work. However, to have a result in which ε does not vanish when $N \rightarrow \infty$ seems to require substantially new ideas. So, at this point, we did not push for optimality.

Our results would also apply to a model where f, b depend on x , as long as they all satisfy some obvious uniformity conditions (e.g. (2.4) and (2.5) with $\gamma_x = \gamma$). We refrain from such a generalization to simplify the exposition. In contrast, choosing a specific f , e.g., a doubling map, would not simplify the paper in any relevant way.

Another valid critique is that the results contained in Theorem 2.7 and its corollary concern only the expected value of the energy density field. In order to obtain a law of large numbers (i.e. a convergence in probability), we would need some control of higher moments, while we only have bounds on the second moments. This problem affects all existing results of diffusive hydrodynamic limits with energy conservation, even for stochastic dynamics (e.g. [45]).

3. DYNAMICS: BASIC FACTS

Recalling $z = (\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$, $\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{2N}$, $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^N$, we can write Equation (2.1) as

$$(3.1) \quad \dot{z}(t) = \left(-\mathbb{A} + \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(t, \theta) \right) z(t),$$

where

$$(3.2) \quad \mathbb{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{0} & -\mathbb{1} \\ -\Delta + \omega_0^2 \mathbb{1} & \mathbb{0} \end{pmatrix}_{4N \times 4N}, \quad \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(t, \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{0} & \mathbb{0} \\ \mathbb{0} & \mathbf{B}_\varepsilon(t, \theta) \end{pmatrix}_{4N \times 4N}.$$

Here, $\mathbb{0}$ is the null $2N \times 2N$ matrix, Δ and $\mathbf{B}_\varepsilon(t, \theta)$ are $2N \times 2N$ dimensional, and $\mathbb{1}$ is the identity matrix of the appropriate dimension. More precisely,

$$\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} -2\mathbb{1} & \mathbb{1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \mathbb{1} \\ \mathbb{1} & -2\mathbb{1} & \mathbb{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{1} & -2\mathbb{1} & \mathbb{1} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \mathbb{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \mathbb{1} & -2\mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{B}_\varepsilon(t, \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} b(f^{\lfloor t\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_1) J & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & b(f^{\lfloor t\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_2) J & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & b(f^{\lfloor t\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_N) J \end{pmatrix}.$$

Recall the configuration set Σ_N defined by (2.8), and denote by

$$z(t, \bar{z}, \bar{\theta}) = (\mathbf{q}(t, \bar{z}, \bar{\theta}), \mathbf{p}(t, \bar{z}, \bar{\theta}))$$

the solution of (3.1) with initial conditions $\bar{z} \in \Sigma_N$ and $\bar{\theta} \in \mathbb{T}^N$.

Lemma 3.1. *For all $\bar{z} \in \Sigma_N$, $\bar{\theta} \in \mathbb{T}^N$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we have $z(t, \bar{z}, \bar{\theta}) \in \Sigma_N$.*

Proof. We define the current as

$$(3.3) \quad j_{x,x+1} = -p_x(q_{x+1} - q_x).$$

Recalling the definition of the energy (2.7) and the Equations (2.1), we have

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{e}_x = j_{x-1,x} - j_{x,x+1}.$$

Note that the above local energy balance does not depend explicitly on the magnetic field. The above implies $\frac{d}{dt} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \mathbf{e}_x = 0$ and consequently $\mathcal{E}_N(z(t, \bar{z}, \bar{\theta})) = \mathcal{E}_N(\bar{z}) = N$. \square

3.1. Functions controlled by the energy.

Given the usual norm in \mathbb{R}^{4N}

$$\|z\| = \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \rangle},$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the standard scalar product, we have, for $z \in \Sigma_N$,

$$(3.5) \quad C_{\#}^{-1} \sqrt{N} \leq \|z\| \leq C_{\#} \sqrt{N}.$$

Let $A \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Sigma_N, \mathbb{R})$ and define the following seminorms,⁴

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \|A\|_0 &= \sup_{z \in \Sigma_N} |A(z)|, \\ \|A\|_1 &= \sup_{z \in \Sigma_N} \|DA(z)\|, \\ \|A\|_2 &= \sup_{z \in \Sigma_N} \sup_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{4N}} \frac{\|D^2A(z)\mathbf{v}\|}{\|\mathbf{v}\|}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.2. Let \mathfrak{E}_N be the set of degree-two polynomials on Σ_N . For each $A \in \mathfrak{E}_N$ we define

$$(3.7) \quad \|A\| = \max \left\{ \frac{\|A\|_0}{N}, \frac{\|A\|_1}{\sqrt{N}}, \|A\|_2 \right\}.$$

Denote by $S_N = S_N(z)$ the covariance matrix

$$(3.8) \quad S_N = \begin{bmatrix} S_N^{(q)} & S_N^{(q,p)} \\ S_N^{(p,q)} & S_N^{(p)} \end{bmatrix}_{2N \times 2N},$$

where

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} [S_N^{(q)}]_{x,y} &= \langle q_x, q_y \rangle, & [S_N^{(q,p)}]_{x,y} &= \langle q_x, p_y \rangle, \\ [S_N^{(p)}]_{x,y} &= \langle p_x, p_y \rangle & \text{and} & S_N^{(p,q)} = [S_N^{(q,p)}]^T. \end{aligned}$$

We will need to consider only the following subset of elements of \mathfrak{E}_N . Given any $2N \times 2N$ constant matrix β_N let

$$(3.10) \quad A_N(z) = \text{Trace}(\beta_N S_N(z)),$$

clearly $A_N \in \mathfrak{E}_N$. In addition,

$$\|A_N\|_0 \leq C_\# \|\beta_N\| N, \quad \|A_N\|_1 \leq C_\# \|\beta_N\| \sqrt{N}, \quad \|A_N\|_2 \leq C_\# \|\beta_N\|.$$

Thus,

$$(3.11) \quad \|A_N\| \leq C_\# \|\beta_N\|.$$

Remark 3.3. By the linearity of (3.10), the bound (3.11) also holds for $\bar{A}_N = \int A_N(z) d\mu(z)$, for any probability measure μ on Σ_N .

We conclude the subsection with a simple fact.

Lemma 3.4. For all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^N$, $\max\{\|\mathbb{A}\|, \|\mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(t, \theta)\|\} \leq C_\#$.

Proof. Since $(q_{x+1} + q_{x-1} - (\omega_0^2 + 2)q_x)^2 \leq 3(q_{x+1}^2 + q_{x-1}^2 + (\omega_0^2 + 2)^2 q_x^2)$,

$$\|\mathbb{A}z\|^2 = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} p_x^2 + (q_{x+1} + q_{x-1} - (\omega_0^2 + 2)q_x)^2 \leq 9(\omega_0^2 + 2)^2 \|z\|^2.$$

⁴ $DA = (\partial_{z_i} A)$ and $D^2A = (\partial_{z_i} \partial_{z_j} A)$.

Also, since $\left(b(f^{t\varepsilon^{-1}}\theta_x)Jp_x\right)^2 \leq \|b\|_\infty^2 p_x^2$,

$$\|\mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(t, \theta)z\|^2 = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} (b(f^{\lfloor t\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x)Jp_x)^2 \leq \|b\|_\infty^2 \|z\|^2.$$

□

3.2. Short time dynamics.

The next Lemma is a boring, but useful, expansion.

Lemma 3.5. *For any $A \in \mathfrak{C}_N$, $\bar{z} \in \Sigma_N$, $\bar{\theta} \in \mathbb{T}^N$, $h \in (\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}})$,*

$$\begin{aligned} A(z(h, \bar{z}, \bar{\theta})) &= A(\bar{z}) - \langle DA(\bar{z}), \mathbb{A}\bar{z} \rangle h \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^h \langle DA(\bar{z}), \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(s, \bar{\theta})\bar{z} \rangle ds \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^{-1} \int_0^h \int_0^s \langle DA(\bar{z}), \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(s, \bar{\theta})\mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(u, \bar{\theta})\bar{z} \rangle ds du \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^{-1} \int_0^h \int_0^s \langle D^2 A(\bar{z}) \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(u, \bar{\theta})\bar{z}, \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(s, \bar{\theta})\bar{z} \rangle ds du \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}\left(\|A\| N \left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}} h^3\right)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Proof. Since the initial condition $\bar{\theta}$ will not play any role in this proof, we will omit it. By the fundamental theorem of calculus and the chain rule,

$$A(z(h, \bar{z})) = A(\bar{z}) + \int_0^h \left\langle DA(z(s, \bar{z})), \left(-\mathbb{A} + \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(s)\right) z(s, \bar{z}) \right\rangle ds. \tag{3.13}$$

Using the previous equation for $A_i(z) = z_i$, $i \in \{1, \dots, 4N\}$, by Lemma 3.4, we have

$$\|z(h, \bar{z}) - \bar{z}\| \leq C_\# h \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{N}. \tag{3.14}$$

Next, for $A \in \mathfrak{E}_N$, we apply again the chain rule to $DA(z(s, \bar{z}))$ and use that D^2A is constant, obtaining

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.15) \quad A(z(h, \bar{z})) &= A(\bar{z}) - \int_0^h \langle DA(\bar{z}), \mathbb{A}z(s, \bar{z}) \rangle ds \\
 &+ \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^h \langle DA(\bar{z}), \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(s)z(s, \bar{z}) \rangle ds \\
 &+ \varepsilon^{-1} \int_0^h \int_0^s \langle D^2A \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(u)z(u, \bar{z}), \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(s)z(s, \bar{z}) \rangle ds du \\
 &+ \int_0^h \int_0^s \langle D^2A \mathbb{A}z(u, \bar{z}), \mathbb{A}z(s, \bar{z}) \rangle ds du \\
 &- \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^h \int_0^s \langle D^2A \mathbb{A}z(u, \bar{z}), \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(s)z(s, \bar{z}) \rangle ds du \\
 &- \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^h \int_0^s \langle D^2A \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(u)z(u, \bar{z}), \mathbb{A}z(s, \bar{z}) \rangle ds du.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us study each term separately. First, note that

$$\int_0^h \langle DA(\bar{z}), \mathbb{A}z(s, \bar{z}) \rangle ds = \langle DA(\bar{z}), \mathbb{A}\bar{z} \rangle h + \mathcal{O}(h^2 \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} N \|A\|)$$

where we have used (3.14) and Lemma 3.4. The second line of (3.15) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^h \langle DA(\bar{z}), \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(s)z(s, \bar{z}) \rangle ds &= \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^h \langle DA(\bar{z}), \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(s)\bar{z} \rangle ds \\
 &+ \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^h \int_0^s \left\langle DA(\bar{z}), \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(s) \left(-\mathbb{A} + \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(u) \right) z(u, \bar{z}) \right\rangle ds du \\
 &= -\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^h \langle DA(\bar{z}), \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(s)\mathbb{A}\bar{z} \rangle ds + \varepsilon^{-1} \int_0^h \int_0^s \langle DA(\bar{z}), \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(s)\mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(u)\bar{z} \rangle ds du \\
 &+ \mathcal{O}(\|A\| N h^3 \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}}).
 \end{aligned}$$

The third line of (3.15) is equal to, by (3.14),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varepsilon^{-1} \int_0^h \int_0^s \langle D^2A \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(u)z(u, \bar{z}), \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(s)z(s, \bar{z}) \rangle ds du \\
 &= \varepsilon^{-1} \int_0^h \int_0^s \langle D^2A \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(u)\bar{z}, \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(s)\bar{z} \rangle ds du + \mathcal{O}(\|A\|_2 N h^4 \varepsilon^{-2}), \\
 &= \varepsilon^{-1} \int_0^h \int_0^s \langle D^2A \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(u)\bar{z}, \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(s)\bar{z} \rangle ds du + \mathcal{O}(\|A\| N h^3 \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}}),
 \end{aligned}$$

where, in the last line, we have used $h \in (\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}})$. The remaining terms of (3.15) can be estimated similarly and yield smaller errors. \square

We conclude the section with a simple consequence.

Corollary 3.6. *For any $A \in \mathfrak{E}_N$, $\bar{z} \in \Sigma_N$, $\bar{\theta} \in \mathbb{T}^N$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $h \in (\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}})$,*

$$\int_t^{t+h} A(z(u, \bar{z}, \bar{\theta})) du = A(z(t, \bar{z}, \bar{\theta}))h + \mathcal{O}\left(\|A\| Nh^2 \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)$$

4. TIME EVOLUTION OF AVERAGES

Our goal is to study the evolution of energy averages. To this end, it is useful to have a class of measures that behaves well under the dynamics and allows us to do something equivalent to conditioning for stochastic processes.

This class of measures has a long history and multiple incarnations, starting at least with the work of Pesin and Sinai [55]. However, it has reached maturity in the formulation of Dolgopyat [30], under the name of *standard pairs*. In Section 4.3 we introduce the idea of standard pairs and show how to adapt it to the present context.

We will show that the evolution of the average with respect to a standard pair is similar to a stochastic evolution. Hence, to state the result, we need first to describe the generator of such a stochastic process.

4.1. The stochastic generator and the main estimate.

The stochastic generator associated with the process defined by (2.16) is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G} &= \mathcal{A} + \gamma \mathcal{S} \\ \mathcal{A} &= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} (p_x \partial_{q_x} + (\Delta q_x - \omega_0^2 q_x) \partial_{p_x}) \\ \mathcal{S} &= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} (p_{x,2} \partial_{p_{x,1}} - p_{x,1} \partial_{p_{x,2}})^2 = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} (J p_x \partial_{p_x})^2, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where $\gamma > 0$ is given by (2.5). We will not directly use the SDEs (2.16) or (2.17), but only the generator \mathcal{G} . A useful first estimate on the generator is provided by the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $A \in \mathfrak{E}_N$, then $\mathcal{G}A \in \mathfrak{E}_N$ and $\|\mathcal{G}A\| \leq C_{\#} \|A\|$.*

Proof. By Equations (4.1) we can write

$$\mathcal{G}A(z) = \langle DA(z), \mathbb{A}z \rangle - \gamma \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \left\langle \frac{\partial A}{\partial p_x}, p_x \right\rangle + \gamma \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial p_x^2} J p_x, J p_x \right\rangle. \tag{4.2}$$

and the Lemma follows noticing that $\mathcal{G}A$ is still a degree two polynomial and recalling Definition 3.2 and Lemma 3.4. \square

The main result of this section is the following theorem, whose proof is postponed to Section 4.4. Note that the result is stated for the set of initial conditions $\mathcal{M}_{\text{init}} \supset \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{init}}$ that will be defined in Definition 4.7.

Theorem 4.2. *For all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon \in (0, N^{-6})$, $h \in (\varepsilon^{\frac{5}{6}}, 2\varepsilon^{\frac{5}{6}})$, $\mu_N \in \mathcal{M}_{init}$, $t \geq h$, and $A \in \mathfrak{E}_N$,⁵*

$$\left| \mu_N(A(t+h)) - \mu_N(A(t)) - \int_t^{t+h} \mu_N(\mathcal{G}A(s)) ds \right| \leq C_{\#} \mathfrak{R}_A(h, \varepsilon, N)h,$$

where

$$(4.3) \quad \mathfrak{R}_A(h, \varepsilon, N) = \|A\| N \left(h^2 \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}} + \varepsilon (\ln \varepsilon^{-1})^2 h^{-1} \right).$$

The above theorem quantifies the sense in which the deterministic process is close to the stochastic process (2.16) determined by the generator \mathcal{G} . Indeed, if \mathfrak{R}_A were zero for all A in the domain of \mathcal{G} , then Dynkin's formula would imply that the process is exactly (2.16).

Before proving Theorem 4.2, we first explain how it will be used and then introduce the basic tool needed in the proof.

Corollary 4.3. *Let μ_N , A and ε be as in Theorem 4.2. For any $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \mu_N(\mathcal{G}A(N^2s)) ds &= \frac{\mu_N(A(N^2t)) - \mu_N(A(0))}{N^2} \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O} \left(\|A\| \left(t \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{6}} (\ln \varepsilon^{-1})^2 N + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{3}} N^{-1} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For each $h \in (\varepsilon^{\frac{5}{6}}, 2\varepsilon^{\frac{5}{6}})$, we can write

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^t \mu_N(\mathcal{G}A(N^2s)) ds &= \frac{1}{N^2} \left(\int_0^{\min\{h, tN^2\}} \mu_N(\mathcal{G}A(s)) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\min\{h, tN^2\}}^{tN^2} \mu_N(\mathcal{G}A(s)) ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 4.1, we have

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^{\min\{h, tN^2\}} \frac{\mu_N(\mathcal{G}A(s))}{N^2} ds \right| &\leq N^{-2} \|\mathcal{G}A\|_{\infty} \min\{h, tN^2\} \\ &\leq N^{-1} \|A\| \min\{h, tN^2\} \leq \frac{2\varepsilon^{\frac{5}{6}}}{N} \|A\|. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.5) we have $\|z(s, \bar{z})\| \leq C_{\#} \sqrt{N}$. By Equations (3.13) and (3.7), one gets that

$$(4.6) \quad \left| \frac{\mu_N(A(\min\{h, tN^2\})) - \mu_N(A(0))}{N^2} \right| \leq C_{\#} h \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\|A\|}{N} \leq C_{\#} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{3}} \frac{\|A\|}{N}.$$

If $t \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{5}{6}} N^{-2} < h N^{-2}$, Equations (4.5) and (4.6) prove the statement.

If $t > \varepsilon^{\frac{5}{6}} N^{-2}$, then we can choose $h \in (\varepsilon^{\frac{5}{6}}, 2\varepsilon^{\frac{5}{6}})$ such that $\frac{N^2 t}{h} = K \in \mathbb{N}$.

⁵Recall notation 2.2.

Then, by Theorem 4.2, the second term of (4.4) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} \int_{kh}^{(k+1)h} \mu_N(\mathcal{G}A(s)) ds = \\
 (4.7) \quad & = \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} \frac{[\mu_N(A((k+1)h)) - \mu_N(A(kh)) + h\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{R}_A(h, \varepsilon, N))]}{N^2} \\
 & = \frac{\mu_N(A(N^2t)) - \mu_N(A(h))}{N^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{h(K-1)}{N^2} \mathfrak{R}_A(h, \varepsilon, N)\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Equation (4.3) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.8) \quad & \frac{h(K-1)}{N^2} \mathfrak{R}_A(h, \varepsilon, N) \leq t \|A\| N \left(h^2 \varepsilon^{-3/2} + \varepsilon (\ln \varepsilon^{-1})^2 h^{-1} \right) \\
 & \leq t \|A\| N \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{6}} (\ln \varepsilon^{-1})^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (4.7) and (4.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.9) \quad & \int_0^t \mu_N(\mathcal{G}A(N^2s)) ds = N^{-2} \int_0^{N^2t} \mu_N(\mathcal{G}A(s)) ds \\
 & = \frac{\mu_N(A(N^2t)) - \mu_N(A(h))}{N^2} \\
 & \quad + \mathcal{O}\left(\|A\| \left(t \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{6}} (\ln \varepsilon^{-1})^2 N + N^{-1} \varepsilon^{\frac{5}{6}} \right)\right),
 \end{aligned}$$

concluding the proof. \square

4.2. On the strategy for the proof of Theorem 4.2.

Suppose that we start, at $t = 0$, with an initial distribution $\mu_N \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{init}}$ of the type (2.9) but with a deterministic configuration $\bar{z} = (\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{p}}) \in \Sigma_N$, i.e. $\mu_N(d\mathbf{q}, d\mathbf{p}) = \delta_{\bar{z}}$. Thanks to the regularity of $\rho_N(\theta)$, we can prove Theorem 4.2 even if the support of $\rho_N(\theta)$ is very small, let's say of the order of ε^{16} . This happens because the time interval $(0, h)$ is much longer than ε (since we choose $h \sim \varepsilon^{5/6}$), so that there is plenty of time for the fast dynamics to converge to its invariant measure and produce the correlations appearing in (3.12) (this will happen in a time of order $\varepsilon \ln \varepsilon^{-1}$, since f is a smooth expanding map, see Lemma A.3). On the other hand $h \ll \varepsilon^{1/2}$, so that the configuration of (\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) at time h changes very little from the initial configuration.

To extend the argument at time of order N^2 , we have to iterate the estimate for $N^2 h^{-1}$ time intervals of length h , as we have done in the proof of Corollary 4.3, see (4.7). The difficulty is that at the time h the configuration of the positions and velocities is a function of the initial θ :

$$(4.10) \quad z(h) = G(\bar{z}, \theta) \in \Sigma_N.$$

Although $G(\bar{z}, \theta)$ is very close to \bar{z} , to iterate, we would need the distribution of θ conditioned to a particular configuration in $z(h)$ to have the density with

regularity as the original ρ_N . This is false, in general. So, to iterate, we cannot simply condition on the (\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) configuration.

Accordingly, we need to find a set of probability measures μ on $\Sigma_N \times \mathbb{T}^N$ that have some regularity properties (so we can prove Theorem 4.2), and are invariant for the dynamics. In addition, it seems natural that such a set of probability measures should contain measures obtained by iterating a measure in which the (\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) are fixed, as above. That is, measures supported on graphs of the form $G(\bar{z}, \theta)$, as in (4.10). In the next Section, we construct exactly such a class of measures.

4.3. Standard pairs and their evolution.

The main problem in dealing with deterministic systems stems from the fact that the only randomness comes from the initial conditions. As already explained, conditioning on the (\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) configuration may completely kill the randomness of the initial conditions.

However, conditioning is a basic tool for dealing with stochastic processes. Thus, it is necessary to introduce some form of conditioning that does not kill the randomness of the initial conditions.

This can be done in various ways; one possibility is to introduce Markov partitions. This has the disadvantage of obliterating the differential structure of the dynamics since the conjugation with the associated symbolic dynamics is, typically, only Hölder. In addition, Markov partitions are a very rigid structure that is often not simple to use or generalize.

The latter problems can be avoided by employing *standard pairs*. The supports of standard pairs are, roughly speaking, the finest class of events on which it is possible to condition the evolution without killing the randomness present in the initial conditions. They do not form a σ -algebra, but can be combined to create *standard families*. To each standard family is associated a measure which (in some loose sense) takes the place of conditional expectation. In particular, standard families retain a Markov-like structure, thanks to their invariant properties with respect to the dynamics, that makes it possible to implement iterative arguments. Finally, they can be used in very general systems for which Markov partitions are not available.

4.3.1. Standard pairs: definitions.

Our first goal is to define measures as close as possible to a δ function for which the deterministic dynamics still exhibit good statistical properties. Choose $\delta_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ so that the function f , see (2.2), is invertible on each interval of size δ_0 and set⁶

$$(4.11) \quad \delta_\varepsilon = \delta_0 \varepsilon^{16}.$$

For each number $a_x, b_x \in \mathbb{T}$, $b_x - a_x \in [\delta_\varepsilon/2, \delta_\varepsilon]$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, we define the domain $\mathbb{I}(a, b) = \times_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} [a_x, b_x]$, where \times stands for the Cartesian product

⁶The choice of 16 is arbitrary, any power large enough would do.

and $a = (a_x)_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ and $b = (b_x)_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$. We consider the surfaces defined by the graph of functions $G \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{I}(a, b), \mathbb{R}^{4N})$ with $\text{Im}(G) \subseteq \Sigma_N$, whose components are denoted by

$$G(\theta) = \{G_x(\theta) = (G_{q_x^1}(\theta), G_{q_x^2}(\theta), G_{p_x^1}(\theta), G_{p_x^2}(\theta))\}_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N},$$

and set,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_\theta G\|_\infty &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \sup_{\theta_x \in [a_x, b_x]} \left(\sum_{y=1}^N \left(\frac{\partial G_y(\theta)}{\partial \theta_x} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \left(\frac{\partial G_y(\theta)}{\partial \theta_x} \right)^2 &= \sum_{j=1,2} \left(\frac{\partial G_{q_y^j}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial G_{p_y^j}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_x} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

We can now define the set of graphs that we will use: for $C_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the set of functions

$$(4.12) \quad \mathfrak{S}(N, \varepsilon, C_0) = \left\{ G \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{I}(a, b), \Sigma_N) \mid \begin{array}{l} b_x - a_x \in [\delta_\varepsilon/2, \delta_\varepsilon]; \\ \|\partial_\theta G\|_\infty \leq C_0 \sqrt{N\varepsilon} \end{array} \right\}.$$

To construct a class of measures supported on such surfaces, we need to define a set of densities: we define the standard probability densities as

$$(4.13) \quad \mathcal{D}_{C_0}(a, b) = \left\{ \rho \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{I}(a, b), \mathbb{R}_+) \mid \begin{array}{l} \rho(\theta) = \prod_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \rho_x(\theta_x), \\ \int_{a_x}^{b_x} \rho_x(\theta_x) d\theta_x = 1, \left\| \frac{\rho'_x}{\rho_x} \right\|_{\mathcal{C}^0} \leq C_0 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Remark 4.4. *The choice to restrict ρ to be a product is not necessary, we make it only to simplify some of the following arguments.*

We will call the graphs in (4.12) the *support of the standard pair*. Since the precise values of a and b do not play any role in the estimates, we will write \mathcal{D}_{C_0} instead of $\mathcal{D}_{C_0}(a, b)$, if there is no ambiguity. Similarly, we may write \mathfrak{S} instead of $\mathfrak{S}(N, \varepsilon, C_0)$.

Definition 4.5. *A standard pair ℓ is given by $\ell = (\mathbb{I}_\ell, G_\ell, \rho_\ell)$, where $G_\ell \in \mathfrak{S}(N, \varepsilon, C_0)$ and $\rho_\ell \in \mathcal{D}_{C_0}$ are defined on the same domain $\mathbb{I}_\ell = \mathbb{I}(a_\ell, b_\ell)$. A standard pair ℓ induces the probability measure μ_ℓ on $\Sigma_N \times \mathbb{T}^N$ by*

$$\mu_\ell(g) = \int_{\mathbb{I}_\ell} g(G_\ell(\theta), \theta) \rho_\ell(\theta) d\theta,$$

for any continuous function $g \in \mathcal{C}^0(\Sigma_N \times \mathbb{T}^N, \mathbb{R})$. Here, $d\theta$ is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{T}^N .

The measure μ_ℓ is supported on the graph of G_ℓ , see Figure 1. Since the diameter of \mathbb{I}_ℓ is bounded by $\delta_0 N^{\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon^{16}$, the condition $\|\partial_\theta G\|_\infty \leq C_0 \sqrt{N} \varepsilon$ implies that if $N \varepsilon^{16} \ll 1$, then the surfaces are almost flat. In other words, the measures μ_ℓ almost fix the positions of the particles but do not precisely fix the state of the external field.⁷

Let $\mathfrak{S}^*(N, \varepsilon, C_0)$ be the set of standard pairs (\mathfrak{S}^* if no confusion can arise).




Figure 1: The graph of G_ℓ (in cyan) supporting the measure μ_ℓ .

Next, we define “convex combination” of standard pairs.

Definition 4.6. A standard family is a finite collection of standard pairs $\{\ell_j\}_{j=1}^K \subset \mathfrak{S}^*(N, \varepsilon, C_0)$, together with a probability measure $\mathbb{P} = (p_1, \dots, p_K)$, on $\{1, \dots, K\}$. Any standard family $\mathfrak{f} = \{(\ell_j, p_j)\}_{j=1}^K$ naturally induces a probability measure μ on $\Sigma_N \times \mathbb{T}^N$ through the convex combination

$$(4.14) \quad \mu_{\mathfrak{f}}(g) = \sum_{j=1}^K p_j \mu_{\ell_j}(g), \quad g \in \mathcal{C}^0(\Sigma_N \times \mathbb{T}^N, \mathbb{R}).$$

We call $\mathbb{F}_{N, \varepsilon, C_0}$ the set of standard families and $\mathfrak{F}_{N, \varepsilon, C_0}$ the set of probability measures determined by a standard family using the formula (4.14). Note that different standard families may define the same measure.

4.3.2. Standard pairs: properties.

The first relevant fact concerning standard families is that they are general enough to describe a wide range of measures.

Definition 4.7. Let $\mathcal{M}_{init}(N, \varepsilon, C_0)$ be the weak closure of the set $\mathfrak{F}_{N, \varepsilon, C_0}$.

Recall that $\mathcal{M}_{init}^*(C_0)$ consists of the sequences $(\mu_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\mu_N \in \mathcal{M}_{init}(N, C_0)$, satisfying Equation (2.11) in Definition 2.4.

Lemma 4.8. For any $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{init}(N, C_0)$ (see 2.2 for the definition) is contained in $\mathcal{M}_{init}(N, \varepsilon, C_0)$.

⁷If $\partial_\theta G = 0$, then the positions and velocities of the particles are completely fixed.

The proof can be found in Appendix C.

Recall that ϕ^t is the flow defined by (2.1), (2.2) and the push-forward of measures is ϕ_\star^t . In Appendix C we prove the following fundamental fact that justifies our choice of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{init}}(N, \varepsilon, C_0)$.

Theorem 4.9. *There exist $C_\star > 0$ large enough and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ small enough, depending only on ω_0, b , and f , such that, for all $C_0 \geq C_\star$, $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\phi_\star^t \mathcal{M}_{\text{init}}(N, \varepsilon, C_0) \subset \mathcal{M}_{\text{init}}(N, \varepsilon, C_0)$.*

Remark 4.10. *Let \bar{C}_\star be the inf of C_\star for which Theorem 4.9 applies. From now on, we fix $C_0 = 2\bar{C}_\star$; hence, we will remove it from the notation. Since ε and N are often clear from the context, we may simply write $\mathcal{M}_{\text{init}}$ or $\mathcal{M}_{\text{init}}(N)$ for $\mathcal{M}_{\text{init}}(N, \varepsilon, C_0)$.*

4.4. Proof of Theorem 4.2.

It suffices to prove Theorem 4.2 for an initial condition consisting of the measure associated to a generic standard pair $\ell \in \mathfrak{S}^*$. Indeed, by Definition 4.7 of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{init}}$, for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mu_N \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{init}}$, and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a sequence $(\mathfrak{f}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, with elements in $\mathfrak{F}_{N, \varepsilon}$, of standard families such that the associated measures $\mu_{\mathfrak{f}_n}$ converge weakly to μ_N as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Accordingly, assuming that the theorem is true when $\mu_N = \mu_\ell$, for an arbitrary standard pair ℓ , we have⁸

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_N(A(t+h)) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{(\ell, p_\ell) \in \mathfrak{f}_n} p_\ell \mu_\ell(A(t+h)) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{(\ell, p_\ell) \in \mathfrak{f}_n} p_\ell \left\{ \mu_\ell(A(t)) + \int_t^{t+h} \mu_\ell(\mathcal{G}A(s)) ds + \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{R}_A(h, \varepsilon, N))h \right\} \\ &= \mu_N(A(t)) + \int_t^{t+h} \mu_N(\mathcal{G}A(s)) ds + \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{R}_A(h, \varepsilon, N))h, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathfrak{R}_A(h, \varepsilon, N)$ is defined by (4.3). Since $\mathcal{G}A(s)$ is bounded, we can exchange the limit and the integral on the second line of the above equation. It remains to prove the statement for the case in which $\mu_N = \mu_\ell$ for a standard pair $\ell \in \mathfrak{S}^*$. By Theorem 4.9, for all $s > 0$, the push-forward $\phi_\star^s \mu_\ell$ is equal to $\mu_{\mathfrak{f}_s}$ for some standard family $\mathfrak{f}_s \in \mathbb{F}_{N, \varepsilon}$. We can then use $\mu_{\mathfrak{f}_{t-h}}$ as an initial condition and write, for any $t \geq h$,

$$\mu_\ell(A(z(t+h))) = \mu_{\mathfrak{f}_{t-h}}(A(z(2h))) = \sum_{(\ell', p_{\ell'}) \in \mathfrak{f}_{t-h}} p_{\ell'} \mu_{\ell'}(A(z(2h))).$$

⁸See Notation 2.2 for the meaning of $A(t)$ and $z(t)$.

If the statement is true for $t = h$, then the equation above is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{(\ell', p_{\ell'}) \in \mathfrak{f}_{t-h}} p_{\ell'} \left(\mu_{\ell'}(A(z(h))) + \int_h^{2h} \mu_{\ell'}(\mathcal{G}A(z(s))) ds + \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{R}_A(h, \varepsilon, N))h \right) \\
&= \mu_{\ell}(A(z(t))) + \int_h^{2h} \mu_{\ell}(\mathcal{G}A(z(t-h+s))) ds + \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{R}_A(h, \varepsilon, N))h \\
&= \mu_{\ell}(A(z(t))) + \int_t^{t+h} \mu_{\ell}(\mathcal{G}A(z(s))) ds + \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{R}_A(h, \varepsilon, N))h,
\end{aligned}$$

which yields the statement for all $t \geq h$. We are then left with the study of $\mu_{\ell}(A(z(2h)))$ which, by Theorem 4.9 again, can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_{\ell}(A(z(2h))) &= \sum_{(\ell', p_{\ell'}) \in \mathfrak{f}_h} p_{\ell'} \mu_{\ell'}(A(z(h))) \\
&= \sum_{(\ell', p_{\ell'}) \in \mathfrak{f}_h} p_{\ell'} \int_{\mathbb{I}_{\ell'}} A(z(h, G_{\ell'}(\theta), \theta)) \rho_{\ell'}(\theta) d\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.11. *The integrals appearing in the above equation are nothing else than the deterministic evolution starting with initial conditions distributed according to the standard pair ℓ' . The problem is thus reduced to compare the deterministic evolution with the stochastic one for a time h , when starting from the measure associated to a standard pair.*

By Lemma 3.5, with $z(0) = G_{\ell'}(\theta)$, we can write the above as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\ell' \in \mathfrak{f}_h} p_{\ell'} \int_{\mathbb{I}_{\ell'}} \rho_{\ell'}(\theta) \left(A(G_{\ell'}(\theta)) - \langle DA(G_{\ell'}(\theta)), \mathbb{A}G_{\ell'}(\theta) \rangle h \right. \\
& \quad + \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^h \langle DA(G_{\ell'}(\theta)), \mathbb{B}_{\varepsilon}(s, \theta) G_{\ell'}(\theta) \rangle ds \\
& \quad + \varepsilon^{-1} \int_0^h \int_0^s \langle DA(G_{\ell'}(\theta)), \mathbb{B}_{\varepsilon}(s, \theta) \mathbb{B}_{\varepsilon}(u, \theta) G_{\ell'}(\theta) \rangle ds du \\
& \quad \left. + \varepsilon^{-1} \int_0^h \int_0^s \langle D^2 A \mathbb{B}_{\varepsilon}(u, \theta) G_{\ell'}(\theta), \mathbb{B}_{\varepsilon}(s, \theta) G_{\ell'}(\theta) \rangle ds du \right) d\theta \\
& \quad + \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}} h^3 \|A\| N\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

We want to recognize in (4.15) the action of the generator; see (4.2). To this end, we analyze the terms one by one. The second term in the first line is part of the generator. The second line of (4.15) turns out to be negligible.

Indeed, since $\sum_{\ell' \in \mathfrak{f}_h} p_{\ell'} \mu_{\ell'} = \phi_\star^h \mu_\ell$, Lemma 4.12 in Section 4.5, imply

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\ell' \in \mathfrak{f}_h} p_{\ell'} \int_{\mathbb{I}_{\ell'}} \int_0^h \langle DA(G_{\ell'}(\theta)), \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(s, \theta) G_{\ell'}(\theta) \rangle \rho_{\ell'}(\theta) ds d\theta \\
 (4.16) \quad & = \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mu_\ell \left(\int_h^{2h} \langle DA(z(h)), \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(s) z(h) \rangle ds \right) \\
 & = \mathcal{O}(\|A\| N (\varepsilon (\ln \varepsilon^{-1})^2 + h^3 \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}})).
 \end{aligned}$$

4.4.1. Ito drift.

The third line of (4.15) yields the second term of (4.2) plus an error. To see this, it is convenient to set, for each $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, $\mathbf{m}_x(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = \left\langle \frac{\partial A}{\partial p_x}, p_x \right\rangle$ and $\mathbf{l}_{x,y}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial p_x \partial p_y} J p_y, J p_x \right\rangle$. Observe that $\mathbf{m}_x, \mathbf{l}_{x,y} \in \mathfrak{E}_N$, and⁹

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.17) \quad & \sum_{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}_N} |\mathbf{l}_{x,y}| \leq C_\# \|A\| N^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} |\mathbf{l}_{x,x}| + |\mathbf{m}_x| \leq C_\# \|A\| N, \\
 & \|\mathbf{m}_x\| + \|\mathbf{l}_{x,y}\| \leq C_\# \|A\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

In addition, by (4.1) and (4.2),

$$(4.18) \quad \gamma \mathcal{S}A = \gamma \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} (\mathbf{l}_{x,x} - \mathbf{m}_x).$$

Using (3.2), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle DA(G_{\ell'}(\theta)), \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(s, \theta) \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(u, \theta) G_{\ell'}(\theta) \rangle \\
 & = - \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} b(f^{\lfloor s\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) b(f^{\lfloor u\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) \mathbf{m}_x(G_{\ell'}(\theta)),
 \end{aligned}$$

so that the expression in the third line of the Equation (4.15) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.19) \quad & -\varepsilon^{-1} \sum_{\ell' \in \mathfrak{f}_h} p_{\ell'} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \int_{\mathbb{I}_{\ell'}} \int_0^h \int_0^s \mathbf{m}_x(G_{\ell'}(\theta)) \\
 & \quad \times b(f^{\lfloor s\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) b(f^{\lfloor u\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) \rho_{\ell'}(\theta) d\theta ds du.
 \end{aligned}$$

⁹Setting $\beta_{x,y} = \text{sgn}(\mathbf{l}_{x,y})(J p_y) \otimes (J p_x)$ and $\alpha_{x,y} = \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial p_x \partial p_y}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}_N} |\mathbf{l}_{x,y}| = \text{Tr}(\alpha \beta) \leq \sqrt{\text{Tr}(\beta \beta^T) \text{Tr}(\alpha \alpha^T)} \leq \|p\|^2 \sqrt{\sum_{x,y} \left(\frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial p_x \partial p_y} \right)^2} \\
 & \leq 2N^{\frac{3}{2}} \|A\|_2,
 \end{aligned}$$

since $\|p\|^2 \leq 2N$ and the Frobenius norm is bounded by \sqrt{N} the operator norm.

Since $|I_{\ell'}^x| \leq \delta_\varepsilon$, see (4.11), the intermediate value theorem yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{I}_{\ell'}} \int_0^h \int_0^s \mathbf{m}_x(G_{\ell'}(\theta)) b(f^{\lfloor s\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) b(f^{\lfloor u\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) \rho_{\ell'}(\theta) d\theta ds du \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{I}_{\ell'}} \int_0^h \int_0^s b(f^{\lfloor s\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) b(f^{\lfloor u\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) \rho_{\ell'}(\theta) d\theta ds du \\
&\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{I}_{\ell'}} \mathbf{m}_x(G_{\ell'}(\theta')) \rho_{\ell'}(\theta') d\theta' + \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} h^2 \delta_\varepsilon N \|A\|\right) \\
&= \int_{I_{\ell'}^x} \int_0^h \int_0^s b(f^{\lfloor s\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) b(f^{\lfloor u\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) \rho_{\ell',x}(\theta_x) d\theta_x ds du \\
&\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{I}_{\ell'}} \mathbf{m}_x(G_{\ell'}(\theta')) \rho_{\ell'}(\theta') d\theta' + \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} h^2 \delta_\varepsilon N \|A\|\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where we first used Lemma C.3, and then the fact that $\rho_{\ell'}$ is a product of probability densities.

Accordingly, (4.19) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\varepsilon^{-1} \sum_{\ell' \in \mathfrak{f}_h} p_{\ell'} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \int_{I_{\ell'}^x} \int_0^h \int_0^s b(f^{\lfloor s\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) b(f^{\lfloor u\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) \rho_{\ell',x}(\theta) d\theta_x ds du \\
& \times \int_{\mathbb{I}_{\ell'}} \mathbf{m}_x(G_{\ell'}(\theta')) \rho_{\ell'}(\theta') d\theta' + \mathcal{O}\left(N^2 \varepsilon^{15} h^2 \|A\|\right).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma D.1 and the first line of (4.17),

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.20) \quad & \varepsilon^{-1} \int_0^h \int_0^s \langle DA(G_{\ell'}(\theta)), \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(s, \theta) \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(u, \theta) G_{\ell'}(\theta) \rangle ds du \\
& = -h\gamma \int_{\mathbb{I}_{\ell'}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \mathbf{m}_x(G_{\ell'}(\theta)) \rho_{\ell'}(\theta) d\theta + \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon \|A\| N (\ln \varepsilon^{-1})^2\right).
\end{aligned}$$

4.4.2. Ito-like diffusion.

Finally, the fourth line of (4.15) yields the last term of (4.2). We can write it as

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.21) \quad & \sum_{\ell' \in \mathfrak{f}_h} p_{\ell'} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \int_0^h \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{I}_{\ell'}} \frac{b(f^{\lfloor u\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) b(f^{\lfloor s\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x)}{\varepsilon} \\
& \quad \times \mathbf{l}_{x,x}(G_{\ell'}(\theta)) \rho_{\ell'}(\theta) d\theta ds du \\
& + \sum_{\ell' \in \mathfrak{f}_h} p_{\ell'} \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}_N \\ x \neq y}} \int_0^h \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{I}_{\ell'}} \frac{b(f^{\lfloor u\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) b(f^{\lfloor s\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_y)}{\varepsilon} \\
& \quad \times \mathbf{l}_{x,y}(G_{\ell'}(\theta)) \rho_{\ell'}(\theta) d\theta ds du.
\end{aligned}$$

We start with the second term of (4.21). We step back in time and use again $\sum_{\ell' \in \mathfrak{f}_h} p_{\ell'} \mu_{\ell'} = \phi_\star^h \mu_\ell$, obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\ell' \in \mathfrak{f}_h} p_{\ell'} \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_N \\ x \neq y}} \int_0^h ds \int_0^s du \int_{\mathbb{I}_{\ell'}} \frac{b(f^{\lfloor u\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) b(f^{\lfloor s\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_y)}{\varepsilon} \mathfrak{l}_{x,y}(G_{\ell'}(\theta)) \rho_{\ell'}(\theta) d\theta = \\ &= \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_N \\ x \neq y}} \int_0^h \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{I}_\ell} \frac{b(f^{\lfloor (u+h)\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) b(f^{\lfloor (s+h)\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_y)}{\varepsilon} \mathfrak{l}_{x,y}(z(h, G_\ell(\theta))) \rho_\ell(\theta) d\theta ds du. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.5 for any x, y , and (4.17) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \mathfrak{l}_{x,y}(z(h, G_\ell(\theta))) - \mathfrak{l}_{x,y}(G_\ell(\theta)) - \int_0^h \langle \nabla l_{x,y}(G_\ell(\theta)), (-\mathbb{A} + \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(s)) G_\ell(\theta) \rangle ds \right\| \\ & \leq C_\# \|A\| N(h^2 \varepsilon^{-1} + h^3 \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}}) \leq C_\# \|A\| N h^2 \varepsilon^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $h \in (\varepsilon^{\frac{5}{6}}, 2\varepsilon^{\frac{5}{6}})$. Treating the term containing \mathbb{A} as an error, the second term of (4.21) then becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_N \\ x \neq y}} \int_0^h ds \int_0^s du \int_{\mathbb{I}_\ell} \frac{b(f^{\lfloor (u+h)\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) b(f^{\lfloor (s+h)\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_y)}{\varepsilon} \mathfrak{l}_{x,y}(G_\ell(\theta)) \rho_\ell(\theta) d\theta \\ (4.22) \quad & + \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{\substack{x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}_N \\ x \neq y}} \int_0^h ds \int_0^s du \int_0^h d\tau \int_{\mathbb{I}_\ell} b(f^{\lfloor (u+h)\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) b(f^{\lfloor (s+h)\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_y) \\ & \times \langle \partial_{p_z} l_{x,y}(G_\ell(\theta)), JG_{\ell, p_z}(\theta) \rangle b(f^{\lfloor \tau\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_z) \rho_\ell(\theta) d\theta \\ & + \|A\| N^3 \mathcal{O}(h^4 \varepsilon^{-2} + h^3 \varepsilon^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma C.3, the first term in (4.22) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon^{-1} \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_N \\ x \neq y}} \int_0^h ds \int_0^s du \left\{ \int_{I_\ell^x} b(f^{\lfloor (u+h)\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) \rho_{\ell, x}(\theta_x) d\theta_x \right. \\ (4.23) \quad & \times \left. \int_{I_\ell^y} b(f^{\lfloor (s+h)\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_y) \rho_{\ell, y}(\theta_y) d\theta_y \right\} \int_{\mathbb{I}_\ell} \mathfrak{l}_{x,y}(G_\ell(\theta)) \rho_\ell(\theta) \\ & + \mathcal{O}(\|A\| h^2 N^3 \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_\varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by Lemma A.3 and C.2, for any $u \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{I_\ell^x} b(f^{\lfloor (u+h)\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) \rho_{\ell, x}(\theta_x) d\theta_x \leq C_\# \|\rho_{\ell, x} \mathbb{1}_{I_\ell^x}\|_{BV} \nu^{\lfloor (h+u)\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \\ (4.24) \quad & \leq C_\# \delta_\varepsilon^{-1} \nu^{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{6}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using the second of (4.17), we estimate the first term of (4.21) as

$$(4.25) \quad \sum_{\ell' \in \mathfrak{f}_h} p_{\ell'} \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_N \\ x \neq y}} \int_0^h \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{I}_{\ell'}} \frac{b(f^{\lfloor (u+h)\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) b(f^{\lfloor (s+h)\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_y)}{\varepsilon} \\ \times \mathfrak{l}_{x,y}(G_{\ell'}(\theta)) \rho_{\ell'}(\theta) d\theta ds du = \mathcal{O}(\|A\| h^2 N^3 \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_\varepsilon).$$

Next, we can treat the second term of (4.22) similarly and write it as

$$(4.26) \quad \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_N \\ x \neq y}} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \int_{[0, h]^2} ds d\tau \int_0^s du \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{I}_\ell} b(f^{\lfloor (u+h)\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) \right. \\ \times b(f^{\lfloor (s+h)\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_y) b(f^{\lfloor \tau\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_z) \rho_\ell(\theta) d\theta \Big\} \\ \times \int_{\mathbb{I}_\ell} \langle \partial_{p_z} l_{x,y}(G_\ell(\theta)), JG_{\ell, p_z}(\theta) \rangle \rho_\ell(\theta) d\theta \\ + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-1} h^3 N^3 \delta_\varepsilon) = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-1} h^3 N^3 \delta_\varepsilon)$$

where, in the last equality, we have used (4.24) again since either x or y must be different from z . Collecting the estimates in (4.22), (4.25) and (4.26) we have

$$(4.27) \quad \sum_{\ell' \in \mathfrak{f}_h} p_{\ell'} \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_N \\ x \neq y}} \int_0^h ds \int_0^s du \int_{\mathbb{I}_{\ell'}} \frac{b(f^{\lfloor u\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) b(f^{\lfloor s\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_y)}{\varepsilon} \mathfrak{l}_{x,y}(G_{\ell'}(\theta)) \rho_{\ell'}(\theta) d\theta \\ = \mathcal{O}(\|A\| N^3 h^4 \varepsilon^{-2}) \leq \mathcal{O}(\|A\| N h^3 \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}}),$$

where, in the last inequality, we have used $h \leq 2\varepsilon^{\frac{5}{6}}$ and $\varepsilon \leq N^{-6}$.

It remains to consider the first term in (4.21). Using again Lemma C.3,

$$(4.28) \quad \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \int_0^h \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{I}_{\ell'}} \frac{b(f^{\lfloor u\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) b(f^{\lfloor s\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x)}{\varepsilon} \mathfrak{l}_{x,x}(G_{\ell'}(\theta)) \rho_{\ell'}(\theta) d\theta ds du \\ = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \int_0^h \int_0^s \int_{I_{\ell'}^x} \frac{b(f^{\lfloor u\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) b(f^{\lfloor s\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x)}{\varepsilon} \rho_{\ell', x}(\theta_x) d\theta_x ds du \\ \times \int_{\mathbb{I}_{\ell'}} \mathfrak{l}_{x,x}(G_{\ell'}(\theta)) \rho_{\ell'}(\theta) d\theta + \mathcal{O}(N^3 \varepsilon^{15} h^2 \|A\|).$$

Finally, by Lemma D.1 and the first of (4.17), Equation (4.28) is equal to

$$(4.29) \quad h\gamma \int_{\mathbb{I}_{\ell'}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \mathfrak{l}_{x,x}(G_{\ell'}(\theta)) \rho_{\ell'}(\theta) d\theta + \mathcal{O}(N\varepsilon(\ln \varepsilon^{-1})^2 \|A\|) \\ + \mathcal{O}(N^3 \varepsilon^{15} h^2 \|A\|).$$

We now collect all the estimates (4.16), (4.20), (4.27) and (4.29) together. Recalling (4.18), yields

$$\mu_\ell(A(z(2h))) = \mu_\ell(A(z(h))) + \mu_\ell(\mathcal{G}A(z(h)))h + \mathfrak{R}_A(h, \varepsilon, N)h,$$

where we have used $h \in (\varepsilon^{\frac{5}{6}}, 2\varepsilon^{\frac{5}{6}})$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, N^{-6})$. Hence, by Lemma 4.1 and Corollary 3.6,

$$(4.30) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu_\ell(A(z(2h))) &= \mu_\ell(A(z(h))) + \int_h^{2h} \mu_\ell(\mathcal{G}A(z(s))) ds \\ &\quad + \mathfrak{R}_A(h, \varepsilon, N)h, \end{aligned}$$

concluding the proof.

4.5. Average of a first order term.

Here, we show that the contribution of the second line of (4.15) is negligible.

Lemma 4.12. *For $\varepsilon \in (0, N^{-6})$, $h \in (\varepsilon^{\frac{5}{6}}, 2\varepsilon^{\frac{5}{6}})$, $\ell \in \mathfrak{S}^*(N, \varepsilon)$, and $A \in \mathfrak{E}_N$, we have*

$$\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mu_\ell \left(\int_h^{2h} \langle DA(z(h)), \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(s)z(h) \rangle ds \right) = \mathcal{O} \left(\|A\| N \left[\varepsilon (\ln \varepsilon^{-1})^2 + h^3 \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}} \right] \right).$$

Proof. Expanding $DA(z(h))$ and $z(h)$ as in (3.13), for $s \in [h, 2h]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle DA(z(h)), \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(s)z(h) \rangle &= \left\langle DA + \int_0^h D^2 A \left(-\mathbb{A} + \frac{\mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(u)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \right) z(u) du, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(s) \left(z + \int_0^h \left(-\mathbb{A} + \frac{\mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(u)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \right) z(u) du \right) \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.14) and Lemma 3.4 we can estimate the difference between $z(u)$ and the initial condition $z(0) = z$, and write

$$(4.31) \quad \begin{aligned} &\frac{\mu_\ell \left(\int_h^{2h} \langle DA(z(h)), \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(s)z(h) \rangle ds \right)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} = \frac{\mu_\ell \left(\int_h^{2h} \langle DA(z), \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(s)z \rangle ds \right)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mu_\ell \left(\int_0^h \int_0^h \left\langle DA(z), \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(h+s) \left(-\mathbb{A} + \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(u) \right) z \right\rangle ds du \right) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mu_\ell \left(\int_0^h \int_0^h \left\langle D^2 A \left(-\mathbb{A} + \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(u) \right) z, \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(h+s) z \right\rangle ds du \right) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O} \left(\|A\| N h^3 \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We analyze the above terms one by one. Let us set $\mathfrak{g}_x(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = \langle \partial_{p_x} A(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}), Jp_x \rangle$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}_N$. Note that $\mathfrak{g}_x \in \mathfrak{E}_N$, moreover

$$(4.32) \quad \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} |\mathfrak{g}_x| \leq \|A\| N \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathfrak{g}_x\| \leq \|A\|.$$

Furthermore,

$$\langle DA(G_\ell(\theta)), \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(h+s)G_\ell(\theta) \rangle = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} b(f^{\lfloor (h+s)\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) \mathfrak{g}_x(G_\ell(\theta)).$$

Using the second of (4.32), (4.11) and Lemma C.3, the first term of (4.31) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \int_0^h \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} b(f^{\lfloor (h+s)\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) \mathfrak{g}_x(G_\ell(\theta)) \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{I}_\ell}(\theta) \rho_\ell(\theta) d\theta = \\
 (4.33) \quad & = \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \int_0^h \int_{\mathbb{T}} b(f^{\lfloor (h+s)\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) \mathbb{1}_{I_\ell^x}(\theta) \rho_{\ell,x}(\theta) d\theta_x \\
 & \quad \times \int_{\mathbb{I}_\ell} \mathfrak{g}_x(G_\ell(\theta)) \rho_\ell(\theta) d\theta + \mathcal{O}(h\delta_\varepsilon N^2 \|A\|),
 \end{aligned}$$

and by (4.24) the sum above integrals is exponentially small in ε . Therefore, also using the first of (4.32), it follows that

$$(4.34) \quad \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left| \mu_\ell \left(\int_h^{2h} \langle DA(z), (\mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(s)) z \rangle ds \right) \right| \leq C_\# \delta_\varepsilon h N^2 \|A\|.$$

Using (3.14), the second term of (4.31) reads

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mu_\ell \left(\int_0^h \int_0^h \langle DA(z), \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(h+s) \left(-\mathbb{A} + \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(u) \right) z \rangle ds du \right) \\
 & = \varepsilon^{-1} \mu_\ell \left(\int_0^h \int_0^h \langle DA(z), \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(h+s) \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(u) z \rangle ds du \right) + \mathcal{O} \left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}} h^3 N \|A\| \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

where, in the last equality, we have used $h > \varepsilon$. Let $\mathbf{n}_x(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = -\langle p_x, \partial_{p_x} A \rangle$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}_N$. Note that $\mathbf{n}_x \in \mathfrak{E}_N$, and,

$$(4.35) \quad \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} |\mathbf{n}_x| \leq \|A\| N \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{n}_x\| \leq \|A\|.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \varepsilon^{-1} \int_0^h \int_0^h \mu_\ell (\langle DA(z), \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(h+s) \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(u) z \rangle) ds du \\
 & = \varepsilon^{-1} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \int_0^h \int_0^h \int_{\mathbb{I}_\ell} b(f^{\lfloor (h+s)\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) b(f^{\lfloor u\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) \mathbf{n}_x(G_\ell(\theta)) \rho_\ell(\theta) d\theta ds du.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma C.3 and the second of (4.35), this is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \varepsilon^{-1} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \int_0^h \int_0^h \int_{I_\ell^x} b(f^{\lfloor (h+s)\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) b(f^{\lfloor u\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) \rho_{\ell,x}(\theta_x) d\theta_x ds du \\
 (4.36) \quad & \times \int_{\mathbb{I}_\ell} \mathbf{n}_x(G_\ell(\theta)) \rho_\ell(\theta) d\theta + \mathcal{O}(\delta_\varepsilon \varepsilon^{-1} h^2 N^2 \|A\|).
 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma D.2 and the first of (4.35), the first term of the above is bounded by $C_{\#} \|A\| N \varepsilon (\ln \varepsilon^{-1})^2$. Hence,

$$(4.37) \quad \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left| \mu_{\ell} \left(\int_0^h \int_0^h \left\langle DA(z), \mathbb{B}_{\varepsilon}(h+s) \left(\mathbb{A} + \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{B}_{\varepsilon}(u) \right) z(u) \right\rangle ds du \right) \right| \\ \leq C_{\#} N \|A\| \left(\varepsilon (\ln \varepsilon^{-1})^2 + \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}} h^3 \right).$$

Next, we analyze the third term in (4.31). By (3.14), we can write it as

$$(4.38) \quad \varepsilon^{-1} \mu_{\ell} \left(\int_0^h \int_0^h \left\langle D^2 A \mathbb{B}_{\varepsilon}(s) z, \mathbb{B}_{\varepsilon}(h+u) z \right\rangle ds du \right) \\ + \mathcal{O}(\|A\| \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}} h^3 N).$$

For $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, recall that $\mathfrak{l}_{x,y} = \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial p_x \partial p_y} J p_y, J p_x \right\rangle$ and the estimates in (4.17). With the above notation we can write the first line of (4.38) as

$$\varepsilon^{-1} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \int_0^h \int_0^h \int_{\mathbb{I}_{\ell}} \mathfrak{l}_{x,y}(G_{\ell}(\theta)) b(f^{\lfloor s\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_y) b(f^{\lfloor (u+h)\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) \rho_{\ell}(\theta) d\theta ds du.$$

By Lemma C.3 and the second of (4.17), this is equal to

$$(4.39) \quad \varepsilon^{-1} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \int_0^h \int_0^h \int_{\mathbb{I}_{\ell}} b(f^{\lfloor s\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_y) b(f^{\lfloor (u+h)\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) \rho_{\ell}(\theta) d\theta ds du \\ \times \int_{\mathbb{I}_{\ell}} \mathfrak{l}_{x,y}(G_{\ell}(\theta)) \rho_{\ell}(\theta) d\theta + \mathcal{O}(N^4 \delta_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon^{-1} \|A\| h^2).$$

For $x \neq y$, since ρ_{ℓ} is a product measure,

$$\int_{\mathbb{I}_{\ell}} b(f^{\lfloor s\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_y) b(f^{\lfloor (u+h)\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) \rho_{\ell}(\theta) d\theta \\ = \int_{I_{\ell}^y} b(f^{\lfloor s\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_y) \rho_{\ell,x}(\theta_y) d\theta_y \int_{I_{\ell}^x} b(f^{\lfloor (u+h)\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) \rho_{\ell,x}(\theta_x) d\theta_x.$$

However, by Lemma A.3 and C.2, for any $u \geq 0$,

$$\int_{I_{\ell}^x} b(f^{\lfloor (u+h)\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) \rho_{\ell,x}(\theta_x) d\theta_x = \int_{\mathbb{T}} b(\theta_x) \mathcal{L}^{\lfloor (h+u)\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} (\rho_{\ell,x}(\theta_x) \mathbb{1}_{I_{\ell}^x}(\theta_x)) d\theta_x \\ \leq C_{\#} \|\rho_{\ell,x} \mathbb{1}_{I_{\ell}^x}\|_{BV} \nu^{\lfloor (h+u)\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \leq C_{\#} \delta_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \nu^{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{6}}}.$$

For $x = y$,

$$\varepsilon^{-1} \int_0^h \int_0^h \int_{\mathbb{I}_{\ell}} b(f^{\lfloor s\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_y) b(f^{\lfloor (u+h)\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) \rho_{\ell}(\theta) d\theta ds du = \\ = \varepsilon^{-1} \int_0^h \int_0^h \int_{I_{\ell}^x} b(f^{\lfloor s\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) b(f^{\lfloor (u+h)\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta_x) \rho_{\ell,x}(\theta_x) d\theta_x ds du,$$

and by Lemma D.2 this is of order $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon(\ln \varepsilon^{-1})^2)$.

Therefore, recalling the definition (4.11) of δ_ε , Equations (4.38), (4.39) and using the first of (4.17), we have

$$(4.40) \quad \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mu_\ell \left(\int_0^h \int_0^h \left\langle D^2 A \left(-\mathbb{A} + \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(s) \right) z(s), \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(h+u) z \right\rangle ds du \right) \\ \leq C_\# \|A\| N \left(\varepsilon (\ln \varepsilon^{-1})^2 + \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}} h^3 \right).$$

Collecting the estimates (4.34), (4.37) e (4.40) the lemma follows. \square

5. HYDRODYNAMIC LIMIT

For any test function $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^0(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$, we define

$$(5.1) \quad \xi_N(t, \varphi) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \varphi \left(\frac{x}{N} \right) \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N}(\mathfrak{e}_x(N^2 t)).$$

Alternatively, we can see $\xi_N(t)$ as a probability measure on \mathbb{T} .

In order to shorten notations, we denote the error terms as

$$(5.2) \quad R(N, \varepsilon, t) := t \left[N^{-1} + N \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{6}} (\ln \varepsilon^{-1})^2 \right] + N^{-1}.$$

Theorem 5.1. *For each $\varepsilon \in (0, N^{-6})$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, and initial probability measure $\mu_N \in \mathcal{M}_{init}$ we have, for any $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $s < t$, and $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^5(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$,*

$$\xi_N(t, \varphi) - \xi_N(s, \varphi) = \frac{D}{2\gamma} \int_s^t \xi_N(u, \varphi'') du + \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}^5} \mathcal{O}(R(N, \varepsilon, t-s)).$$

Proof. Equations (3.3), (3.4) imply,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_N(t, \varphi) - \xi_N(s, \varphi) &= N \int_s^t \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \varphi \left(\frac{x}{N} \right) \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N} \left(j_{x-1, x}(N^2 u) - j_{x, x+1}(N^2 u) \right) du \\ &= N \int_s^t \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \nabla_{\mathbb{Z}_N} \varphi \left(\frac{x}{N} \right) \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N} (j_{x, x+1}(N^2 u)) du \end{aligned}$$

where, for $g : \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{Z}_N} g(x) = g(x+1) - g(x).$$

In Proposition 5.3, Section 5.1, we use a fluctuation-dissipation relation to obtain that

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \xi_N(t, \varphi) - \xi_N(s, \varphi) &= \frac{1}{2\gamma} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \varphi'' \left(\frac{x}{N} \right) \int_s^t \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N} (\mathfrak{u}_x(N^2 u)) du \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}(\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}^3} R(N, \varepsilon, t-s)), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{u}_x = p_x^2 - \omega_0^2 q_x^2 + (q_{x+1} - q_x)(q_x - q_{x-1}).$$

Next, we want to establish a local equilibrium results. This means that *locally* the distribution of the (q_x, p_x) is *close* to the equilibrium measure of

the infinite dynamics on \mathbb{Z} at the temperature T corresponding to the local average of the kinetic energy p_x^2 . This equilibrium measure ν_T is given by the Gibbs–Gaussian measure on \mathbb{Z} with covariance, for $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i, j = 1, 2$,

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\nu_T} (q_x^i q_y^j) &= T \delta_{i,j} \mathbb{G}_{\omega_0}(x - y), \\ \mathbb{E}_{\nu_T} (p_x^i p_y^j) &= T \delta_{i,j} \delta_{x,y}, \\ \mathbb{E}_{\nu_T} (q_x^i p_y^j) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{G}_{\omega_0}(x)$ is the Green function of the operator $(\omega_0^2 - \Delta)^{-1}$, that is

$$(5.5) \quad \left[(\omega_0^2 - \Delta)^{-1} \varphi \right] (x) = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{G}_{\omega_0}(x - y) \varphi(y),$$

see Appendix F for more details. The expectation of \mathbf{u}_x with respect to ν_T is then given by

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} T \left[1 - \omega_0^2 \mathbb{G}_{\omega_0}(0) + 2\mathbb{G}_{\omega_0}(1) - \mathbb{G}_{\omega_0}(0) - \mathbb{G}_{\omega_0}(2) \right] &= \\ &= T \left[1 - \omega_0^2 (\mathbb{G}_{\omega_0}(0) + \mathbb{G}_{\omega_0}(1)) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Equation F.5. This identifies the diffusion coefficient

$$(5.7) \quad D = \left[1 - \omega_0^2 (\mathbb{G}_{\omega_0}(0) + \mathbb{G}_{\omega_0}(1)) \right].$$

Proposition 5.4 in Section 5.2, together with (5.3), (5.7) and (5.6), implies

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_N(t, \varphi) - \xi_N(s, \varphi) &= \frac{1}{2\gamma N} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \varphi'' \left(\frac{x}{N} \right) \\ &\quad \times \int_s^t \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N} \left(\left[1 - \omega_0^2 \mathbb{G}_{\omega_0}(0) + 2\mathbb{G}_{\omega_0}(1) - \mathbb{G}_{\omega_0}(0) - \mathbb{G}_{\omega_0}(2) \right] p_x^2(N^2 u) \right) du \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}(\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}^5} R(N, \varepsilon, t - s)) \\ &= \frac{D}{2\gamma N} \int_s^t \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \varphi'' \left(\frac{x}{N} \right) \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N} (p_x^2(N^2 u)) du + \mathcal{O}(\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}^5} R(N, \varepsilon, t - s)). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that the expectation of p_x^2 equals the expectation of \mathbf{e}_x . This is a form of equipartition, and it is proven in Proposition 5.7, Section 5.4, yielding

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_N(t, \varphi) - \xi_N(s, \varphi) &= \frac{D}{2\gamma N} \int_s^t \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \varphi'' \left(\frac{x}{N} \right) \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N} (\mathbf{e}_x(N^2 u)) du \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}(\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}^5} R(N, \varepsilon, t - s)) \\ &= \frac{D}{2\gamma} \int_s^t \xi_N(N^2 u, \varphi'') du + \mathcal{O}(\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}^5} R(N, \varepsilon, t - s)) \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof. \square

The rest of the section is devoted to proving the building blocks that we have used in the proof of Theorem 5.1.

5.1. The fluctuation-dissipation relation.

Our first result is the following *fluctuation-dissipation* relation.

Lemma 5.2. *For each $x \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, we have¹⁰*

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} j_{x,x+1} &= -\frac{1}{2\gamma} \nabla_{\mathbb{Z}_N} \mathbf{u}_x - \mathcal{G}F_x, \\ \mathbf{u}_x &= p_x^2 - \omega_0^2 q_x^2 + (q_{x+1} - q_x)(q_x - q_{x-1}) \\ F_x &= \frac{1}{2\gamma} (q_{x+1} - q_x)(p_{x+1} + p_x) + \frac{1}{4} (q_{x+1} - q_x)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. A direct computation yields

$$\gamma \mathcal{S}F_x = -\frac{1}{2} (q_{x+1} - q_x)(p_{x+1} + p_x) = -j_{x,x+1} - \frac{1}{2} (q_{x+1} - q_x)(p_{x+1} - p_x),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}F_x &= \frac{1}{2\gamma} (p_{x+1}^2 - p_x^2) + \frac{1}{2} (q_{x+1} - q_x)(p_{x+1} - p_x) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\gamma} (q_{x+1} - q_x) [(\Delta_{\mathbb{Z}_N} - \omega_0^2)(q_{x+1} + q_x)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\gamma} \nabla_{\mathbb{Z}_N} (p_x^2 - \omega_0^2 q_x^2 + (q_{x+1} - q_x)(q_x - q_{x-1})) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (q_{x+1} - q_x)(p_{x+1} - p_x) \end{aligned}$$

from which the statement follows. \square

Due to the above decomposition, we obtain the following result, in which the second derivative of the test function appears.

Proposition 5.3. *For each $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^3(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$, $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $s < t$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon \in (0, N^{-6})$, and initial probability measure $\mu_N \in \mathcal{M}_{init}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} N \int_s^t \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \nabla_{\mathbb{Z}_N} \varphi \left(\frac{x}{N} \right) \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N} (j_{x,x+1}(N^2 s)) ds &= \\ = \frac{1}{2\gamma N} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \varphi'' \left(\frac{x}{N} \right) \int_s^t \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N} (\mathbf{u}_x(N^2 s)) ds &+ \mathcal{O}(\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}^3} R(N, \varepsilon, t-s)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.2 we have

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{aligned} N \int_s^t \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \nabla_{\mathbb{Z}_N} \varphi \left(\frac{x}{N} \right) \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N} (j_{x,x+1}(N^2 s)) ds &= \\ = \frac{1}{2\gamma N} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} N^2 \Delta_{\mathbb{Z}_N} \varphi \left(\frac{x}{N} \right) \int_s^t \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N} (\mathbf{u}_x(N^2 s)) ds & \\ - \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} N \nabla_{\mathbb{Z}_N} \varphi \left(\frac{x}{N} \right) \int_s^t \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N} (\mathcal{G}F_x(N^2 s)) ds. & \end{aligned}$$

¹⁰Recall that $(\nabla_{\mathbb{Z}_N} g)_x = g_{x+1} - g_x$ and by $(\Delta_{\mathbb{Z}_N} g)_x = g_{x+1} + g_{x-1} - 2g_x$.

Defining $A_N = \sum_x F_x$, we have $\|A_N\| \leq C_\#$ for all N . Hence, by Theorem 4.9, we can apply Corollary 4.3 to the last term of (5.9) obtaining

$$(5.10) \quad \|\varphi'\|_\infty \left| \int_s^t \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N}(\mathcal{G}A_N(N^2s))ds \right| \leq C_\# R(N, \varepsilon, t-s).$$

That is, the last term of (5.9) is negligible. The Proposition follows since $\|N^2\Delta_{\mathbb{Z}_N}\varphi - \varphi''\|_\infty \leq \|\varphi\|_{C^3}N^{-1}$ and $\sum_x |\mathbf{u}_x| \leq C_\#N$. \square

5.2. Local equilibrium.

The goal of this section is to prove that the space correlation of the positions is determined, modulo an error, by the Gaussian equilibrium distributions (5.4) in which the Green function (5.5) appears.

Proposition 5.4. *For each $\varphi \in C^5(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$, $t > s \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon \in (0, N^{-6})$, $w, w' \in \{0, 1\}$, and initial probability measure $\mu_N \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{init}}$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \varphi''\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) \int_s^t \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N}(q_{x+w}(N^2u)q_{x-w'}(N^2u) - \mathbb{G}_{\omega_0}(w+w')p_x^2(N^2u)) du = \\ = \mathcal{O}(\|\varphi\|_{C^5}R(N, \varepsilon, (t-s))). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since by Theorem 4.9 $\phi_\star^s \mathcal{M}_{\text{init}} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\text{init}}$ it is enough to prove the statement in the case $s = 0$. The space correlations between q at time s are elements of the covariance matrices $S_N^{(q)}(s) := S_N^{(q)}(z(s))$ defined in Equations (3.9). We must study the time integral of $S_N^{(q)}(s)$. To this end, it is necessary to consider the full covariance matrix:

$$(5.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}^\alpha(t) &= \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N}(S_N^\alpha(N^2s)) ds, \quad (\alpha) \in \{(q), (p), (q, p), (p, q)\} \\ \mathbf{S}(t) &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{S}^{(q)}(t) & \mathbf{S}^{(q,p)}(t) \\ \mathbf{S}^{(p,q)}(t) & \mathbf{S}^{(p)}(t) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

In this proof the time t is fixed, hence we will suppress it if no confusion arises, e.g. we will write \mathbf{S} for $\mathbf{S}(t)$.

It turns out to be convenient to compute using the Fourier transform. We use the orthonormal base of eigenvectors of $\Delta_{\mathbb{Z}_N}$: for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_N$,

$$(5.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi_j(x) &= \frac{e^{i2\pi jx/N}}{\sqrt{N}}, \quad \psi_j^*(x) = \frac{e^{-i2\pi jx/N}}{\sqrt{N}}, \quad v_j = \omega_0^2 + 4 \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi j}{N}\right), \\ (\omega_0^2 - \Delta_{\mathbb{Z}_N})\psi_j &= v_j\psi_j. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, the Laplacian is diagonalized by the discrete Fourier transform $N \times N$ unitary matrix U defined, for each $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, by

$$(5.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{\phi}_j &:= (U\phi)_j = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \phi_x \psi_j^*(x) \\ \phi_x &= (U^{-1}\hat{\phi})_x = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \hat{\phi}_j \psi_j(x). \end{aligned}$$

For any $N \times N$ matrix $\mathcal{A} : \mathbb{Z}_N \times \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, let

$$(5.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{j,j'} &:= (U^{-1} \mathcal{A} U)_{j,j'} = \sum_{x,x' \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \mathcal{A}_{x,x'} \psi_j(x) \psi_{j'}^*(x') \\ \mathcal{A}_{x,x'} &= (U \widehat{\mathcal{A}} U^{-1})_{x,x'} = \sum_{j,j' \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{j,j'} \psi_j^*(x) \psi_{j'}(x'). \end{aligned}$$

Note that if $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^k(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C})$, then, for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, it induces naturally functions from $\mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which we call with the same name, i.e. $\phi_x = \phi\left(\frac{x}{N}\right)$. Remark that, in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \widehat{\phi}_j = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \phi(u) e^{-i2\pi j u} du,$$

the usual Fourier transform of ϕ . Note also that, for any $q \in \mathbb{Z}_N$,

$$(5.15) \quad \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \psi_q(x) = N^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{q,0}.$$

To simplify the notation, let $\phi = \varphi''$. Our objective is then to compute, for $w, w' \in \{0, 1\}$,

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \phi\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) \mathbf{S}_{x+w, x-w'}^{(q)} = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \sum_{j, j', j'' \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \widehat{\phi}_j \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_{j', j''}^{(q)} \psi_j(x) \psi_{j'}^*(x+w) \psi_{j''}(x-w')$$

where we have set $\phi_x = \phi\left(\frac{x}{N}\right)$ for $x \in \mathbb{Z}_N$. We must then compute

$$(5.16) \quad \begin{aligned} N^{-1} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \phi\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) \mathbf{S}_{x+w, x-w'}^{(q)} &= \\ &= N^{-1} \sum_{j, j', j'' \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \widehat{\phi}_j \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_{j', j''}^{(q)} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \psi_{j-j'+j''}(x) \psi_{j'}^*(w) \psi_{j''}^*(w') \\ &= N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j, j' \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \widehat{\phi}_j \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_{j', j'-j}^{(q)} \psi_{j'}^*(w) \psi_{j'-j}^*(w'). \end{aligned}$$

The key step is to relate $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_{j', j''}^{(q)}$ to $\mathbf{S}_{y, y}^{(p)}$. This is achieved in Proposition 5.5 which allows us to write

$$(5.17) \quad \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_{j, j'}^{(q)} = \widehat{H}_{j, j'} + \widehat{B}_{j, j'},$$

where

$$(5.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{H}_{j, j'} &= N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \frac{2\Theta(v_j, v_{j'})}{v_j + v_{j'}} \psi_{j-j'}(y) \mathbf{S}_{y, y}^{(p)} \\ \Theta(v_j, v_{j'}) &= \left(1 + \frac{(v_j - v_{j'})^2}{4\gamma^2(v_j + v_{j'})}\right)^{-1} \\ \sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} |\widehat{B}_{j, j-l}| &\leq C_{\#} R(N, \varepsilon, t). \end{aligned}$$

The last of (5.18) implies that the contribution to (5.16) of $\widehat{B}_{j,j'}$ is negligible, indeed we have

$$(5.19) \quad \left| N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j,j' \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \widehat{\phi}_j \widehat{B}_{j',j'-j} \psi_{j'}^*(w) \psi_{j'-j}^*(w') \right| \leq \frac{C_{\#}}{N^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sum_{j,j' \in \mathbb{Z}_N} |\widehat{\phi}_j| |\widehat{B}_{j',j'-j}| \\ \leq C_{\#} N^{-\frac{3}{2}} R(N, \varepsilon, t) \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} |\widehat{\phi}_j| \leq C_{\#} N^{-1} R(N, \varepsilon, t) \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{C}^2},$$

where, in the last inequality, we have used Lemma E.1.

Using Equations (5.17), (5.18) and (5.19) into Equation (5.16) yields

$$(5.20) \quad N^{-1} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \phi\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) \mathcal{S}_{x+w, x-w'}^{(q)} = N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j,j' \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \widehat{\phi}_{j'} \widehat{H}_{j,j-j'} \psi_j^*(w) \psi_{j-j'}^*(w') \\ + \mathcal{O}(N^{-1} R(N, \varepsilon, t) \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{C}^2}) \\ = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \sum_{j' \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \widehat{\phi}_{j'} \psi_{j'}(y) \psi_{j'}(w') \mathcal{S}_{y,y}^{(p)} \left[\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \frac{2\Theta(v_j, v_{j-j'})}{v_j + v_{j-j'}} \psi_j^*(w + w') \right] \\ + \mathcal{O}(N^{-1} R(N, \varepsilon, t) \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{C}^2}).$$

Note that, recalling (5.18) and (5.12), for $j \in \{-N/2, \dots, N/2\} \bmod N$ and since $\Theta(v_j, v_j) = 1$,

$$(5.21) \quad \left| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \frac{2\Theta(v_j, v_{j-j'})}{v_j + v_{j-j'}} \psi_j^*(w + w') - \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \frac{\psi_j^*(w + w')}{v_j} \right| \leq \\ \leq N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \left| \frac{2\Theta(v_j, v_{j-j'})}{v_j + v_{j-j'}} - \frac{2\Theta(v_j, v_j)}{v_j + v_j} \right| \leq C_{\#} |j'| N^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

It is then natural to define $\mathbb{G}_{\omega_0, N}(x) = N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_j \frac{\psi_j(x)}{v_j} = N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_j \frac{\psi_j^*(x)}{v_j}$ (see also (F.1)). Which allows us to write (5.20) as

$$N^{-1} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \phi\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) \mathcal{S}_{x+w, x-w'}^{(q)} = N^{-1} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \sum_{j' \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \widehat{\phi}_{j'} \psi_{j'}(y + w') \mathcal{S}_{y,y}^{(p)} \mathbb{G}_{\omega_0, N}(w + w') \\ + \mathcal{O}\left(N^{-\frac{5}{2}} \sum_{j' \in \mathbb{Z}_N} |\widehat{\phi}_{j'}| |j'| \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \mathcal{S}_{y,y}^{(p)}\right) + \mathcal{O}(R(N, \varepsilon, t) \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{C}^2}) \\ = N^{-1} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \phi\left(\frac{y + w'}{N}\right) \mathcal{S}_{y,y}^{(p)} \mathbb{G}_{\omega_0, N}(w + w') + \mathcal{O}(R(N, \varepsilon, t) \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{C}^3})$$

where we have used Lemma E.1. To conclude the proof note that

$$\left| \phi\left(\frac{y + w'}{N}\right) - \phi\left(\frac{y}{N}\right) \right| \leq N^{-1} \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{C}^1}$$

so that, recalling (F.4), $|w + w'| \leq 2$ and that $\phi = \phi''$,

$$\begin{aligned} N^{-1} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \phi''\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) \mathbf{S}_{x+w, x-w'}^{(q)} &= N^{-1} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \phi''\left(\frac{y}{N}\right) \mathbf{S}_{y,y}^{(p)} \mathbb{G}_{\omega_0}(w + w') \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}(R(N, \varepsilon, t) \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{C}^5}). \end{aligned}$$

The above, recalling Equations (5.11), (3.9), proves the proposition. \square

5.3. The dynamics of the covariance matrix.

Since the final time t is fixed in this section, we remove the dependence of \mathbf{S} on t . Before starting our study of the covariance matrix, we need to introduce some notation.

In analogy to (3.2), we define the matrix $2N \times 2N$

$$(5.22) \quad \mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{0} & -\mathbb{1} \\ -\Delta_{\mathbb{Z}_N} + \omega_0^2 \mathbb{1} & \gamma \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix},$$

Also, we define

$$(5.23) \quad \Xi(S^{(p)}) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{0} & \mathbb{0} \\ \mathbb{0} & \text{Diag}(S^{(p)}) \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\mathbb{0}$ is $N \times N$ null matrix and for each $N \times N$ matrix M

$$(5.24) \quad \text{Diag}(M) = \begin{bmatrix} M_{1,1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & M_{2,2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & M_{3,3} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & M_{N,N} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The following result controls the Fourier transform of the covariance matrix.

Proposition 5.5. *We have the representation¹¹*

$$\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_{j,j'}^{(q)} = \frac{2\Theta(v_j, v_{j'})}{v_j + v_{j'}} \widehat{F}_{j,j'} + \widehat{B}_{j,j'} =: \widehat{H}_{j,j'} + \widehat{B}_{j,j'}$$

where

$$\widehat{F}_{j,j'} = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \psi_j(y) \psi_{j'}^*(y) \mathbf{S}_{y,y}^{(p)}$$

and the matrix \widehat{B} satisfies

$$(5.25) \quad \sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} |\widehat{B}_{j,j-l}| \leq C_{\#} R(N, \varepsilon, t).$$

Proof. By a straightforward computation:

$$(5.26) \quad \mathcal{G}S_N(t) = -\mathbf{A}S_N(t) - S_N(t)\mathbf{A}^T + 2\gamma\Xi(S_N^{(p)}(t)).$$

¹¹See Equation (5.18) for the definition of $\Theta(v_j, v_{j'})$, (5.12) for the definition of v_j , and Equations (5.12), (5.13) and (5.14) for the Fourier coefficients.

It is then convenient to define, recall also definition (5.11),

$$(5.27) \quad \mathcal{R} := \mathbf{A}\mathbf{S} + \mathbf{S}\mathbf{A}^T - 2\gamma\Xi(\mathbf{S}^{(p)}),$$

which, remembering (3.8), can be written in components as

$$(5.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}^{(q)} &= -\mathbf{S}^{(p,q)} - \mathbf{S}^{(q,p)} \\ \mathcal{R}^{(q,p)} &= \mathbf{S}^{(q)}(\omega_0^2 \mathbb{1} - \Delta_{\mathbb{Z}_N}) + \gamma \mathbf{S}^{(q,p)} - \mathbf{S}^{(p)} \\ \mathcal{R}^{(p,q)} &= (\omega_0^2 \mathbb{1} - \Delta_{\mathbb{Z}_N})\mathbf{S}^{(q)} + \gamma \mathbf{S}^{(p,q)} - \mathbf{S}^{(p)} \\ \mathcal{R}^{(p)} &= (\omega_0^2 \mathbb{1} - \Delta_{\mathbb{Z}_N})\mathbf{S}^{(q,p)} + \mathbf{S}^{(p,q)}(\omega_0^2 \mathbb{1} - \Delta_{\mathbb{Z}_N}) \\ &\quad + 2\gamma \mathbf{S}^{(p)} - 2\gamma \text{Diag}(\mathbf{S}^{(p)}). \end{aligned}$$

Using the notation (5.14), we can rewrite Equations (5.28) as

$$(5.29) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{j,j'}^{(q)} &= -\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_{j,j'}^{(q,p)} - \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_{j,j'}^{(p,q)} \\ \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{j,j'}^{(q,p)} + \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{j,j'}^{(p,q)} + \gamma \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{j,j'}^{(q)} &= (v_j + v_{j'}) \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_{j,j'}^{(q)} - 2\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_{j,j'}^{(p)} \\ \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{j,j'}^{(q,p)} - \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{j,j'}^{(p,q)} - \gamma \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{j,j'}^{(q)} &= 2\gamma \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_{j,j'}^{(q,p)} - \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_{j,j'}^{(q)} (v_j - v_{j'}) \\ \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{j,j'}^{(p)} + v_{j'} \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{j,j'}^{(q)} &= (v_j - v_{j'}) \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_{j,j'}^{(q,p)} - 2\gamma \widehat{F}_{j,j'} + 2\gamma \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_{j,j'}^{(p)}. \end{aligned}$$

In fact, the second line of Equation (5.29) follows from the first three lines of (5.28) and the fact that, for any $N \times N$ matrix \mathcal{A} ,

$$(5.30) \quad \begin{aligned} (U^{-1}(\omega_0^2 \mathbb{1} - \Delta_{\mathbb{Z}_N})\mathcal{A}U)_{j,j'} &= v_j \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{j,j'} \\ (U^{-1}\mathcal{A}(\omega_0^2 \mathbb{1} - \Delta_{\mathbb{Z}_N})U)_{j,j'} &= v_{j'} \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{j,j'}. \end{aligned}$$

The third line of Equation (5.29) is obtained similarly by the first three lines of (5.28), and the fourth equation follows from the fourth line of (5.28) and the first of (5.29).

The next Lemma, whose proof is briefly postponed, shows that the matrices $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}^\alpha$ are negligible in the limit.

Lemma 5.6. *For any $\alpha \in \{(q), (p), (q, p), (p, q)\}$ we have the estimates*

$$\sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} |\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{j,j-l}^\alpha| \leq C_\# R(N, \varepsilon, t).$$

By simple algebraic manipulations, Equation (5.29) implies

$$\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_{j,j'}^{(q)} = \frac{2\Theta(v_j, v_{j'})}{v_j + v_{j'}} \widehat{F}_{j,j'} + \widehat{B}_{j,j'},$$

where the matrix \widehat{B} is a linear combination of the $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}^\alpha$ with uniformly bounded coefficients. Hence, the bound (5.25) follows then from Lemma 5.6 concluding the proof. \square

Proof of Lemma 5.6. We need to treat appropriate linear combinations of the elements of \mathbf{S} , to this end we consider $2N \times 2N$ constant real matrices

$$(5.31) \quad \beta_N = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_N^{(q)} & \beta_N^{(q,p)} \\ \beta_N^{(p,q)} & \beta_N^{(p)} \end{pmatrix},$$

where β_N^α are $N \times N$ matrices such that $\sup_N \|\beta_N\| < \infty$. By (3.11),

$$(5.32) \quad \|\text{Tr}(S_N \beta_N)\| \leq C_\# \|\beta_N\|.$$

Integrating in time and averaging with respect to the initial conditions Equation (5.26), using Corollary 4.3 with $A(t) = \text{Tr}(S_N(t) \beta_N)$, and Equations (5.32), (5.27), we obtain,

$$(5.33) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(\beta_N \mathcal{R}) &= \text{Tr} \left(\beta_N \left[\mathbf{A} \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{S} \mathbf{A}^T - 2\gamma \Xi(\mathbf{S}^{(p)}) \right] \right) \\ &= \frac{\text{Tr}(\beta_N \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N}(S_N(0) - S_N(N^2 t)))}{N^2} + \mathcal{O}(\|\beta_N\| R(N, \varepsilon, t)). \end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$(5.34) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{x,y}^{\alpha,s} &= \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{R}_{x,y}^\alpha + \mathcal{R}_{-x,-y}^\alpha) \\ \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{l,j}^{\alpha,s} &= \frac{1}{2} (\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{l,j}^\alpha + \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{-l,-j}^\alpha) = \Re(\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{l,j}^\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

To deal with the absolute value of the Fourier coefficients, we must make the appropriate choices of β_N . For any fixed $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha \in \{(q), (p), (q,p), (p,q)\}$, we choose $\beta_N = \beta^{l,\alpha}$, with the following block components

$$\beta_{x,y}^{l,\alpha,\alpha} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \psi_{-j}^*(x) \psi_{-j+l}(y) \text{sign}(\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{l-j,-j}^{\alpha,s}), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_N,$$

for the α block and $\beta^{l,\alpha,\alpha'} = 0$ for $\alpha' \neq \alpha$. Note that, for all $w, v \in \mathbb{R}^N$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle w, \beta^{l,\alpha,\alpha} v \rangle| &= \left| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \sum_{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \psi_{-j}^*(x) \psi_{-j+l}(y) w_x v_y \text{sign}(\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{l-j,-j}^{\alpha,s}) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} |\widehat{w}_{-j}| |\widehat{v}_{j-l}| \leq \|\widehat{w}\| \|\widehat{v}\| = \|w\| \|v\|, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\|\beta^{l,\alpha}\| \leq 1$. Moreover, for any \mathcal{R}^α in (5.28),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}^{\alpha,s} \beta^{l,\alpha,\alpha}) &= \sum_{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \sum_{j,j',j'' \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \psi_{j'}^*(x) \psi_{j''}(y) \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{j',j''}^{\alpha,s} \psi_{-j}^*(y) \psi_{-j+l}(x) \text{sign}(\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{l-j,-j}^{\alpha,s}) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j,j',j'' \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \sum_{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \psi_{j+j'-l}^*(x) \psi_{j+j''}(y) \text{sign}(\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{l-j,-j}^{\alpha,s}) \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{j',j''}^{\alpha,s} \\ &= \sum_{j,j',j'' \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \delta_{j,l-j'} \delta_{j,-j''} \text{sign}(\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{l-j,-j}^{\alpha,s}) \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{j',j''}^{\alpha,s} \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \text{sign}(\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{l-j,-j}^{\alpha,s}) \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{l-j,-j}^{\alpha,s} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} |\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{j,j-l}^{\alpha,s}|, \end{aligned}$$

where in the line next to the last we have used (5.15).

Denote $\check{\mathcal{R}}_{x,y}^\alpha = \mathcal{R}_{-x,-y}^\alpha$, $\check{\beta}_{x,y}^{l,\alpha} = \beta_{-x,-y}^{l,\alpha}$ and $\check{\beta}^{l,\alpha}$ the matrix with all zero blocks apart from $\check{\beta}^{l,\alpha,\alpha}$. Then, by the above equality and (5.34) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} |\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{j,j-t}^{\alpha,s}| &= \text{Tr} \left(\mathcal{R}^{\alpha,s} \beta^{l,\alpha,\alpha} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left(\mathcal{R}^\alpha \beta^{l,\alpha,\alpha} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left(\check{\mathcal{R}}^\alpha \beta^{l,\alpha,\alpha} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left(\mathcal{R}^\alpha \beta^{l,\alpha,\alpha} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left(\mathcal{R}^\alpha \check{\beta}^{l,\alpha,\alpha} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left(\mathcal{R} \beta^{l,\alpha} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left(\mathcal{R} \check{\beta}^{l,\alpha} \right).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{5.35}$$

By (5.33), and $\|\beta^{l,\alpha}\| \leq 1$, $\|\check{\beta}^{l,\alpha}\| \leq 1$, the right-hand side is less than

$$\sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \frac{\text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{2} (\beta^{l,\alpha} + \check{\beta}^{l,\alpha}) \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N} (S_N(0) - S_N(N^2 t)) \right)}{N^2} + \mathcal{O}(R(N, \varepsilon, t)).$$

Note that, by (5.32), for any $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \left| \text{Tr} \left(\beta_N^{l,\alpha} \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N} (S_N(t)) \right) \right| = \sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \left| \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N} \left(\text{Tr} \left(\beta_N^{l,\alpha} S_N(t) \right) \right) \right| \leq C_\# N.$$

The Lemma follows by estimating similarly the imaginary part. \square

5.4. Equipartition.

In this section, we present an equipartition result which allows to connect the expectation of p_x^2 to the expectation of \mathfrak{e}_x .

Proposition 5.7. *For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^3(\mathbb{T})$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon \in (0, N^{-6})$, $t > s \in \mathbb{R}^+$, and initial probability measure $\mu_N \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{init}}$ we have,*

$$\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \varphi'' \left(\frac{x}{N} \right) \int_s^t \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N} \left[p_x^2(N^2 u) - \mathfrak{e}_x(N^2 u) \right] du \right| \leq C_\# \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}^3} R(N, \varepsilon, (t-s)).$$

Proof. Since by Theorem 4.9 $\phi_\star^s \mathcal{M}_{\text{init}} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\text{init}}$ it is enough to prove the statement in the case $s = 0$. A direct computation yields, setting $Q_x = q_{x-1}(q_x - q_{x-1})$,

$$2p_x^2 - 2\mathfrak{e}_x = -(\nabla_{\mathbb{Z}_N} Q)_x + \mathcal{G} \left(q_x p_x + \frac{\gamma}{2} q_x^2 \right).
 \tag{5.36}$$

Since

$$\left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \varphi'' \left(\frac{x}{N} \right) \left[q_x p_x + \frac{\gamma}{2} q_x^2 \right] \right\| \leq C_\# \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}^2},$$

we can apply again Corollary 4.3 and write

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2}{N} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \varphi'' \left(\frac{x}{N} \right) \int_0^t \mu_N \left[p_x^2(N^2 s) - \mathfrak{e}_x(N^2 s) \right] ds \\
&= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \nabla_{\mathbb{Z}_N} \varphi'' \left(\frac{x}{N} \right) \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N} [Q_x(N^2 s)] ds \\
(5.37) \quad &+ \frac{1}{N^3} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \varphi'' \left(\frac{x}{N} \right) \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N} \left[q_x(N^2 t) p_x(N^2 t) + \frac{\gamma}{2} q_x^2(N^2 t) \right] \\
&- \frac{1}{N^3} \sum_{x=0}^n \varphi'' \left(\frac{x}{N} \right) \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N} \left[q_x(0) p_x(0) + \frac{\gamma}{2} q_x^2(0) \right] \\
&+ \|\varphi''\|_{\infty} \mathcal{O}(R(N, \varepsilon, t)).
\end{aligned}$$

We have, for each $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\left| \frac{1}{N^3} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \varphi'' \left(\frac{x}{N} \right) \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N} \left[q_x(N^2 s) p_x(N^2 s) + \frac{\gamma}{2} q_x^2(N^2 s) \right] \right| \leq C_{\#} \frac{\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}^2}}{N^2}.$$

While for the term in the second line of (5.37) we have

$$(5.38) \quad \left| \int_0^t \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \nabla_{\mathbb{Z}_N} \varphi'' \left(\frac{x}{N} \right) \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N} [Q_x(N^2 s)] ds \right| \leq C_{\#} \frac{\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}^3} t}{N}.$$

□

6. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM 2.7

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.7.

Given a sequence $(\varepsilon_N)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\varepsilon_N \leq N^{-\alpha}$, $\alpha > 6$, Theorem 5.1 implies that, for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^6$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$(6.1) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left[\xi_N(t, \varphi) - \xi_N(0, \varphi) - \frac{D}{2\gamma} \int_0^t \xi_N(s, \varphi'') ds \right] = 0.$$

For each $t_* > 0$, let $\mathcal{C}_*^0 := \mathcal{C}^0([0, t_*], \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T}))$ where $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$ is endowed with the metric defined in (G.1), which metrizes the weak topology. Note that, for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\xi_N \in \mathcal{C}_*^0$. Let $(\mu_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{init}}^*$, \mathcal{E}_0 be given by (2.11) and $\mathcal{E}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}_*^0$ be solution of the heat equation with initial condition \mathcal{E}_0 .

Since $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$ is compact, the relative compactness of the sequence (ξ_N) follows from the equicontinuity in time of ξ_N proved in Appendix G and by Ascoli's theorem [43, pag. 223, Theorem 17]. Consequently, there exist accumulation points $\xi_*(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}_*^0$ of the sequence $(\xi_N(\cdot))$. By (6.1) such accumulation points satisfy the weak heat Equation (2.14). Furthermore, by (2.11), $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \xi_N(0) = \mathcal{E}_0$. Therefore, since the heat equation has a unique

solution,¹² one has

$$(6.2) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \xi_N(t) = \mathcal{E}(t)$$

uniformly in $[0, t_*]$ in the weak topology.

To extend the result in time, note that

$$(\bar{q}(t), \bar{p}(t), \bar{\theta}(t)) := (q(t + N^2 t_*), p(t + N^2 t_*), \theta(t + N^2 t_*))$$

satisfies Equations (2.1). Moreover, the distribution μ_N^* of $(\bar{q}(0), \bar{p}(0), \bar{\theta}(0))$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}_{\text{init}}$ by Theorem 4.9. In addition, we have just seen that μ_N^* satisfies (2.11), that is, its energy profile converges to $\mathcal{E}(t_*)$. It follows that we can apply our results to such a process and extend it for another time t_* . Iterating the argument yields

$$(6.3) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \xi_N(t) = \mathcal{E}(t) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

6.1. Error estimate.

To conclude the proof of Theorem 2.7, we must compute the difference

$$\eta_N(t, \varphi) = \xi_N(t, \varphi) - \mathcal{E}(t, \varphi), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Theorem 5.1 yields

$$(6.4) \quad \eta_N(t, \varphi) = \eta_N(s, \varphi) + \frac{D}{2\gamma} \int_s^t \eta_N(u, \varphi'') du + \mathcal{O}(\|\varphi\|_{C^5} R(N, \varepsilon, t - s)).$$

Evaluating (6.4) on $\psi_k(u) = e^{2i\pi k u}$, $k \neq 0$, we obtain¹³

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \eta_N(t, \psi_k) &= \eta_N(s, \psi_k) - 2\pi^2 k^2 \frac{D}{\gamma} \int_s^t \eta_N(\tau, \psi_k) d\tau \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}(k^5 R(N, \varepsilon, t - s)), \end{aligned}$$

while $\eta_N(t, 1) = 0$ for all times.

Since $\varepsilon \in (0, N^{-\alpha})$, $\alpha > 6$, and, from Equation (5.2), $\beta_* = \min\{1, \frac{\alpha}{6} - 1\}$, one has, for $N \geq 2$,

$$(6.6) \quad R(N, \varepsilon, t) \leq C_{\#} \max\{t, 1\} N^{-\beta_*} (\ln N)^2.$$

Iterating once (6.5) for $t - s \leq \min\{1, \frac{\gamma}{2\pi^2 D} k^{-2}\}$, yields

$$(6.7) \quad \begin{aligned} |\eta_N(t, \psi_k)| &\leq \left(1 - 2\pi^2 k^2 \frac{D}{\gamma} (t - s)\right) |\eta_N(s, \psi_k)| \\ &\quad + 4\pi^4 k^4 \frac{D^2}{\gamma^2} \int_s^t (t - \tau) |\eta_N(\tau, \psi_k)| d\tau \\ &\quad + C_{\#} (k^7 (t - s) + k^5) N^{-\beta_*} (\ln N)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\zeta(s, u, k) = \sup_{\tau \in [s, s+u]} |\eta_N(\tau, \psi_k)|$, and $t_k = \min\{1, \frac{\gamma}{4\pi^2 D} k^{-2}\}$, then

$$\zeta(s, t_k, k) \leq |\eta_N(s, \psi_k)| + \frac{1}{8} \zeta(s, t_k, k) + C_{\#} k^5 N^{-\beta_*} (\ln N)^2.$$

¹²Just compute its Fourier coefficients $\mathcal{E}_k(t) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{2\pi i k x} \mathcal{E}(t, dx)$.

¹³Note that, by linearity, Equation (6.4) holds as well for complex functions.

Using the above in (6.7) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\eta_N(s + t_k, \psi_k)| &\leq \frac{1}{2} |\eta_N(s, \psi_k)| + C_{\#} k^5 N^{-\beta_{\star}} (\ln N)^2 t_k \\
 (6.8) \quad &+ 4\pi^4 k^4 \frac{D^2}{\gamma^2} t_k^2 \left[\frac{8}{7} |\eta_N(s, \psi_k)| + C_{\#} k^5 N^{-\beta_{\star}} (\ln N)^2 \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{11}{14} |\eta_N(s, \psi_k)| + C_{\#} k^5 N^{-\beta_{\star}} (\ln N)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

For each $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we write $t = mt_k + \tau$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\tau \in [0, t_k)$. Iterating Equation (6.8), and setting $\tilde{D} = \frac{4\pi^2 D}{\gamma} \ln(\frac{14}{11})$, we obtain

$$(6.9) \quad |\eta_N(t, \psi_k)| \leq 2e^{-\tilde{D}k^2 t} |\eta_N(0, \psi_k)| + C_{\#} k^5 N^{-\beta_{\star}} (\ln N)^2.$$

Recall that $|\eta_N(0, \psi_k)| = \varpi(N, k, \mu_N)$.¹⁴ Thus, for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^7(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ and $L \in \mathbb{N}$,¹⁵

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} |\xi_N(t, \varphi) - \mathcal{E}(t, \varphi)| &\leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} |\hat{\varphi}(k)| |\eta_N(t, \psi_k)| \\
 (6.10) \quad &\leq C_{\#} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}^7} \left\{ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} k^{-7} e^{-\tilde{D}t} \varpi(N, k, \mu_N) + N^{-\beta_{\star}} (\ln N)^2 \right\} \\
 &\leq C_{\#} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}^7} \left\{ e^{-\tilde{D}t} \Psi(N; \mu_N) + N^{-\beta_{\star}} (\ln N)^2 \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

which proves the theorem.

¹⁴See (2.12) for the definition of ϖ .

¹⁵Setting $\hat{\varphi}(k) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{-2\pi i k \theta} \varphi(\theta) d\theta$ for the Fourier coefficients.

APPENDIX A. DECAY OF CORRELATIONS FOR EXPANDING MAP

In this section, we quickly recap the facts used in this paper pertaining to the statistical properties of smooth expanding maps. For simplicity, we confine ourselves to one dimensional maps, but the same theory holds in higher dimensions and for hyperbolic maps, see [20] for a quick exposition or [1, 2] for exhaustive details.

Expanding maps have lots of invariant probability measures, but they have the remarkable property of having only one absolutely continuous invariant probability measure (see Remark A.4). Moreover, correlations with respect to such measure decay exponentially if tested on smooth functions. Such properties follow from Theorem A.2 and its consequence Lemma A.3.

Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{T})$ such that $f' \geq \lambda > 1$. A trivial consequence of expansivity is the following.

Lemma A.1. *For any $\theta \in \mathbb{T}$, $(f^{-n}(\theta))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is dense.*

Proof. Let $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{T}$. Note that f^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, belongs to $\mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{T})$, and $\inf_{[0,1]}(f^n)' \geq \lambda^n$. Therefore, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, either $f^n((a, b)) = \mathbb{T}$ or

$$1 > |f^n((a, b))| = \int_a^b (f^n)'(\theta) d\theta \geq |b - a| \lambda^n,$$

which can happen only for finitely many n . Hence, there exists $\bar{n} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^{\bar{n}}((a, b)) = \mathbb{T}$, so $f^{-\bar{n}}(\theta) \cap (a, b) \neq \emptyset$, thus proving the Lemma. \square

We are interested in studying the decay of correlation for observables of bounded variation. To this end, it is useful to introduce the concept of transfer operator defined as, for each $g \in \mathcal{C}^0, \rho \in L^1$,¹⁶

$$(A.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} \rho(\theta) (g \circ f)(\theta) d\theta = \int_{\mathbb{T}} g(\theta) \mathcal{L}\rho(\theta) d\theta.$$

Note that, by definition, $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathcal{L}\rho(\theta) d\theta = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \rho(\theta) d\theta$ for each $\rho \in L^1$. A simple change of variables shows that

$$\mathcal{L}\rho(\theta) = \sum_{\theta' \in f^{-1}(\theta)} \frac{\rho(\theta')}{f'(\theta')}$$

this is usually called SRB (Sinai, Ruelle, Bowen) transfer operator. Note also that $|\mathcal{L}\rho(\theta)| \leq \mathcal{L}|\rho|(\theta)$, that implies $\|\mathcal{L}\rho\|_{L^1} \leq \|\rho\|_{L^1}$. All the properties used in this paper are summarized as follows. We recall that the space BV of functions from \mathbb{T} to \mathbb{C} of bounded variation is defined as

$$BV = \left\{ g \in L^1(d\theta) : \sup_{\{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C}) : \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}^0} \leq 1\}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi'(\theta) g(\theta) d\theta < +\infty \right\},$$

and, for $g \in BV$, the BV norm is defined as

$$\|g\|_{BV} = \|g\|_{L^1} + \sup_{\{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C}) : \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}^0} \leq 1\}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi'(\theta) g(\theta) d\theta.$$

¹⁶By $g \circ f(\theta)$ we mean, as usual, the composition $g(f(\theta))$.

Theorem A.2. \mathcal{L} is a bounded operator when acting on BV (we write $\mathcal{L} \in L(BV, BV)$). Moreover, there exists $\nu \in (0, 1)$ such that the spectrum

$$\sigma_{BV}(\mathcal{L}) \subset \{1\} \cup \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < \nu\},$$

and 1 is a simple eigenvalue of \mathcal{L} with eigenvector $\rho_\star \in \mathcal{C}^1$, $\rho_\star > 0$.

The above theorem, together with Equation (A.1), implies that there exists a unique $\rho_\star \in BV$ such that $\mathcal{L}\rho_\star = \rho_\star$, $\int \rho_\star = 1$, and that \mathcal{L}^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, has the spectral decomposition

$$(A.2) \quad \mathcal{L}^n \rho = \rho_\star \int_{\mathbb{T}} \rho(\theta) d\theta + Q^n \rho$$

where $Q \in L(BV, BV)$ has spectral radius strictly smaller than ν , hence

$$(A.3) \quad \|Q^n\|_{BV} \leq C_\# \nu^n.$$

The above decomposition allows us to establish the following basic result on the decay of correlations.

Lemma A.3. For each $\rho \in BV$, $g \in L^1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} \rho(\theta) (g \circ f^n)(\theta) d\theta - \int_{\mathbb{T}} g(\theta) \rho_\star(\theta) d\theta \int_{\mathbb{T}} \rho(\theta') d\theta' \right| \leq C_\# \nu^n \|g\|_{L^1} \|\rho\|_{BV}.$$

Moreover, if $\rho, g \in L^2$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} \rho(\theta) (g \circ f^n)(\theta) d\theta - \int_{\mathbb{T}} g(\theta) \rho_\star(\theta) d\theta \int_{\mathbb{T}} \rho(\theta') d\theta' \right| = 0.$$

Proof. Let $\rho \in BV$ and $g \in L^1$. We just compute, recalling (A.2),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} \rho(\theta) (g \circ f^n)(\theta) d\theta - \int_{\mathbb{T}} g(\theta) \rho_\star(\theta) d\theta \int_{\mathbb{T}} \rho(\theta) d\theta \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} g(\theta) \mathcal{L}^n \rho(\theta) d\theta - \int_{\mathbb{T}} g(\theta) \rho_\star(\theta) d\theta \int_{\mathbb{T}} \rho(\theta) d\theta \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} g(\theta) Q^n \rho(\theta) d\theta \right| \leq C_\# \nu^n \|g\|_{L^1} \|\rho\|_{BV}. \end{aligned}$$

To prove the second statement, first note that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\rho \in L^2$,

$$\|\mathcal{L}^n \rho\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \rho(\theta) (\mathcal{L}^n \rho) \circ f^n(\theta) d\theta \leq \|(\mathcal{L}^n \rho) \circ f^n\|_{L^2} \|\rho\|_{L^2},$$

and, since (A.2) and (A.3) imply

$$\|\mathcal{L}^n 1\|_\infty \leq \|\mathcal{L}^n 1 - \rho_\star\|_{BV} + \|\rho_\star\|_{BV} = \|Q^n 1\|_{BV} + \|\rho_\star\|_{BV} \leq C_\#,$$

we have

$$\|(\mathcal{L}^n \rho) \circ f^n\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\mathcal{L}^n \rho|^2 \mathcal{L}^n 1 \leq \|\mathcal{L}^n 1\|_\infty \|\mathcal{L}^n \rho\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_\# \|\mathcal{L}^n \rho\|_{L^2}^2.$$

These above inequalities imply that \mathcal{L} is power-bounded on L^2 , i.e.,

$$(A.4) \quad \|\mathcal{L}^n \rho\|_{L^2} \leq C_\# \|\rho\|_{L^2}, \quad \text{for any } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For each $g, \rho \in L^2$, $\varepsilon > 0$, let $\rho_\varepsilon \in BV$ such that $\|\rho - \rho_\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon$. Using (A.4), and the first part of the Lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} g \mathcal{L}^n \rho - \int_{\mathbb{T}} \rho \int_{\mathbb{T}} g \rho_\star \right| &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} g \mathcal{L}^n \rho_\varepsilon - \int_{\mathbb{T}} \rho_\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}} g \rho_\star \right| + C_\# \|g\|_{L^2} \varepsilon \\ &= C_\# \|g\|_{L^2} \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which by the arbitrariness of ε concludes the proof. \square

Similar results, that will be used in the main text, can be obtained for multiple times correlations.

Remark A.4. *If we apply Lemma A.3 to $\rho = 1$, it implies that $\rho_\star \geq 0$, hence $\rho_\star d\theta$ is indeed a probability measure. Moreover, if $\rho \in L^1$ is the density of an invariant probability measure, then it can be approximated in L^1 by functions $\rho_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$. Then, Lemma A.3 implies*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \rho \varphi &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \rho(\varphi \circ f^n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \rho_\varepsilon(\varphi \circ f^n) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon \|\varphi\|_\infty) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \rho_\star \varphi + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon \|\varphi\|_\infty). \end{aligned}$$

That is, by the arbitrariness of ε , $\rho = \rho_\star$. In other words, f has a unique absolutely continuous invariant probability measure.

Theorem A.2 is well-known (e.g. see [20, Chapter 1]). However, we provide a brief proof for the reader's convenience.

Proof of Theorem A.2. For all $\rho \in \mathcal{C}^1$ we have, by a direct computation,

$$(A.5) \quad (\mathcal{L}\rho)' = \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{\rho'}{f'}\right) - \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{f''}{(f')^2}\rho\right).$$

This implies

$$\|(\mathcal{L}\rho)'\|_{L^1} \leq \left\| \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{\rho'}{f'}\right) \right\|_{L^1} + \left\| \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{f''}{(f')^2}\rho\right) \right\|_{L^1} \leq \lambda^{-1} \|\rho'\|_{L^1} + B \|\rho\|_{L^1}$$

where

$$(A.6) \quad B = \left\| \frac{f''}{(f')^2} \right\|_\infty.$$

More generally, Equation (A.5) implies the following basic property of \mathcal{L} : for each $\rho \in \mathcal{C}^1$, we have¹⁷

$$(A.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{L}\rho\|_{L^1} &\leq \|\rho\|_{L^1} \\ \|\mathcal{L}\rho\|_{W^{1,1}} &= \|(\mathcal{L}\rho)'\|_{L^1} + \|(\mathcal{L}\rho)\|_{L^1} \leq \lambda^{-1} \|\rho\|_{W^{1,1}} + (B+1) \|\rho\|_{L^1}, \end{aligned}$$

¹⁷Recall that $\|\rho\|_{W^{1,1}} = \|\rho\|_{L^1} + \|\rho'\|_{L^1}$.

these are the so-called Lasota-Yorke, or Doeblin-Fortet, inequalities. Hence, $\mathcal{L} \in L(L^1, L^1) \cap L(W^{1,1}, W^{1,1})$ and, iterating, Equations (A.7) imply that

$$(A.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{L}^n \rho\|_{W^{1,1}} &\leq \lambda^{-n} \|\rho\|_{W^{1,1}} + (B+1)(1-\lambda^{-1})^{-1} \|\rho\|_{L^1} \\ &\leq (\lambda^{-n} + (B+1)(1-\lambda^{-1})^{-1}) \|\rho\|_{W^{1,1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\sigma_{W^{1,1}}(\mathcal{L}) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$, and by (A.8) the sequence

$$(A.9) \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{L}^k 1$$

is compact in L^1 and hence has accumulation points, which belong to $W^{1,1}$. Since $\mathcal{L}^k 1 \geq 0$, if ρ_* is one such accumulation point, then $\rho_* \geq 0$ and $\mathcal{L}\rho_* = \rho_*$, that is $1 \in \sigma_{W^{1,1}}(\mathcal{L})$. To prove that ρ_* is strictly positive, note that if there exists $\bar{\theta}$ such that $\rho_*(\bar{\theta}) = 0$, then, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(A.10) \quad 0 = \rho_*(\bar{\theta}) = \mathcal{L}^n \rho_*(\bar{\theta}) = \sum_{y \in f^{-n}(\bar{\theta})} \frac{\rho_*(y)}{(f^n)'(y)}.$$

The right-hand side is a sum of non-negative terms, hence $\rho_*(y) = 0$ for any $y \in f^{-n}(\bar{\theta})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which, by Lemma A.1, forms a dense set. Since $\rho_* \in W^{1,1} \subset C^0$, this means that $\rho_* \equiv 0$, which is a contradiction.

The above also implies that 1 must be a simple eigenvalue. Indeed, suppose that $\mathcal{L}\rho = \rho$, $\rho \in W^{1,1} \setminus \{\alpha\rho_* : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}$.¹⁸ Then there exists $b > 0$ such that $\rho_* - b\rho \geq 0$ but there exists $\bar{\theta} \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $\rho_*(\bar{\theta}) - b\rho(\bar{\theta}) = 0$. Then the same argument as before yields the contradiction $\rho_* = b\rho$.

To study the rest of the spectrum, note that if $\mathbb{V} = \{\rho \in W^{1,1} : \int_{\mathbb{T}} \rho = 0\}$, then, by (A.1), $\mathcal{L}\mathbb{V} \subset \mathbb{V}$. Moreover, for all $\rho \in \mathbb{V}$, $\|\rho\|_{L^1} \leq \|\rho'\|_{L^1}$. This implies that, for each $\rho \in \mathbb{V}$,

$$(A.11) \quad \|(\mathcal{L}\rho)'\|_{L^1} \leq (\lambda^{-1} + B) \|\rho'\|_{L^1}.$$

The above implies that the spectrum $\sigma_{\mathbb{V}}(\mathcal{L}) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq \lambda^{-1} + B\}$. If $\lambda^{-1} + B =: \nu < 1$, which is the case if the map is close to linear, then Equation (A.11) implies

$$(A.12) \quad \sigma_{W^{1,1}}(\mathcal{L}) \subset \{1\} \cup \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq \nu\}.$$

This proves the theorem apart from the fact that \mathcal{L} is acting on $W^{1,1}$ rather than BV , an issue that we will discuss shortly.

It is necessary to make a more sophisticated argument to prove the theorem in the general case, in which B may be large.

From (A.7) and Hennion's theorem ([40], but see [20, Appendix B] for a self-contained exposition) it follows that \mathcal{L} , when acting on $W^{1,1}$, has

¹⁸Note that if $\mathcal{L}(\alpha + i\beta) = \alpha + i\beta$, then it must be $\mathcal{L}\alpha = \alpha$ and $\mathcal{L}\beta = \beta$, so we can restrict to real functions.

essential spectral radius bounded by λ^{-1} .

If $\mathcal{L}\rho = e^{i\theta_\star}\rho$, $\int_{\mathbb{T}} |\rho| = 1$, $\rho \neq \rho_\star$. Then,

$$|\rho| \leq \mathcal{L}|\rho|$$

implying $|\rho| = \mathcal{L}|\rho|$, since $\int_{\mathbb{T}} (\mathcal{L}|\rho| - |\rho|) = 0$ and the integrand is non-negative. Hence, $\rho = \rho_\star e^{i\psi}$ for some function $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^0([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$, $\psi(0) - \psi(1) = 2k\pi$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{L}(\rho_\star e^{i\psi}) = e^{i\theta_\star} e^{i\psi} \rho_\star$ i.e., $\mathcal{L}(e^{i\psi - i\psi \circ f - i\theta_\star} \rho_\star) - \rho_\star = 0$. Integrating and taking the real part one has

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \rho_\star (1 - \cos(\psi - \psi \circ f - \theta_\star)) = 0,$$

which is possible only if $\psi - \psi \circ f - \theta_\star = 2k\pi$. Since f has at least one fixed point, we have $\theta_\star = 2k\pi$ and 1 is the only eigenvalue on the unit circle.

Thus, there exists $\nu \in (\lambda^{-1}, 1)$ such that $\sigma_{W^{1,1}} \mathcal{L} \setminus \{1\} \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq \nu\}$ (see [20, Proposition 1.3] for a more detailed discussion). Accordingly, we have the spectral decomposition (A.2) (for more details, see, e.g., [20, Section 1.2]). The fact that $\rho_\star \in \mathcal{C}^1$ can be found in [20, Problem 1.7].

To extend \mathcal{L} to BV note that for each $\rho \in BV$ there exists $\{\rho_n\} \subset W^{1,1}$ such that $\|\rho_n\|_{W^{1,1}} \leq \|\rho\|_{BV}$, ρ_n converges to ρ in L^1 , and $\liminf \|\rho_n\|_{W^{1,1}} \geq \|\rho\|_{BV}$ (e.g. see [33, Section 5.2.2 Theorem 2]). This and (A.7) immediately imply that, for each $\rho \in BV$,

$$\begin{aligned} (A.13) \quad & \|\mathcal{L}\rho\|_{L^1} \leq \|\rho\|_{L^1} \\ & \|\mathcal{L}\rho\|_{BV} \leq \lambda^{-1} \|\rho\|_{BV} + (B+1) \|\rho\|_{L^1}, \end{aligned}$$

and by Hennion's theorem the spectral decomposition (A.2) holds also for $\mathcal{L} \in L(BV, BV)$. \square

Note that, iterating (A.13), we have, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (A.14) \quad & \|\mathcal{L}^n \rho\|_{L^1} \leq \|\rho\|_{L^1} \\ & \|\mathcal{L}^n \rho\|_{BV} \leq \lambda^{-n} \|\rho\|_{BV} + \frac{B+1}{1-\lambda^{-1}} \|\rho\|_{L^1}. \end{aligned}$$

Another very useful fact is that densities with bounded logarithmic derivatives constitute an invariant set: let

$$(A.15) \quad C_a = \left\{ \rho \in \mathcal{C}^1 : \rho(\theta) > 0, \quad \frac{|\rho'(\theta)|}{\rho(\theta)} \leq a, \quad \forall \theta \in \mathbb{T} \right\}.$$

Then, since $|\rho'| \leq a\rho$ if $\rho \in C_a$, by (A.5), we have

$$(A.16) \quad \left| \frac{d}{d\theta} \mathcal{L}\rho(\theta) \right| \leq \lambda^{-1} \mathcal{L}|\rho'|(\theta) + B \mathcal{L}\rho(\theta) \leq (\lambda^{-1}a + B) \mathcal{L}\rho(\theta)$$

so $\mathcal{L}C_a \subset C_a$, provided $a > \frac{B}{1-\lambda^{-1}}$.

The next result is used multiple times in the text.

Lemma A.5. For all $K \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{q_j\}_{j=0}^{K-1} \in BV$, $\max_j \|q_j\|_{BV} \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{K-1} \left\| \mathcal{L}^j \left(q_j - \rho_\star \int_{\mathbb{T}} q_j(\theta) d\theta \right) \right\|_{L^1} \\ \leq C_\# \left(\max_j \|q_j\|_{L^1} \right) \ln \left(\max_j \|q_j\|_{BV} \right) + C_\#. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Denote $\tilde{q}_j = q_j - \rho_\star \int_{\mathbb{T}} q_j(\theta) d\theta$. Let $M = \lfloor C_1 \ln(\max_j \|q_j\|_{BV}) \rfloor \geq \lfloor C_1 \ln 2 \rfloor$, where $C_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ will be chosen later. Then,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{K-1} \|\mathcal{L}^j \tilde{q}_j\|_{L^1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\min\{M, K-1\}} \|\mathcal{L}^j \tilde{q}_j\|_{L^1} + \sum_{j=\min\{M+1, K\}}^{K-1} \|\mathcal{L}^j \tilde{q}_j\|_{BV}.$$

Using the first of (A.7) we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\min\{M, K-1\}} \|\mathcal{L}^j \tilde{q}_j\|_{L^1} \leq 2M \max_j \|q_j\|_{L^1}.$$

On the other hand, by Equation (A.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=\min\{M+1, K\}}^{K-1} \|\mathcal{L}^j \tilde{q}_j\|_{BV} &= \sum_{j=\min\{M+1, K\}}^{K-1} \|Q^j \tilde{q}_j\|_{BV} \leq C_\# \sum_{j=M+1}^{K-1} \nu^j \|q_j\|_{BV} \\ &\leq C_\# \nu^{M+1} \max_j \|q_j\|_{BV} \leq C_\# \left(\max_j \|q_j\|_{BV} \right)^{1-C_1 \ln \nu^{-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

and choosing $C_1 \geq (\ln \nu^{-1})^{-1}$ yields the result. \square

Note that, for $g \in L^\infty$ and q_j as in Lemma A.5, we have, for all N ,

$$\begin{aligned} (A.17) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} g(f^j \theta) q_j(\theta) d\theta - \int_{\mathbb{T}} g(\theta) \rho_\star(\theta) d\theta \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} q_j(\theta') d\theta' \right| \\ \leq C_\# \|g\|_\infty \left(\max_j \|q_j\|_{L^1} \right) \ln \left(\max_j \|q_j\|_{BV} \right) + C_\# \|g\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

APPENDIX B. COBOUNDARIES

In this appendix, we provide a criterion to check that $\gamma \neq 0$. See Equation (2.5) for the definition of γ .

We say that a function $\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}^0(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ is a continuous coboundary if there exists $\zeta \in \mathcal{C}^0(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\mathbf{c} = \zeta - \zeta \circ f$. We call $L^2(\rho_\star)$ the L^2 functions on \mathbb{T} with respect to the measure $\rho_\star(\theta) d\theta$.

Lemma B.1. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left| \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b \circ f^k \right\|_{L^2(\rho_\star)}^2 - \gamma \right| \leq \frac{C_\#}{n}.$$

Proof. By a direct computation, and recalling (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b \circ f^k \right\|_{L^2(\rho_*)}^2 &= \sum_{k,j=0}^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} (b \circ f^k)(\theta) (b \circ f^j)(\theta) \rho_*(\theta) d\theta \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} b^2(\theta) \rho_*(\theta) d\theta + 2 \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} \sum_{k>j}^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} (b \circ f^{k-j})(\theta) b(\theta) \rho_*(\theta) d\theta \\
&= n \int_{\mathbb{T}} b^2(\theta) \rho_*(\theta) d\theta + 2 \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} (n-s) \int_{\mathbb{T}} (b \circ f^s)(\theta) b(\theta) \rho_*(\theta) d\theta \\
&= n\gamma - 2n \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}} (b \circ f^s)(\theta) b(\theta) \rho_*(\theta) d\theta - 2 \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} s \int_{\mathbb{T}} (b \circ f^s)(\theta) b(\theta) \rho_*(\theta) d\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by Lemma A.3, $|\int_{\mathbb{T}} (b \circ f^s) b \rho_*| \leq C_{\#} \nu^s$, for some $\nu < 1$. \square

Lemma B.2. $\gamma = 0$ if and only if b is a continuous coboundary.

Proof. If b is a continuous coboundary, then Lemma B.1 implies

$$\gamma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b \circ f^k \right\|_{L^2(\rho_*)}^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} (\zeta \circ f^n - \zeta) \right\|_{L^2(\rho_*)}^2.$$

Conversely, if $\gamma = 0$, then Lemma B.1 implies $\left\| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b \circ f^k \right\|_{L^2(\rho_*)} \leq C_{\#}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, the sequence $\left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b \circ f^k \right\}_n$ admits weakly convergent subsequences in $L^2(\rho_*)$. Let $\zeta \in L^2$ be an accumulation point. Then, recalling Lemma A.3 and that b is zero average, for each $\psi \in W^{1,1} \subset C^0$, and some $(n_j)_j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{T}} \zeta \circ f(\theta) \psi(\theta) \rho_*(\theta) d\theta &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \zeta(\theta) \mathcal{L}(\psi \rho_*)(\theta) d\theta \\
&= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n_j-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} b \circ f^{k+1}(\theta) \psi(\theta) \rho_*(\theta) d\theta \\
&= - \int_{\mathbb{T}} b(\theta) \psi(\theta) \rho_*(\theta) d\theta + \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n_j} \int_{\mathbb{T}} b \circ f^k(\theta) \psi(\theta) \rho_*(\theta) d\theta \\
&= - \int_{\mathbb{T}} b(\theta) \psi(\theta) \rho_*(\theta) d\theta + \int_{\mathbb{T}} \zeta(\theta) \psi(\theta) \rho_*(\theta) d\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $W^{1,1}$ is dense in L^2 and $\rho_* > 0$ (see Theorem A.2) it follows that

$$(B.1) \quad b = \zeta - \zeta \circ f,$$

as elements of L^2 , and we assume without loss of generality that $\int \zeta \rho_* = 0$. It remains to show that $\zeta \in C^0$. Multiplying by ρ_* and applying \mathcal{L} to (B.1)

yields

$$\mathcal{L}(b\rho_\star) = -(\mathbb{1} - \mathcal{L})(\zeta\rho_\star).$$

Hence, for all $\psi \in L^2$, using the decay of correlation in Lemma A.3, it follows

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int \psi \mathcal{L}^{k+1}(b\rho_\star) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \psi [\mathcal{L}^n(\zeta\rho_\star) - (\zeta\rho_\star)] = - \int \psi \zeta\rho_\star.$$

Since $\int_{\mathbb{T}} b(\theta)\rho_\star(\theta)d\theta = 0$, $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}^{k+1}(b\rho_\star) = (\mathbb{1} - \mathcal{L})^{-1}\mathcal{L}(b\rho_\star)$. Hence,

$$(\mathbb{1} - \mathcal{L})^{-1}\mathcal{L}(b\rho_\star) = -\zeta\rho_\star$$

as elements of L^2 . Finally, since $\rho_\star > 0$, we have

$$\zeta = -\frac{1}{\rho_\star}(\mathbb{1} - \mathcal{L})^{-1}\mathcal{L}(b\rho_\star) \in W^{1,1} \subset C^0.$$

□

The importance of Lemma B.2 is that being a continuous coboundary is relatively easy to check. Indeed, if \mathfrak{c} is a continuous coboundary, for any f -invariant measure ν , we have $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathfrak{c} d\nu = 0$. In particular, if there exists just one periodic orbit $\{p, f(p), \dots, f^M(p) = p\}$, $M \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\sum_{k=0}^{M-1} b(f^k(p)) \neq 0$, then b cannot be a coboundary and $\gamma > 0$.

APPENDIX C. STANDARD PAIRS INVARIANCE

This section is mainly devoted to the proof of Lemma 4.8 and Theorem 4.9 and some other technical lemmata that are needed in the main text.

Proof of Lemma 4.8. For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we can partition \mathbb{T}^N in domains $\mathbb{I}(a_j, b_j)$ where $|b_{j,x} - a_{j,x}| \in [\delta_\varepsilon, 2\delta_\varepsilon]$.¹⁹ Accordingly, given any configuration $(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{p}})$ and density ρ_N as in Definition 2.2, we can define the probability measure

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_N(A) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} A(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{p}}, \theta) \rho_N(\theta) d\theta \\ &= \sum_j \left[\int_{\mathbb{I}(a_j, b_j)} \rho_N(\theta) d\theta \right] \int_{\mathbb{I}(a_j, b_j)} A(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{p}}, \theta) \frac{\rho_N(\theta)}{\int_{\mathbb{I}(a_j, b_j)} \rho_N(\theta) d\theta} d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

That is, it can be written as a standard family made of standard pairs with a constant $G(\theta) = (\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{p}}) \in \mathfrak{S}^*(N, \varepsilon, C_0)$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Since any measure $\bar{\mu}_N$ on Σ_N is a weak limit of convex combinations of delta measures, the statement follows. □

Proof of Theorem 4.9. Since ϕ_t is a continuous map, it suffices to prove that $\phi_\star^t(\mathfrak{F}_{N,\varepsilon,C_0}) \subset \mathfrak{F}_{N,\varepsilon,C_0}$. We first prove the statement for $t = \varepsilon$. Without

¹⁹See just after Equation (4.11) for the definition of \mathbb{I} .

loss of generality, we can assume that $\mu \in \mathfrak{F}_{N,\varepsilon,C_0}$ consists of a single standard pair $\ell \in \mathfrak{S}^*(N, \varepsilon, C_0)$.

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} z(\varepsilon, G_\ell(\theta), \theta) &= e^{(-\mathbb{A} + \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(0, \theta))\varepsilon} G_\ell(\theta), \\ \theta_x(\varepsilon, \theta) &= f(\theta_x) \end{aligned}$$

are the solutions of (3.1) and (2.2) at time ε starting at $(G_\ell(\theta), \theta)$ at time zero. Thus, for any $g \in \mathcal{C}^0(\Sigma_N \times \mathbb{T}^N, \mathbb{R})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\star^\varepsilon \mu_\ell(g) &= \mu_\ell(g \circ \phi^\varepsilon) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{I}_\ell} g(z(\varepsilon, G_\ell(\theta), \theta), f(\theta)) \rho_\ell(\theta) d\theta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{I}_\ell} g\left(e^{(-\mathbb{A} + \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(0, \theta))\varepsilon} G_\ell(\theta), f(\theta)\right) \prod_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \rho_{\ell,x}(\theta_x) d\theta_x. \end{aligned}$$

We call φ_x the inverse of $f|_{[a_x, b_x]}$, where $\mathbb{I}_\ell = \mathbb{I}(a, b)$. Setting $\tilde{\theta}_x = f(\theta_x)$,

$$(C.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} g\left(e^{-\mathbb{A}\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(0, \varphi(\tilde{\theta}))} G_\ell(\varphi(\tilde{\theta})), \tilde{\theta}\right) \prod_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} (\mathcal{L}(\rho_{\ell,x} \mathbb{1}_{[a_x, b_x]}))(\tilde{\theta}_x) d\tilde{\theta}_x,$$

where \mathcal{L} is the SRB transfer operator associated to the map f : for $\rho \in BV$,

$$\mathcal{L}\rho(\theta) = \sum_{\theta' \in f^{-1}(\theta)} \frac{\rho(\theta')}{f'(\theta')},$$

see Appendix A for a detailed explanation.

Note that $\mathcal{L}(\rho_{\ell,x} \mathbb{1}_{[a_x, b_x]}) = \mathcal{L}(\rho_{\ell,x}) \mathbb{1}_{f([a_x, b_x])}$. By Equation (A.16), choosing²⁰ $C_0 > \frac{B}{1-\lambda^{-1}}$, $\mathcal{L}\rho_{\ell,x}$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}_{C_0}(\bar{f}(a), \bar{f}(b))$, $\bar{f}(a)_x = f(a_x)$ and $\bar{f}(b)_x = f(b_x)$. Since f is expanding, $|f([a_x, b_x])| > \delta_\varepsilon/2$. However, it can happen that $|f([a_x, b_x])| > \delta_\varepsilon$, so the domain becomes too large to be a standard pair. In this case we can break the image in finitely many pieces indexed by $q \in \mathfrak{Q}$, such that $|b_x^{(q)} - a_x^{(q)}| \in [\frac{\delta}{2}, \delta]$ and define, for all $\tilde{\theta} \in \mathbb{I}(a^{(q)}, b^{(q)})$,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_q &= \int_{\mathbb{I}(a^{(q)}, b^{(q)})} \prod_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \mathcal{L}\rho_{\ell,x}(\theta_x) d\theta_x \\ \rho^{(q)}(\tilde{\theta}) &= Z_q^{-1} \prod_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \left(\mathcal{L}\rho_{\ell,x}(\tilde{\theta}_x) \right) \mathbb{1}_{[a_x^{(q)}, b_x^{(q)}]}(\tilde{\theta}_x) \\ \tilde{G}^{(q)}(\tilde{\theta}) &= e^{(-\mathbb{A} + \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(0, \varphi(\tilde{\theta}))\varepsilon} G_\ell(\varphi(\tilde{\theta})). \end{aligned}$$

This allows to rewrite (C.1) as

$$\sum_{q \in \mathfrak{Q}} Z_q \int_{\mathbb{I}(a^{(q)}, b^{(q)})} \rho^{(q)}(\tilde{\theta}) g(\tilde{G}^{(q)}(\tilde{\theta}), \tilde{\theta}) d\tilde{\theta},$$

²⁰Recall that $B = \left\| \frac{f''}{(f')^2} \right\|_\infty$, see definition (A.6).

where $\rho_x^{(q)} \in \mathcal{D}_{C_0}(a^{(q)}, b^{(q)})$, and $\sum_{q \in \mathfrak{Q}} Z_q = 1$. It thus remains to check that $\tilde{G}^{(q)} \in \mathfrak{S}(N, \varepsilon, C_0)$ for each $q \in \mathfrak{Q}$.

By the conservation of energy, $\tilde{G}^{(q)} \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{I}(a^{(q)}, b^{(q)}), \Sigma_N)$. For all $\vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^N$,

$$(C.2) \quad \left\| \frac{\partial e^{(-\mathbb{A} + \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(0, \vartheta))_\varepsilon}}{\partial \vartheta_x} \right\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\| \frac{\partial (-\mathbb{A} + \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(0, \vartheta))^k}{\partial \vartheta_x} \right\| \frac{\varepsilon^k}{k!} \\ \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k C_{\#}^k \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{k}{2}}}{k!} = C_{\#} \sqrt{\varepsilon} e^{C_{\#} \sqrt{\varepsilon}}.$$

Using the above inequality, since $\left\| \frac{\partial \varphi_x^{(q)}}{\partial \theta_x} \right\|_{\infty} \leq \lambda^{-1}$, the chain rule yields

$$\left\| \frac{\partial \tilde{G}^{(q)}}{\partial \tilde{\theta}_x} \right\| \leq \left\| \frac{\partial e^{(-\mathbb{A} + \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(0, \varphi(\tilde{\theta}))_\varepsilon}}{\partial \tilde{\theta}_x} G_\ell^{(q)}(\varphi(\tilde{\theta})) \right. \\ \left. + e^{(-\mathbb{A} + \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(0, \varphi(\tilde{\theta}))_\varepsilon} \frac{\partial G_\ell^{(q)}(\varphi(\tilde{\theta}))}{\partial \tilde{\theta}_x} \right\| \\ \leq \lambda^{-1} C_{\#} \sqrt{\varepsilon} e^{C_{\#} \sqrt{\varepsilon}} \left\| G_\ell(\varphi(\tilde{\theta})) \right\| + \lambda^{-1} e^{C_{\#} \sqrt{\varepsilon}} \left\| \frac{\partial G_\ell(\theta)}{\partial \theta_x} \right\| \\ \leq \lambda^{-1} C_{\#} e^{C_{\#} \sqrt{\varepsilon}} \sqrt{N \varepsilon} + \lambda^{-1} e^{C_{\#} \sqrt{\varepsilon}} C_0 \sqrt{N \varepsilon}.$$

If ε is small enough, we obtain the claim by choosing C_0 sufficiently large. The statement for all times follows by writing $t = K\varepsilon + \varepsilon'$, $K \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\varepsilon' \in [0, \varepsilon)$ and applying K times the above result. As for the remaining ε' we observe that the map acts as the identity on the θ -coordinates so that it does not modify the densities. Moreover, the new graphs are given by

$$\tilde{G}_\ell(\theta) = e^{(-\mathbb{A} + \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(0, \theta))_{\varepsilon'}} G_\ell(\theta),$$

and $\|\partial_\theta \tilde{G}_\ell\|_{\infty}$ can be bound in terms of $\|\partial_\theta G_\ell\|_{\infty}$ using (C.2). \square

We end this section with the following useful lemmata.

Lemma C.1. *Let ρ a probability density on \mathbb{T} , $\text{supp}(\rho) = I$, where $I = [a, b]$, $|b - a| = \delta < 1/2$ such that $\rho \in \mathcal{C}^1(\overset{\circ}{I}, \mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{C}^0(I, \mathbb{R})$, and $\int_I |\rho'(u)| du \leq C$, for some $C > 0$. Then*

$$(C.3) \quad \|\rho\|_{BV} \leq 2\delta^{-1} + 3C + 1.$$

Proof. Since ρ can only have jumps at the boundaries of $[a, b]$, its total variation is bounded by C plus $\rho(a) + \rho(b)$. On the other hand, by the mean value theorem, there exists $x_* \in I$ such that $\rho(x_*) = \delta^{-1}$, hence for $x \in \{a, b\}$

$$|\rho(x)| = \delta^{-1} + \left| \int_{x_*}^x \rho'(y) dy \right| \leq \delta^{-1} + C.$$

and

$$(C.4) \quad \|\rho\|_{BV} \leq \int \rho(x)dx + \rho(a) + \rho(b) + \int |\rho'(x)|dx \leq 1 + 2\delta^{-1} + 3C.$$

□

Lemma C.2. *Let $\ell \in \mathfrak{S}^*(N, \varepsilon)$ and $\rho_{\ell,x} \in \mathcal{D}_{C_0}$ be the associated density. Then,*

$$\|\rho_{\ell,x} \mathbb{1}_{I_\ell^x}\|_{BV} \leq (6C_0 + 3)\delta_\varepsilon^{-1}.$$

Proof. We have $|\rho'_{\ell,x}| \leq 2C_0\rho_{\ell,x}$, so that $\int_I |\rho'_{\ell,x}(\theta)|d\theta \leq 2C_0$, so the statement follows directly from Lemma C.1 remembering (4.11). □

Lemma C.3. *Let $\ell \in \mathfrak{S}^*(N, \varepsilon)$ and let $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathfrak{E}_N$. Then,*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{I}_\ell} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_x} \mathfrak{h}(G(\theta)) \right| \leq C_0 \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} N \|\mathfrak{h}\|.$$

Proof. Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the definition of standard pair,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_x} \mathfrak{h}(G_\ell(\theta)) \right| &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^{4N} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} \mathfrak{h}(G_\ell(\theta)) \frac{\partial G_\ell(\theta)_k}{\partial \theta_x} \right| \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^{4N} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} \mathfrak{h}(G_\ell(\theta)) \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{4N} \left(\frac{\partial G_\ell(\theta)_k}{\partial \theta_x} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \|\partial_\theta G_\ell\|_\infty \left(\|\mathfrak{h}\| \sqrt{N} \right) \leq C_0 \sqrt{\varepsilon} \|\mathfrak{h}\| N. \end{aligned}$$

□

APPENDIX D. CORRELATION ESTIMATES

Not to disrupt the main text, we prove here two Lemmata used in Section 4.

Lemma D.1. *Let I be an interval of size δ , $\rho \in \mathcal{C}^1(I, \mathbb{R})$ be a probability density such that $\|\frac{\rho'}{\rho}\|_\infty \leq 2C_0$. Then for $h \in (\varepsilon, 1)$, and ε small enough,*

$$\left| 2\varepsilon^{-1} \int_0^h \int_0^s \int_I b(f^{\lfloor u\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta) b(f^{\lfloor s\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta) \rho(\theta) d\theta ds du - h\gamma \right| \leq C_\# \varepsilon (\ln \delta^{-1})^2.$$

Proof. We first divide the integral into two terms,

$$(D.1) \quad \int_0^h \int_0^{\varepsilon \lfloor \varepsilon^{-1} s \rfloor} \int_I b(f^{\lfloor u\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta) b(f^{\lfloor s\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta) \rho(\theta) d\theta ds du,$$

and

$$(D.2) \quad \int_0^h \int_{\varepsilon \lfloor \varepsilon^{-1} s \rfloor}^s \int_I b(f^{\lfloor u\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta) b(f^{\lfloor s\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta) \rho(\theta) d\theta ds du.$$

Recalling that ρ_\star is the invariant measure of f , we can write (D.2) as,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^{\varepsilon \lfloor h\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} (s - \varepsilon \lfloor \varepsilon^{-1}s \rfloor) \int_{\mathbb{T}} b(f^{\lfloor s\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta)^2 \rho(\theta) \mathbb{1}_I(\theta) d\theta ds + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) \\
 (D.3) \quad &= \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor h\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \int_{\mathbb{T}} b(f^j \theta)^2 (\rho(\theta) \mathbb{1}_I(\theta) - \rho_\star(\theta)) d\theta ds + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) \\
 &+ \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor h\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \int_{\mathbb{T}} b(f^j \theta)^2 \rho_\star(\theta) d\theta ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\|\rho \mathbb{1}_I\|_{L^1} = 1$ and, by Lemma C.2, $\|\rho \mathbb{1}_I\|_{BV} \leq C_\# \delta^{-1}$. Hence, by (A.17) with $q_j = \rho \mathbb{1}_I - \rho_\star$, for all j (hence $\int_{\mathbb{T}} q_j = 0$), and $g = b^2$, we have that the first term of (D.3) is $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2 \ln \delta^{-1})$. Hence, by the invariance of ρ_\star , the quantity (D.3) is equal to

$$(D.4) \quad \frac{\varepsilon h}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} b(\theta)^2 \rho_\star(\theta) d\theta + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2 \ln \delta^{-1}).$$

The term (D.1) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor h\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor - 1} \int_{j\varepsilon}^{(j+1)\varepsilon} ds \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \int_{k\varepsilon}^{(k+1)\varepsilon} du \int_I b(f^{\lfloor u\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta) b(f^{\lfloor s\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta) \rho(\theta) d\theta \\
 &+ \int_{\varepsilon \lfloor h\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor}^h ds \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor h\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor - 1} \int_{k\varepsilon}^{(k+1)\varepsilon} du \int_I b(f^{\lfloor u\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta) b(f^{\lfloor s\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta) \rho(\theta) d\theta \\
 (D.5) \quad &= \varepsilon^2 \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor h\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor - 1} \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \int_I b(f^k \theta) b(f^j \theta) \rho(\theta) d\theta \\
 &+ (h - \varepsilon \lfloor h\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor) \varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor h\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor - 1} \int_I b(f^{\lfloor h\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta) b(f^k \theta) \rho(\theta) d\theta.
 \end{aligned}$$

The last line of (D.5) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (h - \varepsilon \lfloor h\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor) \varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor h\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor - 1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} b(f^{\lfloor h\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor - k} \theta) b(\theta) \left[\mathcal{L}^k (\rho \mathbb{1}_I) \right](\theta) d\theta \\
 (D.6) \quad &= (h - \varepsilon \lfloor h\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor) \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor h\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \int_{\mathbb{T}} b(f^j \theta) b(\theta) \left[\mathcal{L}^{\lfloor h\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor - j} (\rho \mathbb{1}_I) \right](\theta) d\theta.
 \end{aligned}$$

Recalling (A.14), and Lemma C.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|b \mathcal{L}^{\lfloor h\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor - j} (\rho \mathbb{1}_I)\|_{L^1} \leq \|b\|_\infty, \\
 & \|b \mathcal{L}^{\lfloor h\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor - j} (\rho \mathbb{1}_I)\|_{BV} \leq \|b\|_{BV} \|\mathcal{L}^{\lfloor h\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor - j} (\rho \mathbb{1}_I)\|_{BV} \leq C_\# \delta_\varepsilon^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Equation (A.17), with $q_j = b\mathcal{L}^{\lfloor h\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor - j}(\rho \mathbb{1}_I)$ and $g = b$, and since $(h - \varepsilon \lfloor h\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor) \leq \varepsilon$, allows writing the expression (D.6) as

$$(D.7) \quad (h - \varepsilon \lfloor h\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor) \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor h\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \int_{\mathbb{T}} b(f^j \theta) \rho_{\star}(\theta) d\theta \int_{\mathbb{T}} b(\theta') \left[\mathcal{L}^{\lfloor h\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor - j}(\rho \mathbb{1}_I) \right](\theta'_x) d\theta' \\ + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2 \ln \delta^{-1}) = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2 \ln \delta^{-1})$$

where we have used the invariance of ρ_{\star} and the fact that b is zero average.

The line next to the last in (D.5) can be written as

$$(D.8) \quad \varepsilon^2 \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor h\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor - 1} \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} b(f^k \theta) b(f^j \theta) \rho_{\star}(\theta) d\theta \\ - \varepsilon^2 \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor h\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor - 1} \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} b(f^{j-k} \theta) b(\theta) \left[\mathcal{L}^k(\rho_{\star} - \rho_x \mathbb{1}_I) \right](\theta) d\theta = \\ = \varepsilon^2 \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor h\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor - 1} (\lfloor h\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor - 1 - j) \int_{\mathbb{T}} b(f^j \theta) b(\theta) \rho_{\star}(\theta) d\theta \\ - \varepsilon^2 \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor h\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor - 1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} b(f^j \theta) b(\theta) \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor h\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor - 1 - j} \left[\mathcal{L}^k(\rho_{\star} - \rho_x \mathbb{1}_I) \right](\theta) d\theta.$$

For any $p \in \mathbb{N}$, using Lemma A.5 with $q_j = \rho_{\star} - \rho_x \mathbb{1}_I$,

$$\left\| b \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor h\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor - 1 - j} \mathcal{L}^k(\rho_{\star} - \rho_x \mathbb{1}_I) \right\|_{L^1} \leq C_{\#} \ln \delta^{-1},$$

where we have used Lemma C.2 and $\|\rho_{\star} - \rho_x \mathbb{1}_I\|_{L^1} \leq 2$. Furthermore, using the spectral decomposition (A.2),

$$\left\| b \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor h\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor - 1 - j} \mathcal{L}^k(\rho_{\star} - \rho_x \mathbb{1}_I) \right\|_{BV} \leq \|b\|_{BV} C_{\#} \delta^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor h\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor - 1 - j} \nu^k \\ \leq C_{\#} \delta^{-1}.$$

Therefore, using again (A.17) with $q_j = b \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor h\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor - 1 - j} \mathcal{L}^k(\rho_{\star} - \rho_x \mathbb{1}_I)$ and $g = b$, we can rewrite (D.8) as

$$(D.9) \quad h\varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}} b(f^j \theta) b(\theta) \rho_{\star}(\theta) d\theta + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2 (\ln \delta^{-1})^2).$$

The Lemma follows by summing (D.4), (D.7) and (D.9) and recalling the definition of γ in (2.5). \square

Lemma D.2. *Let I be an interval of size δ , $\rho \in \mathcal{C}^1(I, \mathbb{R})$ be a probability density such that $\|\frac{\rho'}{\rho}\|_\infty \leq 2C_0$. Then, for all ε small enough and $h \in (\varepsilon, 1)$,*

$$\left| \varepsilon^{-1} \int_0^h \int_0^h \int_I b(f^{\lfloor (h+s)\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta) b(f^{\lfloor u\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor} \theta) \rho(\theta) d\theta ds du \right| \leq C_\# \varepsilon (\ln \delta^{-1})^2.$$

Proof. We assume that $h\varepsilon^{-1} = K \in \mathbb{N}$ as the general case can be treated similarly to Lemma D.1. By adding and subtracting ρ_\star , the integral in the statement can be written as

$$(D.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \sum_{j=0}^{K-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} b(f^{\lfloor h\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor + k} \theta) b(f^j \theta) (\rho(\theta) \mathbb{1}_I(\theta) - \rho_\star(\theta)) d\theta + \\ & + \varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \sum_{j=0}^{K-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} b(f^{\lfloor h\varepsilon^{-1} \rfloor + k} \theta) b(f^j \theta) \rho_\star(\theta) d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the first term of (D.10). Setting $p = k - j + K$,

$$\varepsilon \sum_{p=1}^{2K-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} b(f^p \theta) b(\theta) \sum_{j=\max\{K-p, 0\}}^{\min\{K-1, 2K-1-p\}} \mathcal{L}^j (\rho(\theta) \mathbb{1}_I(\theta) - \rho_\star(\theta)) d\theta.$$

By Lemma A.5, we have, for any $p \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2K-1\}$,

$$\left\| b \sum_{j=\max\{K-p, 0\}}^{\min\{K-1, 2K-1-p\}} \mathcal{L}^j (\rho \mathbb{1}_I - \rho_\star) \right\|_{L^1} \leq C_\# \ln \delta^{-1},$$

and, using the spectral decomposition (A.2),

$$\left\| b \sum_{j=\max\{K-p, 0\}}^{\min\{K-1, 2K-1-p\}} \mathcal{L}^j (\rho \mathbb{1}_I - \rho_\star) \right\|_{BV} \leq C_\# \|b\|_{BV} \delta^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2K-1} \nu^j \leq C_\# \delta^{-1}.$$

Therefore, applying (A.17), the first term of (D.10) is of order $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon (\ln \delta^{-1})^2)$. Setting $p = k - j + K$ and noting that

$$\min\{K-1, 2K-1-p\} - \max\{K-p, 0\} \leq p-1,$$

the second term of (D.10) can be bounded by

$$(D.11) \quad \varepsilon \sum_{p=1}^{2K-1} \binom{p-1}{p-1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} b(f^p \theta) b(\theta) \rho_\star(\theta) d\theta \right|.$$

Note that by Lemma A.3, $\left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} b(f^p \theta) b(\theta) \rho_\star(\theta) d\theta \right| \leq C_\# \nu^p$, from which the Lemma follows. \square

APPENDIX E. DISCRETE GRADIENT, LAPLACIAN AND FOURIER

For any $g : \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, the lattice gradient, and the Laplacian are defined as

$$(E.1) \quad (\nabla_{\mathbb{Z}_N} g)_x = f_{x+1} - f_x, \quad (\Delta_{\mathbb{Z}_N} g)_x = g_{x+1} + g_{x-1} - 2g_x$$

Recall the orthonormal base of eigenvectors $\{\psi_j\}$ and eigenvalues v_j of $-\Delta_{\mathbb{Z}_N}$ defined in (5.12).

Lemma E.1. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^k(\mathbb{T})$ and $\widehat{\varphi}_j$ be defined by (5.13) with $\varphi_x = \varphi\left(\frac{x}{N}\right)$. Then, for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|j| \leq N/2$,*

$$(E.2) \quad |\widehat{\varphi}_j| \leq \frac{C_{\#} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}^k} N^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|j|^k}.$$

Proof. Note that,

$$\begin{aligned} |N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{\varphi}_j| &= \left| \frac{1}{N(e^{-i2\pi j/N} - 1)} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} e^{-i2\pi j x/N} \nabla_{\mathbb{Z}_N} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N|e^{-i2\pi j/N} - 1|} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \frac{\|\varphi'\|_{\infty}}{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Since for $\theta \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2]$ we have $|1 - e^{i\theta}|^2 = 2|1 - \cos \theta| \geq \frac{\theta^2}{4}$, we have

$$N^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\widehat{\varphi}_j| \leq \frac{1}{\pi j} \|\varphi'\|_{\infty},$$

that proves the statement for $k = 1$. Then iterate for general k . \square

APPENDIX F. ON THE DIFFUSION COEFFICIENT D

The Green's function of $\omega_0^2 - \Delta_{\mathbb{Z}_N}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega_0^2 - \Delta_{\mathbb{Z}_N})^{-1}(x, y) &= \sum_j v_j^{-1} \psi_j(x) \psi_j^*(y) \\ (F.1) \quad &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_j v_j^{-1} e^{i2\pi(x-y)j/N} := \mathbb{G}_{\omega_0, N}(x - y). \end{aligned}$$

Since $v_j = v_{-j}$, this can be written as

$$(F.2) \quad \mathbb{G}_{\omega_0, N}(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_j v_j^{-1} \cos(2\pi x j/N).$$

On the other hand, the Green function of $-\Delta_{\mathbb{Z}} + \omega_0^2$, where $\Delta_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the Laplacian on the integer lattice \mathbb{Z} , that is given by, for $x \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(F.3) \quad \mathbb{G}_{\omega_0}(x) := (-\Delta_{\mathbb{Z}} + \omega_0^2)^{-1}(y, y + x) = \int_0^1 \frac{\cos(2\pi u x)}{4 \sin^2(\pi u) + \omega_0^2} du.$$

As $\mathbb{G}_{\omega_0, N}(x)$ is a simple Riemann sum approximation of $\mathbb{G}_{\omega_0}(x)$,

$$(F.4) \quad |\mathbb{G}_{\omega_0, N}(x) - \mathbb{G}_{\omega_0}(x)| \leq C_{\#} N^{-1}.$$

Using (F.3) the diffusion coefficient appearing in (5.6) reads:

$$(F.5) \quad \begin{aligned} D &= 1 + 2\mathbb{G}_{\omega_0}(1) - (1 + \omega_0^2)\mathbb{G}_{\omega_0}(0) - \mathbb{G}_{\omega_0}(2) \\ &= 1 + (\omega_0^2 - \Delta) \mathbb{G}_{\omega_0}(1) - \omega_0^2 (\mathbb{G}_{\omega_0}(0) + \mathbb{G}_{\omega_0}(1)) \\ &= 1 - \omega_0^2 (\mathbb{G}_{\omega_0}(0) + \mathbb{G}_{\omega_0}(1)) \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{1 - \cos(4\pi u)}{4\sin^2(\pi u) + \omega_0^2} du. \end{aligned}$$

From the last line of (F.5) an explicit computation yields

$$(F.6) \quad D = \frac{2}{2 + \omega_0^2 + \omega_0 \sqrt{4 + \omega_0^2}}.$$

The thermal diffusivity D can also be written differently. There is a kinetic expression that relates it to the dispersion relation of the harmonic lattice $\omega(k) = \sqrt{\omega_0^2 + 4\sin^2(\pi k)}$. In the kinetic limit, the velocity of a *phonon* of wave number k is $\frac{\omega'(k)}{2\pi}$, and the diffusion coefficient is related to the kinetic energy of the phonons by (see Section 6 in [5] for more details)

$$(F.7) \quad D = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^1 [\omega'(k)]^2 dk,$$

that is valid for a generic harmonic lattice. For nearest-neighbor interaction

$$(F.8) \quad \begin{aligned} D &= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^1 [\omega'(k)]^2 dk = \int_0^1 \frac{8\sin^2(\pi k) \cos^2(\pi k)}{\omega(k)^2} dk \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{1 - \cos(4\pi k)}{\omega(k)^2} dk. \end{aligned}$$

APPENDIX G. COMPACTNESS

Recall that $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$ is the space of probability measures on \mathbb{T} endowed with the weak topology. Under this topology $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$ is complete, separable, metrizable, and compact. We choose the metric

$$(G.1) \quad d(\mu, \mu') = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{2^{|k|}} \min \left\{ 1, \left| \int \psi_k d\mu - \int \psi_k d\mu' \right| \right\},$$

where $\psi_k(\theta) = e^{2\pi i \theta k}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, is a countable set whose span is dense in $\mathcal{C}^0(\mathbb{T})$ by the Stone-Weierstrass theorem.

We have that $\xi_N \in \mathcal{C}([0, t_*], \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T}))$, that we endow with the uniform topology induced by the metric (G.1). Since $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$ is compact, relative compactness of the sequence (ξ_N) follows from the following equicontinuity in time of ξ_N , by Ascoli's theorem [43, pg. 223, Theorem 17].

Proposition G.1. *Provided $\varepsilon \leq N^{-\alpha}$, $\alpha > 6$, we have, for all $t_* > 0$,*

$$(G.2) \quad \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq s, t \leq t_*, |t-s| \leq \delta} d(\xi_N(t), \xi_N(s)) = 0$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^6(\mathbb{T})$. Recalling the definition of ξ_N in (5.1), by Theorem (5.1), we have, for all $0 \leq s < t \leq t_*$,

$$\|\xi_N(t, \varphi)\| \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty,$$

$$\xi_N(t, \varphi) - \xi_N(s, \varphi) = \frac{D}{2\gamma} \int_s^t \xi_N(u, \varphi'') du + \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}^6} \mathcal{O}((t-s) + N^{-1}).$$

It follows

$$(G.3) \quad |\xi_N(t, \varphi) - \xi_N(s, \varphi)| \leq C_\#(|t-s| + N^{-1})\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}^6}.$$

Then using the metric (G.1) we have

$$(G.4) \quad d(\xi_N(t), \xi_N(s)) \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{2^{|k|}} \min\{1, C_\# k^6(|t-s| + N^{-1})\},$$

and, for any $\delta \leq 1$,

$$(G.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \sup_{|t-s| \leq \delta} d(\xi_N(t), \xi_N(s)) &\leq C_\# \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \min\{1, \delta k^6\} + N^{-1} \right) \\ &\leq C_\# \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\delta^{-\frac{1}{12}}} \frac{1}{2^k} \sqrt{\delta} + \sum_{k=\delta^{-\frac{1}{12}}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} + N^{-1} \right) \leq C_\#(\sqrt{\delta} + N^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

from which the proposition follows. \square

LIST OF NOTATIONS AND SYMBOLS

$\langle v, w \rangle$	Euclidean scalar product in \mathbb{R}^n . Page 7
$\ v\ $	$\sqrt{\langle v, v \rangle}$. Euclidean norm. Page 12
$\ M\ $	$\sup_{\ v\ =1} \ Mv\ $. Operator norm of the matrix M . Page 13
$(\nabla_{\mathbb{Z}_N} g)_x$	$g_{x+1} - g_x$. Discrete gradient on \mathbb{Z}_N . Pages 31, 58
$(\Delta_{\mathbb{Z}_N} g)_x$	$g_{x+1} + g_{x-1} - 2g_x$. Discrete Laplacian on \mathbb{Z}_N . Pages 6, 58
$A_N(t)$	$A_N(z(t, \bar{z}, \theta))$. Deterministic evolution of $A \in \mathfrak{E}_N$. Page 13
$\ A_N\ $	Energy-controlled seminorm for A_N . Page 13
\mathbb{A}	Generator of the dynamics on \mathbb{Z}_N , harmonic force. Page 12
$b(\theta)$	External field; Pages 6, 6
$\mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(t, \theta)\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}$	Generator of the time-varying external field. Page 12
$C_\#$	Generic computable constant, depending only on the model parameters (ω_0, b, f) in (2.1) and on C_0 in (2.10). Page 12
D	$\frac{2}{2 + \omega_0^2 + \omega_0 \sqrt{\omega_0^2 + 4}}$. Effective diffusion coefficient. Pages 9, 58
DA, D^2A	Gradient and Hessian of a \mathcal{C}^2 function A . Page 13
$\mathcal{D}_{C_0}(a, b)$	Admissible densities of standard pairs. Page 20
$\delta_0, \delta_\varepsilon$	Size of the support of standard pairs. Page 19
$\mathfrak{e}_x(z)$	$\frac{p_x^2}{2} + \frac{(q_x - q_{x-1})^2}{2} + \frac{\omega_0^2 q_x^2}{2}$. Local energy of particle x . Page 7
\mathcal{E}_0	Initial macroscopic energy profile (limit of microscopic profiles). Page 8
$\mathcal{E}(t)$	Macroscopic energy profile at time t . Page 9
$\mathcal{E}_N(z)$	$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} e_x(z)$ total energy (conserved and equal to N). Page 7
\mathfrak{E}_N	Set of degree-two polynomials $\Sigma_N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Page 13
$f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$	Fast dynamics, uniformly expanding map. Page 6
$\mathfrak{f}, \mu_{\mathfrak{f}}$	A standard family and its associated measure. Page 21
$\mathbb{F}_{N, \varepsilon, C_0}$	Set of standard families. Page 21
$\mathfrak{F}_{N, \varepsilon, C_0}$	Set of probability measures determined by a standard family. Page 21
\mathcal{G}	$\mathcal{A} + \gamma \mathcal{S}$. Generator of the limiting stochastic dynamics. Page 16
ϕ^t	Flow generated by the microscopic dynamics. Page 7
ϕ_\star^t	Push forward of measures by the flow ϕ^t . Page 7
$G(\theta)$	Graph of standard pairs. Page 19
\mathbb{G}_{ω_0}	Green function of the operator $(\omega_0^2 - \Delta)^{-1}$. Page 32
γ	$\int_{\mathbb{T}} b(\theta)^2 \rho_\star(\theta) d\theta + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}} b(\theta) b(f^k \theta) \rho_\star(\theta) d\theta$. Self-correlations. Page 7
$\mathbb{I}(a, b)$	Supports for the graphs of standard pairs. Page 19

$j_{x,x+1}$	$-p_x(q_{x+1} - q_x)$. Microscopic energy current. Page 12
ℓ, μ_ℓ	A standard pair and its associated measure. Page 20
$\mathcal{M}_{\text{init}}(N, C_0)$	Simple example of initial measures on $\Sigma_N \times \mathbb{T}^N$. Sometimes abbreviated $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{init}}$. Page 8
$\mathcal{M}_{\text{init}}(N, \varepsilon, C_0)$	General class of initial measures on $\Sigma_N \times \mathbb{T}^N$ for which the results in paper hold. Sometimes abbreviated as $\mathcal{M}_{\text{init}}(N)$ or $\mathcal{M}_{\text{init}}$, see Remark 4.10. Pages 8, 21
$\mathcal{M}_{\text{init}}^*$	Initial data sequences associated with a macroscopic profile \mathcal{E}_0 . Page 8
μ_N	Initial probability measure belonging to $\mathcal{M}_{\text{init}}(N, C_0)$. Page 21
\mathbb{N}	$\{1, 2, \dots\}$. Page 6
$\mathcal{O}(a)$	Quantity bounded in absolute value by $C_\# a $ (uniformly in relevant parameters). Page 14
$\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$	Space of probability measures on \mathbb{T} endowed with the weak topology. Page 8
p_x, q_x	$p_x = (p_{x,1}, p_{x,2})$, $q_x = (q_{x,1}, q_{x,2})$. Momentum and position of particle at site $x \in \mathbb{Z}_N$. Page 6
$\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, z$	$\mathbf{p} = (p_x)_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$, $\mathbf{q} = (q_x)_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$, $z = (\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$. Vectors of momenta and positions. Page 7
$\Psi(N; \mu_N)$	Quantitative convergence rate of the initial profile. Page 8
\mathbb{R}_+	$\{a \in \mathbb{R} : a \geq 0\}$. Page 10
$\rho_{x,N}, \rho_N$	Marginal of $\mu_N \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{init}}$ on \mathbb{T} associated to particle x and product of the marginals. Page 8
ρ_\star	Unique absolutely continuous invariant density for (f, \mathbb{T}) . Page 6
S_N	Covariance matrix with $[S_N^{(q)}]_{x,y} = \langle q_x, q_y \rangle$, etc. Page 13
Σ_N	$\{z \in \mathbb{R}^{4N} : \mathcal{E}_N(z) = N\}$. Energy shell of total energy N . Page 7
$\mathfrak{S}(N, \varepsilon, C_0)$	Set of admissible graphs of standard pairs. Page 20
$\mathfrak{S}^*(N, \varepsilon, C_0)$	Set of standard pairs. Page 20
\mathbb{T}^n	$\mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n$ (and $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T}^1$). n -dimensional torus. Page 6
$\omega_0 > 0$	Pinning parameter. Page 6
$z(t, \bar{z}, \bar{\theta})$	$(\mathbf{q}(t, \bar{z}, \bar{\theta}), \mathbf{p}(t, \bar{z}, \bar{\theta}))$. Solution of the deterministic ODE. Page 12
\mathbb{Z}_N	$\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. One-dimensional discrete periodic lattice with N sites. Page 6

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Baladi, *Positive Transfer Operators and Decay of Correlations*, Advanced Series in Nonlinear Dynamics, Vol. 16, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, 2000.
- [2] V. Baladi, *Dynamical Zeta Functions and Dynamical Determinants for Hyperbolic Maps: A Functional Approach*, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*, Vol. 68, Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [3] C. Bardos, F. Golse, C.D. Levermore, *Fluid Dynamic Limits of Kinetic Equations II: Convergence Proofs for the Boltzmann Equation*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **46** (1993), 667–753.
- [4] C. Bardos, F. Golse, J.F. Colonna, *Diffusion Approximation and Hyperbolic Automorphism of the Torus*, *Physica D* **104** (1997), 32–70.
- [5] G. Basile, C. Bernardin, M. Jara, T. Komorowski, S. Olla, *Thermal Conductivity in Harmonic Lattices with Random Collisions*, in *Thermal Transport in Low Dimensions: From Statistical Physics to Nanoscale Heat Transfer*, S. Lepri (ed.), *Lecture Notes in Physics*, Vol. 921, Springer, 2016.
- [6] C. Bernardin, *Hydrodynamics for a System of Harmonic Oscillators Perturbed by a Conservative Noise*, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **117** (2007), 487–513.
- [7] C. Bernardin, F. Huveneers, J.L. Lebowitz, C. Liverani, S. Olla, *Green–Kubo Formula for Weakly Coupled Systems with Noise*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **334** (2015), 1377–1412.
- [8] C. Bernardin, S. Olla, *Fourier Law and Fluctuations for a Microscopic Model of Heat Conduction*, *J. Stat. Phys.* **118** (2005), 271–289.
- [9] C. Bernardin, S. Olla, *Transport Properties of a Chain of Anharmonic Oscillators with Random Flip of Velocities*, *J. Stat. Phys.* **145** (2011), 1224–1255.
- [10] J.M. Bhat, G. Cane, C. Bernardin, A. Dhar, *Heat Transport in an Ordered Harmonic Chain in Presence of a Uniform Magnetic Field*, *J. Stat. Phys.* **186** (2022), 2.
- [11] T. Bodineau, I. Gallagher, L. Saint-Raymond, *The Brownian Motion as the Limit of a Deterministic System of Hard Spheres*, *Invent. Math.* **203** (2016), 493–553.
- [12] T. Bodineau, I. Gallagher, L. Saint-Raymond, S. Simonella, *Fluctuation Theory in the Boltzmann–Grad Limit*, *J. Stat. Phys.* **180** (2020), 873–895.
- [13] T. Bodineau, I. Gallagher, L. Saint-Raymond, *A Microscopic View of the Fourier Law*, *C. R. Physique* **20** (2019), 402–418.
- [14] G. Cane, J.M. Bhat, A. Dhar, C. Bernardin, *Localization Effects Due to a Random Magnetic Field on Heat Transport in a Harmonic Chain*, *J. Stat. Mech.* (2021), 113204.
- [15] R. Castorini, C. Liverani, *Quantitative Statistical Properties of Two-Dimensional Partially Hyperbolic Systems*, *Adv. Math.* **409** (2022), Paper No. 108625.
- [16] N. Chernov, D. Dolgopyat, *Brownian Brownian Motion. I*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **198** (2009), no. 927.
- [17] I. Chevyrev, P.K. Friz, A. Korepanov, I. Melbourne, H. Zhang, *Multiscale Systems, Homogenization, and Rough Paths*, in *Probability and Analysis in Interacting Physical Systems*, *Springer Proc. Math. Stat.* **283**, Springer, Cham, 2019, 17–48.
- [18] A. De Masi, N. Ianiro, A. Pellegrinotti, E. Presutti, *A Survey of the Hydrodynamical Behavior of Many-Particle Systems*, *NASA STI/Recon Technical Report A* **85** (1984), 123–294.
- [19] A. De Masi, R. Esposito, J.L. Lebowitz, *Incompressible Navier–Stokes and Euler Limits of the Boltzmann Equation*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **42** (1989), 1189–1214.
- [20] M.F. Demers, N. Kiamari, C. Liverani, *Transfer Operators in Hyperbolic Dynamics—An Introduction*, 33^o Colóq. Bras. Mat., IMPA, Rio de Janeiro, 2021.
- [21] Y. Deng, Z. Hani, X. Ma, *Long Time Derivation of the Boltzmann Equation from Hard Sphere Dynamics*, arXiv:2408.07818.

- [22] J. De Simoi, C. Liverani, *Limit Theorems for Fast–Slow Partially Hyperbolic Systems*, Invent. Math. **213** (2018), 811–1016.
- [23] J. De Simoi, C. Liverani, *The Martingale Approach after Varadhan and Dolgopyat*, in *Hyperbolic Dynamics, Fluctuations and Large Deviations*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **89**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2015, 311–339.
- [24] J. De Simoi, C. Liverani, *Statistical Properties of Mostly Contracting Fast–Slow Partially Hyperbolic Systems*, Invent. Math. **206** (2016), 147–227.
- [25] J. De Simoi, C. Liverani, C. Poquet, D. Volk, *Fast–Slow Partially Hyperbolic Systems versus Freidlin–Wentzell Random Systems*, J. Stat. Phys. **166** (2017), 650–679.
- [26] C. Boldrighini, R.L. Dobrushin, Y.M. Suhov, *One-Dimensional Hard Rod Caricature of Hydrodynamics*, J. Stat. Phys. **31** (1983), 577–616.
- [27] C. Boldrighini, R.L. Dobrushin, Y. M. Suhov. *One-dimensional hard-rod caricature of hydrodynamics: Navier-Stokes correction*, Technical report, Dublin Institute for Advances Studies, Preprint (1990).
- [28] C. Boldrighini, Y.M. Suhov, *One-Dimensional Hard-Rod Caricature of Hydrodynamics: “Navier–Stokes Correction” for Local Equilibrium Initial States*, Comm. Math. Phys. **189** (1997), 577–590.
- [29] L.A. Bunimovich, Ya.G. Sinai, *Statistical Properties of Lorentz Gas with Periodic Configuration of Scatterers*, Comm. Math. Phys. **78** (1980/81), 479–497.
- [30] D. Dolgopyat, *Averaging and Invariant Measures*, Mosc. Math. J. **5** (2005), 537–576.
- [31] D. Dolgopyat, C. Liverani, *Energy Transfer in a Fast–Slow Hamiltonian System*, Comm. Math. Phys. **308** (2011), 201–225.
- [32] A. Einstein, *Über die von der molekularkinetischen Theorie der Wärme geforderte Bewegung von in ruhenden Flüssigkeiten suspendierten Teilchen*, Ann. Phys. **17** (1905), 549–560.
- [33] L.C. Evans, R.F. Gariepy, *Measure Theory and Fine Properties of Functions*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1992.
- [34] P. Ferrari, S. Olla, *Macroscopic Diffusive Fluctuations for Generalized Hard Rod Dynamics*, Ann. Appl. Probab. **35** (2025), 1125–1142.
- [35] J. Fritz, *On the Hydrodynamic Limit of a One-Dimensional Ginzburg–Landau Lattice Model: The a Priori Bounds*, J. Stat. Phys. **47** (1987), 551–572.
- [36] J. Fritz, T. Funaki, and J. L. Lebowitz. *Stationary states of random hamiltonian systems*. Probability Theory and Related Fields, **99**(2) (1994), 211–236.
- [37] J. Fritz, C. Liverani, S. Olla, *Reversibility in Infinite Hamiltonian Systems with Conservative Noise*, Comm. Math. Phys. **189** (1997), 481–496.
- [38] C. Giardinà, J. Kurchan, *The Fourier Law in a Momentum-Conserving Chain*, J. Stat. Mech. (2005), P05009.
- [39] M.Z. Guo, G.C. Papanicolaou, S.R.S. Varadhan, *Nonlinear Diffusion Limit for a System with Nearest Neighbor Interactions*, Comm. Math. Phys. **118** (1988), 31–59.
- [40] H. Hennion, *Sur un Théorème Spectral et son Application aux Noyaux Lipschitziens*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **118** (1993), 627–634.
- [41] D. Hilbert, *Mathematical Problems*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1902), 437–479.
- [42] D. Kelly, I. Melbourne, *Deterministic Homogenization for Fast–Slow Systems with Chaotic Noise*, J. Funct. Anal. **272** (2017), 4063–4102.
- [43] J.L. Kelley, *General Topology*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 27, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1975.
- [44] C. Kipnis, C. Landim, *Scaling Limits of Interacting Particle Systems*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss., Vol. 320, Springer, Berlin, 1999.
- [45] T. Komorowski, J.L. Lebowitz, S. Olla, *Heat Flow in a Periodically Forced, Thermostatted Chain II*, J. Stat. Phys. **190** (2023), 87.
- [46] T. Komorowski, S. Olla, M. Simon, *Heat flow in a periodically forced, unpinned thermostatted chain*, Electronic Journal of Probability, **30** (2025) no. 66, 1–48.

- [47] O.E. Lanford III, *Time Evolution of Large Classical Systems*, in *Dynamical Systems, Theory and Applications*, Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 38, Springer, Berlin, 1975, 1–111.
- [48] S. Lepri, R. Livi, A. Politi, *Thermal Conduction in Classical Low-Dimensional Lattices*, Phys. Rep. **377** (2003), 1–80.
- [49] C. Liverani, *Transport in Partially Hyperbolic Fast–Slow Systems*, Proc. ICM 2018, Vol. III, World Scientific (2018) 2643–2667.
- [50] C. Liverani, S. Olla, *Ergodicity in Infinite Hamiltonian Systems with Conservative Noise*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields **106** (1996), 401–445.
- [51] C. Liverani, S. Olla, *Toward the Fourier Law for a Weakly Interacting Anharmonic Crystal*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **25** (2012), 555–583.
- [52] C.B. Morrey, *On the Derivation of the Equations of Hydrodynamics from Statistical Mechanics*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **8** (1955), 279–326.
- [53] S. Olla, S.R.S. Varadhan, H.T. Yau, *Hydrodynamical Limit for a Hamiltonian System with Weak Noise*, Comm. Math. Phys. **155** (1993), 523–560.
- [54] S. Olla, M. Sasada, *Energy Diffusion in an Anharmonic Chain with Conservative Noise*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields **157** (2013), 721–775.
- [55] Ya.B. Pesin, Ya.G. Sinai, *Gibbs Measures for Partially Hyperbolic Attractors*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. **2** (1983), 417–438.
- [56] L. Saint-Raymond, *Hydrodynamic Limits of the Boltzmann Equation*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1971, Springer, 2009.
- [57] K. Saito, M. Sasada, *Thermal Conductivity for Coupled Charged Harmonic Oscillators with Noise in a Magnetic Field*, Comm. Math. Phys. **361** (2018), 951–995.
- [58] H. Spohn, *Large Scale Dynamics of Interacting Particles*, Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer, 1991.
- [59] H. Spohn, *Hydrodynamic Scales of Integrable Many-Particle Systems*, World Scientific, 2024.
- [60] S.R.S. Varadhan, *Nonlinear Diffusion Limit for a System with Nearest Neighbor Interactions II*, in *Asymptotic Problems in Probability Theory: Stochastic Models and Diffusions on Fractals*, Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., Vol. 283, Longman Sci, 1993.

PH.D. SCHOOL, UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA TOR VERGATA, VIA DELLA RICERCA SCIENTIFICA 1, ROMA.

Email address: `canestrari@mat.uniroma2.it`

UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA TOR VERGATA, VIA DELLA RICERCA SCIENTIFICA 1, ROMA.

Email address: `liverani@mat.uniroma2.it`

UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-DAUPHINE, PSL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY, CNRS, CEREMADE, 75016 PARIS, FRANCE, and INSTITUT UNIVERSITAIRE DE FRANCE, and GSSI, L'AQUILA

Email address: `olla@ceremade.dauphine.fr`