

SUBREGULAR J-RINGS OF THE FINITE IRREDUCIBLE COXETER SYSTEMS

ANNETTE PILKINGTON

Department of Mathematics, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana, 46556

ABSTRACT. In previous papers, the author showed that in many cases of interest there exists an isomorphism between certain path algebras related to the structure of the subregular J-rings of Coxeter systems and matrix rings over a free product of rings. For the finite irreducible Coxeter systems, the application of the isomorphism theorems was straightforward in all but a few cases. In this paper we show how the isomorphism theorems can be applied to show that the path algebras in the remaining cases are isomorphic to a direct sum of matrix rings over the rational numbers or over a direct product of field extensions of the rational numbers.

1. INTRODUCTION

In Pilkington [4] and [5], we showed that in a wide range of cases certain path algebras, $\widehat{R}_{W,S}$ and $\widehat{\widehat{R}}_{W,S}$ (described below), associated to a Coxeter system, (W, S) , are isomorphic to matrix rings over the free product of rings with generators which correspond to the edges of the Coxeter graph and the corresponding entries in the Coxeter matrix. The algebra $\widehat{\widehat{R}}_{W,S}$ is strongly related to the subregular J-ring of the Coxeter system (W, S) . The application of the theorems introduced in Pilkington [5] to the structure of these path algebras for the Coxeter systems associated to the finite irreducible Coxeter groups was straightforward for all but three cases, namely that of the algebra $\widehat{\widehat{R}}_{W,S}$ for Coxeter systems, (W, S) of type $I_2(n)$, $n > 5$, n even, B_n , $n \geq 2$, and F_4 . In Pilkington [5], Example 5.9, we showed that in the case of B_4 there was a unital ring homomorphism between the algebra $\widehat{\widehat{R}}_{W,S}$ and an algebra to which the theorems did apply. In this paper we show that a similar unital ring homomorphism exists more generally and can be applied to $\widehat{\widehat{R}}_{W,S}$ for the exceptional cases listed above to show that $\widehat{\widehat{R}}_{W,S}$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of matrix algebras over \mathbb{Q} or over a direct product of field extensions of \mathbb{Q} in these cases.

Let $(W, S = \{s_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq N})$ be a Coxeter system of finite rank with Coxeter matrix $(m_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}$. The graph $\Gamma_{W,S} = (S, Y)$ associated to the Coxeter system (W, S) has vertices S and edges $Y = \{y_{ij} = [s_i s_j] \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq N, 3 \leq m_{ij} \leq \infty\}$. We let $P_{W,S}$ denote the path algebra over \mathbb{Z} associated to the graph $\Gamma_{W,S}$, and we let $\widehat{P}_{(W,S)} = P_{W,S} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20F55, 17B22, 20C08.

Key words and phrases. Path Algebras, Coxeter Groups.

E-mail address: Pilkington.4@nd.edu

For $n \geq 3$, we denote the minimal polynomial of $4 \cos^2 \frac{\pi}{n}$ over \mathbb{Q} by $C_n(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ and we let

$$c_n(t) = \prod_{\substack{N \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 3} \\ N \mid n}} C_N(t).$$

For example, we have

$$\{C_3(t) = t - 1, \quad C_4(t) = t - 2, \quad C_5(t) = t^2 - 3t + 1, \quad C_6(t) = t - 3, \dots\}.$$

and

$$\{c_3(t) = t - 1, \quad c_4(t) = t - 2, \quad c_5(t) = t^2 - 3t + 1, \quad c_6(t) = (t - 1)(t - 3) = t^2 - 4t + 3, \dots\}.$$

We let $I_{W,S}$ be the two-sided ideal of $P_{W,S}$ generated by the set

$$\{C_{m_{ij}}([s_j s_i s_j]) = C_{m_{ij}}(y_{ji} y_{ij}) \mid (s_i, s_j) \in S \times S, \quad 2 < m_{ij} < \infty\},$$

where $f(y_{ji} y_{ij})$ denotes the evaluation of the polynomial $f(t)$ at $y_{ji} y_{ij}$ in the ring $[s_j]P_{(W,S)}[s_j]$ (this ring has identity element $[s_j]$). We let $\tilde{I}_{W,S}$ denote the two-sided ideal of $P_{W,S}$ generated by the elements of the union of the sets $\{c_{m_{ij}}(y_{ji} y_{ij}) \mid (s_i, s_j) \in S \times S, \quad 2 < m_{ij} < \infty, m_{ij} \text{ odd}\}$ and $\{y_{ij} c_{m_{ij}}(y_{ji} y_{ij}) \mid (s_i, s_j) \in S \times S, \quad 2 < m_{ij} < \infty, m_{ij} \text{ even}\}$. Define the quotient algebras $R_{W,S} := P_{W,S}/I_{W,S}$ and $\tilde{R}_{W,S} := P_{W,S}/\tilde{I}_{W,S}$ of $P_{W,S}$. We let $\widehat{R}_{W,S} = R_{W,S} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ and $\widehat{\tilde{R}}_{W,S} = \tilde{R}_{W,S} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

The latter algebra is of considerable interest in the representation theory of Coxeter groups. The algebra $\widehat{\tilde{R}}_{W,S}$ is essentially the subregular J -ring of a Coxeter system, that is, the two-sided ideal J_1 of Lusztig's asymptotic Hecke algebra spanned by its standard basis elements parameterized by elements of \mathbf{a} -value 1 in the Coxeter group (see [3], [2] and [7] for precise statements). In the case where all finite entries not equal to 1 of the Coxeter matrix are prime, both algebras, $R_{W,S}$ and $\tilde{R}_{W,S}$, coincide.

In Pilkington [4] and [5], we showed that in situations where the Coxeter graph has suitable maximal trees, the algebras $\widehat{R}_{W,S}$ and $\widehat{\tilde{R}}_{W',S'}$ are isomorphic to the $N \times N$ matrices over a free product of rings related to the edges of the Coxeter graph, where $N = |S|$. These rings are either field extensions of \mathbb{Q} , rings of Laurent polynomials over \mathbb{Q} or rings of polynomials in two non-commuting variables over \mathbb{Q} . They may also include direct products of field extensions of \mathbb{Q} or quotient rings of rings of polynomials in two non-commuting variables over \mathbb{Q} in the case of $\widehat{\tilde{R}}_{W,S}$. In Pilkington [5], we applied these results to the finite irreducible Coxeter groups, with the following result:

Theorem (Pilkington [5], Theorem 5.8) Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system with rank N , where W is a finite irreducible Coxeter group. We have the following unital ring isomorphisms:

$$\widehat{R}_{W,S} \cong \begin{cases} M_N(\mathbb{Q}) & \text{if } (W, S) \text{ is of type } A_n(n \geq 1), B_n(n \geq 2), D_n(n \geq 4), E_6, E_7, E_8, F_4 \text{ or } G_2, \\ M_N(\mathbb{Q}_5) & \text{if } (W, S) \text{ is of type } H_3, \text{ or } H_4, \\ M_2(\mathbb{Q}_n) & \text{if } (W, S) \text{ is of type } I_2(n), n \geq 5, n \neq 6, \end{cases}$$

(where \mathbb{Q}_n is the field extension of \mathbb{Q} obtained by adjoining $4 \cos^2(\frac{\pi}{n})$) and

$$\widehat{\tilde{R}}_{W,S} \cong \begin{cases} M_N(\mathbb{Q}) & \text{if } (W, S) \text{ is of type } A_n(n \geq 1), D_n(n \geq 4), E_6, E_7 \text{ or } E_8, \\ M_N(\mathbb{Q}_5) & \text{if } (W, S) \text{ is of type } H_3, \text{ or } H_4, \\ M_2(L_n) & \text{if } (W, S) \text{ is of type } I_2(n), n \geq 5, \text{ where } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

(where, for $n \geq 3$, L_n denotes the following direct product of field extensions of \mathbb{Q} ;

$$L_n = \prod_{\substack{N \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 3} \\ N \mid n}} \mathbb{Q}_N.$$

In Pilkington [5], Example 5.9, we showed that if (W, S) is a Coxeter system of type B_4 , we have the following unital ring isomorphism:

$$\widehat{\widehat{R}}_{W,S} \cong M_4(\mathbb{Q}) \oplus M_3(\mathbb{Q}) \oplus \mathbb{Q}.$$

In this paper, we show how techniques similar to those used in Pilkington [5], Example 5.9 apply more generally. We show that :

Theorem 3.5 Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system where $S = \{s_i, t_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq n+1, 1 \leq j \leq m+1\}$, with graph $\Gamma_{W,S}$ shown below, where $a \geq 4$ is an even integer, and the labels on the edges correspond to the associated entries of the Coxeter matrix.

$$s_1 \xrightarrow{3} s_2 \xrightarrow{3} s_3 \cdots s_n \xrightarrow{3} s_{n+1} \xrightarrow{a} t_{m+1} \xrightarrow{3} t_m \cdots t_3 \xrightarrow{3} t_2 \xrightarrow{3} t_1$$

Then we have a unital ring isomorphism;

$$\widehat{\widehat{R}}_{W,S} \cong M_{m+n+2}(L_a) \oplus M_n(\mathbb{Q}) \oplus M_m(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Applying the above theorem to the algebra $\widehat{\widehat{R}}_{W,S}$ for the Coxeter systems of type $G_2 = I_2(6)$, $I_2(n)$, $n > 6$, n even, B_n , $n \geq 2$ and F_4 , the cases missing from Pilkington [5], Theorem 5.8, we extend the theorem as follows:

Theorem 3.8 Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system with rank N , where W is a finite irreducible Coxeter group (the Coxeter graphs of such systems are shown in Figure 1). We have the following unital ring isomomorphisms:

$$\widehat{\widehat{R}}_{W,S} \cong \begin{cases} M_N(\mathbb{Q}) & \text{if } (W, S) \text{ is of type } A_n(n \geq 1), B_n(n \geq 2), D_n(n \geq 4), E_6, E_7, E_8, F_4 \text{ or } G_2, \\ M_N(\mathbb{Q}_5) & \text{if } (W, S) \text{ is of type } H_3, \text{ or } H_4, \\ M_2(\mathbb{Q}_n) & \text{if } (W, S) \text{ is of type } I_2(n), n \geq 5, n \neq 6, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\widehat{\widehat{R}}_{W,S} \cong \begin{cases} M_N(\mathbb{Q}) & \text{if } (W, S) \text{ is of type } A_n(n \geq 1), D_n(n \geq 4), E_6, E_7 \text{ or } E_8, \\ M_N(\mathbb{Q}_5) & \text{if } (W, S) \text{ is of type } H_3, \text{ or } H_4, \\ M_2(L_n) & \text{if } (W, S) \text{ is of type } I_2(n), n \geq 5, \text{ where } n \text{ is odd,} \\ M_2(L_n) \oplus \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q} & \text{if } (W, S) \text{ is of type } I_2(n), n \geq 6, \text{ where } n \text{ is even,} \\ M_n(\mathbb{Q}) \oplus M_{n-1}(\mathbb{Q}) \oplus \mathbb{Q} & \text{if } (W, S) \text{ is of type } B_n, n \geq 2, \\ M_4(\mathbb{Q}) \oplus M_2(\mathbb{Q}) \oplus M_2(\mathbb{Q}) & \text{if } (W, S) \text{ is of type } F_4, \end{cases}$$

where \mathbb{Q}_n and L_n are as described above.

In Section 2, we review the statement of some necessary definitions and theorems from Pilkington [5] and in Section 3, we look at the applications to Coxeter systems.

2. GRAPHS, MAXIMAL TREES AND PATH ALGEBRAS

As in Pilkington [4], [5], we use the notation and terminology of Serre [6] for graphs and trees. This somewhat unconventional definition can be thought of as an undirected graph with labels for the edges in both directions.

Definition 2.1. A graph $\Gamma = (S, Y)$ consists of a set of vertices $S = \text{vert } \Gamma$, a set of edges $Y = \text{edge } \Gamma$ and two maps

$$Y \rightarrow S \times S, \quad y \rightarrow (o(y), t(y))$$

and

$$Y \rightarrow Y, \quad y \rightarrow \bar{y},$$

which satisfy the following condition: for each $y \in Y$, we have $\bar{\bar{y}} = y$, $\bar{y} \neq y$ and $o(y) = t(\bar{y})$.

Each $s \in S$ is called a *vertex* of Γ and each $y \in Y$ is called an *edge* of Γ . If $y \in Y$, $o(y)$ is called the *origin* of y and $t(y)$ is called the *terminus* of y , together $o(y)$ and $t(y)$ are called the *extremities* of y . We will represent such a graph by a diagram where a point on the diagram represents a vertex and a single line joining two points represents a set of edges of the form $\{y, \bar{y}\}$.

A graph is said to have *multiple edges* if two edges have the same origin and terminus. A *loop* is an edge with the same origin and terminus. A graph with no loops and no multiple edges is called a *combinatorial graph*.

Definition 2.2. Let $\Gamma = (S, Y)$ be a graph. An *orientation* of Γ is a subset Y_+ of Y such that Y is the disjoint union $Y = Y_+ \cup \bar{Y}_+$.

2.1. Paths. A *path* of length $n \geq 1$ in a graph $\Gamma = (S, Y)$, where $S = \{s_i\}_{i \in I}$ is indexed by the set I , is a sequence of edges $p = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ such that $t(y_i) = o(y_{i+1})$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. We will denote such a path in what follows as $p = y_1 \dots y_n$. *Paths of length zero* are just single vertices and will be denoted by $p = [s]$ for $s \in S$.

Let \mathfrak{P} denote the set of all paths in Γ , we can extend the maps o and t to \mathfrak{P} by letting $o(y_1 \dots y_n) = o(y_1)$ and $t(y_1 \dots y_n) = t(y_n)$ and $o([s]) = s = t([s])$. If $s_{i_k} = t(y_k)$, $s_{i_{k-1}} = o(y_k)$, where $i_k \in I$, for $0 \leq k \leq n$, we say that $p = y_1 \dots y_n$ is a path from s_{i_0} to s_{i_n} and that s_{i_0} and s_{i_n} are the *extremities* of the path. If the graph Γ is combinatorial, a path $p = y_1 \dots y_n$ is determined by the extremities of its edges and can be characterized and denoted by its vertices as

$$p = y_1 \dots y_n = [s_{i_0} s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_n}], \quad \text{where } s_{i_k} = t(y_k), s_{i_{k-1}} = o(y_k), \text{ for } i_k \in I, 0 \leq k \leq n.$$

Coxeter graphs are combinatorial and we will use both sets of notation to describe paths in the associated algebras. The graph Γ is *connected* if any two vertices are the extremities of at least one path. If $p = y_1 \dots y_n$ and $y_{i+1} = \bar{y}_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, then the pair (y_i, y_{i+1}) is called a *backtracking*. A path p is a *circuit* if it is a path without backtracking such that $o(p) = t(p)$ and $\ell(p) \geq 1$.

2.2. Trees. If $T \neq \emptyset$ is a connected graph without circuits, T is called a *tree*.

Definition 2.3. A *subgraph* of a graph $\Gamma = (S, Y)$ is a graph $\Gamma' = (S', Y')$ such that $S' \subseteq S$ and $Y' \subseteq Y$, where $\{o(y), t(y) \mid y \in Y'\} \subseteq S'$, and $\bar{Y}' = Y'$. The maps $Y' \rightarrow S' \times S', y \rightarrow (o(y), t(y))$ and $Y' \rightarrow Y', y \rightarrow \bar{y}$ are inherited from Γ .

Given a non-empty connected graph Γ , the set of subgraphs which are trees are partially ordered by inclusion. The union of a chain of trees is a tree and hence the set has a maximal element, (using Zorn's lemma if infinite). Such a maximal element is called a *maximal tree* of Γ .

2.3. Path Algebras. Let $\Gamma = (S, Y)$ be a graph with vertices $S = \{s_i\}_{i \in I}$, edges Y and paths \mathfrak{P} . Given a commutative ring A with identity, the *path algebra of Γ over A* , denoted $A\Gamma$, is an associative A -algebra, with an A -basis of paths in \mathfrak{P} . Multiplication of paths is given by concatenation;

$$(y_1 y_2 \dots y_n)(y'_1 y'_2 \dots y'_m) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t(y_n) \neq o(y'_1) \\ y_1 y_2 \dots y_n y'_1 y'_2 \dots y'_m & \text{if } t(y_n) = o(y'_1) \end{cases}$$

Under this multiplication rule, the paths of length 0, $\{[s_i]\}_{s_i \in S}$, form a set of orthogonal idempotents. If S is finite, then $A\Gamma$ has an identity given by

$$1 = \sum_{s \in S} [s].$$

In what follows, if $f \in A[t]$ and $y \in Y$, we let $f(y\bar{y})$ denote the element of the subring $[o(y)]A\Gamma[o(y)] \subset A\Gamma$ (where the imbedding is non-unital) that we get by replacing t by $y\bar{y}$ and 1_A by $[o(y)]$ in the polynomial f (this is f evaluated at $y\bar{y}$ in the subring $[o(y)]A\Gamma[o(y)]$).

Definition 2.4. Let Y_1 be a subset of Y such that $\overline{Y_1} = Y_1$. We say that a set of polynomials, $\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1} = \{f_y(t)\}_{y \in Y_1}$, in the polynomial ring $A[t]$ has property F if the following conditions are satisfied for each $y \in Y_1$:

- $f_y(t) = th_y(t) - \kappa_y$, where $h_y(t)$ is a non-zero polynomial in $A[t]$ and κ_y is a unit in A .
- $f_y = f_{\bar{y}}$.

Now consider a subset Y_1 of Y , such that $\overline{Y_1} = Y_1$, with associated set of polynomials $\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1} = \{f_y(t)\}_{y \in Y_1}$, where \mathfrak{F}_{Y_1} has property F . We let $I_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1}}$ denote the two-sided ideal of $A\Gamma$ generated by the set of elements $\{f_y(y\bar{y}) \mid y \in Y_1\}$. We let $R_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1}}$ denote the quotient algebra

$$R_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1}} = A\Gamma / I_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1}}.$$

Remarks 2.5.

- Note that each pair $\{y, \bar{y}\} \subset Y_1$ gives two relations in $R_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1}}$, namely $f_y(y\bar{y}) = 0$ and $f_{\bar{y}}(\bar{y}y) = f_y(y\bar{y}) = 0$.
- If the graph has a single vertex, $[s]$, and no edges, that is $\Gamma = (S = \{s\}, Y = \emptyset)$, then $Y_1 = \emptyset$ and the set of generators for the ideal $I_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1}}$ is empty. In this case there is a unital ring isomorphism; $R_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1}} = A[s] \cong A$.
- If the graph, $\Gamma = (S, Y)$, has connected components $\Gamma^j = (S^j, Y^j)$, $j \in J$, then $R_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1}} \cong \bigoplus_{j \in J} R^j$, where $R^j = A\Gamma^j / I_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1 \cap Y^j}}$. This follows from the observations that $A\Gamma = \bigoplus_{j \in J} A\Gamma^j$ and $I_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1}}$ is the direct sum of the ideals $I_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1 \cap Y^j}}$ for $j \in J$.

2.4. Free Products of Rings.

Definition 2.6. (Cohn [1], Section 3) Let K be a field. A K -algebra R is said to be the free product of the K -algebras $\{R_i\}_{i \in I}$ (over K) if $\{R_i\}_{i \in I}$ forms a family of subrings of R such that

- (i) $R_i \cap R_j = K$ for $i \neq j$,
- (ii) if X_i is a set of generators of R_i , $i \in I$, then $\bigcup_I X_i$ is a set of generators of R ,
- (iii) If C_i is a set of defining relations of R_i , $i \in I$ (in terms of the set of generators X_i) then $\bigcup_I C_i$ is a set of defining relations of R (in terms of the set of generators $\bigcup_I X_i$).

We denote the free product of R_1 and R_2 over K by $R_1 *_{K} R_2$ and the free product of the K -algebras $\{R_i\}_{i \in I}$ over K by $(*_K R_i)_{i \in I}$.

Note. The free product of algebras over commutative rings does not always exist. However, it is guaranteed to exist when the base ring is a field, see Cohn [1], Corollary to Theorem 4.7. When it exists, it is isomorphic to the universal product of the rings.

When the graph Γ has a suitable maximal tree, we have the following isomorphism of K -algebras:

Theorem 2.7. (*Pilkington [5], Theorem 4.15, Lemma 4.17 and Corollary 4.18*) Let K be a field and let $\Gamma = (S, Y)$ be a connected graph with a finite number of vertices and edges, and orientation Y_+ , with $Y = Y_+ \cup \overline{Y_+}$. Let $Y_1 \subseteq Y$ with $\overline{Y_1} = Y_1$. Let $\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1} = \{f_y(t)\}_{y \in Y_1}$ be a set of polynomials in $K[t]$ with property F . Let $T = (S, Y^T)$ be a maximal tree for Γ , with edges $Y^T \subseteq Y_1$, and orientation $Y_+^T = Y_+ \cap Y^T$. Then $R_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1}}$ is isomorphic to the matrix ring $M_N(Q)$, where N is the cardinality of S , and Q is the free product of the following rings:

$$\begin{cases} K[t]/\langle f_y(t) \rangle & y \in Y_1 \cap Y_+ \\ K[z_y, z_y^{-1}] & y \in (Y_1 \cap Y_+) \setminus Y_+^T \\ K\langle x_y, \overline{x_y} \rangle & y \in Y_+ \setminus Y_1. \end{cases}$$

3. COXETER SYSTEMS AND ASSOCIATED PATH ALGEBRAS

In this section, we look at the applications of Theorem 2.7 to finite rank Coxeter Systems. In particular, we look at the applications to the finite irreducible Coxeter systems omitted from Pilkington [5], Theorem 5.8, namely $G_2, I_2(n), n > 6, n$ even, $B_n, n \geq 2$ and F_4 .

3.1. Coxeter Systems. A finite rank *Coxeter matrix* is a matrix $M = (m_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}$, with $m_{ii} = 1$ and $m_{ij} = m_{ji} \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2} \cup \{\infty\}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq N$ such that $i \neq j$. For $S = \{s_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq N}$, the corresponding *Coxeter group* is the group with presentation

$$W = \langle s_i (1 \leq i \leq N) \mid (s_k s_l)^{m_{kl}} = 1 \text{ for } s_k, s_l \in S \text{ with } m_{kl} \neq \infty \rangle.$$

The pair (W, S) is called a *Coxeter system* with Coxeter matrix M .

We can associate to a Coxeter system, (W, S) , a graph $\Gamma_{W, S} = (S, Y)$ with vertices $s_i \in S$ and edges $Y = \{y_{ij} \mid i \neq j \text{ and } m_{ij} \geq 3\}$. We have $o(y_{ij}) = [s_i]$, $t(y_{ij}) = [s_j]$, and $\overline{y_{ij}} = y_{ji}$, for all $1 \leq i, j \leq N$ such that $i \neq j$. The *Coxeter graph* of a Coxeter system, (W, S) , has a point for each vertex and a single line representing each edge in the set Y above, with a label m_{ij} for each such edge with $m_{ij} \geq 4$, that is, $m_{ij} = 3$ for the unlabelled edges.

In Figure 1 below, we show the Coxeter graphs of the Coxeter Systems associated to the finite irreducible Coxeter groups, with those associated to the Weyl groups on the left. The graphs associated to the Coxeter Systems of type A_n, B_n and D_n each have n vertices.




FIGURE 1. Coxeter Graphs of the Finite Irreducible Coxeter Groups

We let $\mathfrak{P}_{W,S}$ denote the set of paths in $\Gamma_{W,S}$. Since the graph is combinatorial, one can describe edges and paths in the graph uniquely by the sequence of vertices that they pass through. We will also frequently use y_{ij} to denote the edge $[s_i s_j] \in Y$. We let $P_{W,S}$ denote the path algebra $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma_{W,S}$ and we let $\tilde{P}_{W,S}$ denote the path algebra $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma_{W,S} \cong P_{W,S} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

3.2. The rings $R_{W,S}$ and $\tilde{R}_{W,S}$.

Definition 3.1. For $n \geq 3$, we denote the minimal polynomial of $4 \cos^2 \frac{\pi}{n}$ over \mathbb{Q} by $C_n(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ and we let

$$c_n(t) = \prod_{\substack{N \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 3} \\ N | n}} C_N(t).$$

Remarks 3.2. For all $n \geq 3$, the polynomial $C_n(t)$ is of the form $tg_n(t) - \gamma_n$ for some $g_n(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ and some $\gamma_n \in [Z]$ and the polynomial $c_n(t)$ is of the form $th_n(t) - \kappa_n$ for some $h_n(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ and some $\kappa_n \in [Z]$. (See Pilkington [5], Remark 3.11).

We let $I_{W,S}$ be the two-sided ideal of $P_{W,S}$ generated by the set

$$\{C_{m_{ij}}([s_j s_i s_j]) = C_{m_{ij}}(y_{ji} y_{ij}) \mid (s_i, s_j) \in S \times S, 2 < m_{ij} < \infty\},$$

where $f(y_{ji} y_{ij})$ denotes the evaluation of the polynomial $f(t)$ at $y_{ji} y_{ij}$ in the ring $[s_j] P_{W,S} [s_j]$ (this ring has identity element $[s_j]$). We let $\tilde{I}_{W,S}$ denote the two-sided ideal of $P_{W,S}$ generated by the elements of the union of the sets $\{C_{m_{ij}}(y_{ji} y_{ij}) \mid (s_i, s_j) \in S \times S, 2 < m_{ij} < \infty, m_{ij} \text{ odd}\}$ and $\{y_{ij} C_{m_{ij}}(y_{ji} y_{ij}) \mid (s_i, s_j) \in S \times S, 2 < m_{ij} < \infty, m_{ij} \text{ even}\}$. We define the quotient algebras $R_{W,S} := P_{W,S}/I_{W,S}$ and $\tilde{R}_{W,S} := P_{W,S}/\tilde{I}_{W,S}$ of $P_{W,S}$. We let

$$\widehat{R}_{W,S} = R_{W,S} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } \widehat{\tilde{R}}_{W,S} = \tilde{R}_{W,S} \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

Definition 3.3. For $n \geq 3$, we let $\mathbb{Q}_n = \mathbb{Q}[t]/\langle C_n(t) \rangle$ denote the field extension $\mathbb{Q}\left[4 \cos^2 \left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right)\right]$ of \mathbb{Q} , and we let L_n denote the ring extension of \mathbb{Q} ; $L_n = \mathbb{Q}[t]/\langle c_n(t) \rangle$.

We have

$$L_n \cong \bigoplus_{N \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 3}, N | n} \mathbb{Q}_N, \quad n \geq 3.$$

(See Pilkington [5], Remarks 5.4 for details.)

The application of Pilkington [5], Theorem 4.15 to the Coxeter systems associated to a finite irreducible Coxeter group, gives the following isomorphisms:

Theorem 3.4. (Pilkington [5], Theorem 5.8) *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system with rank N , where W is a finite irreducible Coxeter group. We have the following unital ring isomomorphisms:*

$$\widehat{R}_{W,S} \cong \begin{cases} M_N(\mathbb{Q}) & \text{if } (W, S) \text{ is of type } A_n (n \geq 1), B_n (n \geq 2), D_n (n \geq 4), E_6, E_7, E_8, F_4 \text{ or } G_2, \\ M_N(\mathbb{Q}_5) & \text{if } (W, S) \text{ is of type } H_3, \text{ or } H_4, \\ M_2(\mathbb{Q}_n) & \text{if } (W, S) \text{ is of type } I_2(n), n \geq 5, n \neq 6. \end{cases}$$

We also have unital ring homomorphisms:

$$\widehat{\widehat{R}}_{W,S} \cong \begin{cases} M_N(\mathbb{Q}) & \text{if } (W, S) \text{ is of type } A_n (n \geq 1), D_n (n \geq 4), E_6, E_7 \text{ or } E_8, \\ M_N(\mathbb{Q}_5) & \text{if } (W, S) \text{ is of type } H_3, \text{ or } H_4, \\ M_2(L_n) & \text{if } (W, S) \text{ is of type } I_2(n), n \geq 5, \text{ where } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

For the remaining Weyl groups, with Coxeter systems of type B_n ($n \geq 2$), F_4 and $G_2 = I_2(6)$, and the dihedral groups, with Coxeter systems of type $I_2(n)$, where $n > 6$ and n even, Pilkington [5], Theorem 4.15 does not apply directly to the algebras $\widehat{\widehat{R}}_{W,S}$, due to the lack of an appropriate maximal tree in the graph $\Gamma_{W,S}$. In these particular cases, we can show that $\widehat{\widehat{R}}_{W,S}$ is isomorphic to an algebra of type $R_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1}}$ associated to a graph $\Gamma = (S', Y')$, where Γ is not connected and \mathfrak{F}_{Y_1} is a set of polynomials in $\mathbb{Q}[t]$ with property F. In Pilkington [5], Example 5.9, a special case of this isomorphism was demonstrated for the Coxeter system of type B_4 . Here we demonstrate the isomorphism for a general Coxeter System which encompasses all three of the exceptional types of Coxeter systems.

3.3. The Algebra $\widehat{\widehat{R}}_{W,S}$ associated to Coxeter Systems of Type B_n ($n \geq 2$), F_4 , G_2 , and $I_2(n)$, where $n > 6$. Consider a Coxeter system, (W, S) , with $(n + m + 2) \times (n + m + 2)$ Coxeter matrix of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 2 & \cdots & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & \cdots & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 & 3 & \cdots & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & \cdots & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & \cdots & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & \cdots & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & \cdots & 1 & 3 & 2 & 2 & \cdots & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & \cdots & 3 & 1 & a & 2 & \cdots & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & \cdots & 2 & a & 1 & 3 & \cdots & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & \cdots & 2 & 2 & 3 & 1 & \cdots & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & \cdots & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & \cdots & 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & \cdots & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & \cdots & 3 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & \cdots & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & \cdots & 2 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

where a is an even integer greater than or equal to 4.

The associated graph, $\Gamma_{W,S} = (S, Y)$, where $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, s_{n+1}, t_{m+1}, t_m, \dots, t_1\}$, with the order corresponding to the matrix entries, is shown below.

$$s_1 \xrightarrow{3} s_2 \xrightarrow{3} s_3 \cdots s_n \xrightarrow{3} s_{n+1} \xrightarrow{a} t_{m+1} \xrightarrow{3} t_m \cdots t_3 \xrightarrow{3} t_2 \xrightarrow{3} t_1$$

The geometric edges of the graph $\Gamma_{W,S}$, $\{\{s_i, s_{i+1}\}, \{t_j, t_{j+1}\}, \{s_{n+1}, t_{m+1}\}, \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$, are labelled with the corresponding entries from the Coxeter matrix. The diagram below represents the orientation

$$Y_+ = \{y_i = [s_i, s_{i+1}], z = [s_{n+1}, t_{m+1}], x_j = [t_j, t_{j+1}] \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\}.$$

$$s_1 \xrightarrow{y_1} s_2 \xrightarrow{y_2} s_3 \cdots s_n \xrightarrow{y_n} s_{n+1} \xrightarrow{z} t_{m+1} \xleftarrow{x_m} t_m \cdots t_3 \xleftarrow{x_2} t_2 \xleftarrow{x_1} t_1$$

We have $Y = Y_+ \cup \overline{Y_+}$.

We have that $\widehat{I}_{W,S}$ is the two-sided ideal of $\widehat{P}_{W,S}$ generated by the set of elements

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} c_3(y_i \overline{y_i}) = y_i \overline{y_i} - [s_i], \quad \overline{z} c_a(z \overline{z}) = \overline{z}(h(z \overline{z}) - k) = \overline{z}(z \overline{z} h_a(z \overline{z}) - k[s_{n+1}]), \quad c_3(x_j \overline{x_j}) = x_j \overline{x_j} - [s_j], \\ c_3(\overline{y_i} y_i) = \overline{y_i} y_i - [s_{i+1}], \quad z c_a(\overline{z} z) = z(h(\overline{z} z) - k[t_{m+1}]) = z(\overline{z} z h_a(\overline{z} z) - k), \quad c_3(\overline{x_j} x_j) = \overline{x_j} x_j - [s_{j+1}] \end{array} \right\}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m$.

Let $\Gamma = (S', Y')$ be the graph, shown in Figure 2, below with vertices

$$S' = \{s'_i, t'_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq n+1, 1 \leq j \leq m+1\} \cup \{s''_i, t''_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq n+1, 1 \leq j \leq m+1\}$$

and edges $Y' = Y'_+ \cup \overline{Y'_+}$ where $Y'_+ = \{y'_i, y''_i, x'_j, x''_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\} \cup \{z'\}$ (see Figure 3 below).

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccc} s'_1 & \xrightarrow{3} & s'_2 & \xrightarrow{3} & s'_3 & \cdots & s_n & \xrightarrow{3} & s'_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{a} & t'_{m+1} & \xrightarrow{3} & t'_m & \cdots & t'_3 & \xrightarrow{3} & t'_2 & \xrightarrow{3} & t'_1 \\ s''_1 & \xrightarrow{3} & s''_2 & \xrightarrow{3} & s''_3 & \cdots & s_n & \xrightarrow{3} & s''_{n+1} & & t''_{m+1} & \xrightarrow{3} & t''_m & \cdots & t''_3 & \xrightarrow{3} & t''_2 & \xrightarrow{3} & t''_1 \end{array}$$

FIGURE 2. Graph of $\Gamma = (S', Y')$.

The edges corresponding to the orientation Y'_+ are shown in Figure 3 below. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have $o(y'_i) = s'_i$, $t(y'_i) = s'_{i+1}$, $o(y''_i) = s''_i$ and $t(y''_i) = s''_{i+1}$. For $1 \leq j \leq m$, we have $o(x'_j) = t'_j$, $t(x'_j) = t'_{j+1}$, $o(x''_j) = t''_j$ and $t(x''_j) = t''_{j+1}$. We have $o(z') = s'_{n+1}$, $t(z') = t'_{n+1}$.

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccc} s'_1 & \xrightarrow{y'_1} & s'_2 & \xrightarrow{y'_2} & s'_3 & \cdots & s_n & \xrightarrow{y'_n} & s'_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{z'} & t'_{m+1} & \xleftarrow{x'_m} & t'_m & \cdots & t'_3 & \xleftarrow{x'_2} & t'_2 & \xleftarrow{x'_1} & t'_1 \\ s''_1 & \xrightarrow{y''_1} & s''_2 & \xrightarrow{y''_2} & s''_3 & \cdots & s_n & \xrightarrow{y''_n} & s''_{n+1} & & t''_{m+1} & \xleftarrow{x''_m} & t''_m & \cdots & t''_3 & \xleftarrow{x''_2} & t''_2 & \xleftarrow{x''_1} & t''_1 \end{array}$$

FIGURE 3. The orientation Y'_+ on the graph $\Gamma = (S', Y')$.

The graph $\Gamma = (S', Y')$, has three connected components, $\Gamma_{\dot{S}, \dot{Y}}, \Gamma_{\ddot{S}, \ddot{Y}}$ and $\Gamma_{\ddot{S}', \ddot{Y}'}$, where the vertices are given by $\dot{S} = \{s'_i, t'_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq n+1, 1 \leq j \leq m+1\}$, $\ddot{S} = \{s''_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n+1\}$ and $\ddot{S}' = \{t'_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq m+1\}$ respectively and the edges are given by $\dot{Y} = \{y'_i, \overline{y'_i}, x'_j, \overline{x'_j}, z', \overline{z'} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$, $\ddot{Y} = \{y''_i, \overline{y''_i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ and $\ddot{Y}' = \{x''_j, \overline{x''_j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq m\}$ respectively.

We let $Y_1 = Y'$ and we let $\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1} = \{f_y(t) \mid y \in Y_1\}$, where $f_y(t) = f_{\overline{y}}(t)$, is the set of polynomials such that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{y'_i}(t) = f_{x'_j}(t) &= C_3(t), & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m, \\ f_{y''_i}(t) = f_{x''_j}(t) &= C_3(t), & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m, \\ f_{z'}(t) = c_a(t) &= \prod_{\substack{N \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 3} \\ N \mid a}} C_N(t). \end{aligned}$$

The set of polynomials \mathfrak{F}_{Y_1} has property F by Remark 3.2. By Remarks 2.5 and Theorem 2.7, we get a unital ring isomorphism

$$R_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1}} \cong M_{m+n+2}(L_a) \oplus M_n(\mathbb{Q}) \oplus M_m(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Theorem 3.5. *Let the graphs $\Gamma_{W,S}$ and Γ , and their corresponding algebras $\widehat{R}_{W,S}$ and $R_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1}}$ be as defined above. Then we have unital ring isomorphisms:*

$$\widehat{R}_{W,S} \cong R_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1}} \cong M_{m+n+2}(L_a) \oplus M_n(\mathbb{Q}) \oplus M_m(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Proof. We let p^* denote the coset $p + \widehat{I}_{W,S} \in \widehat{R}_{W,S}$ for $p \in \widehat{P}_{W,S}$, and we let q^\dagger denote the coset $q + I_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1}} \in R_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1}}$ for $q \in \mathbb{Q}\Gamma$ throughout. We define homomorphisms $\Theta : \widehat{R}_{W,S} \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1}}$ and $\Omega : R_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1}} \rightarrow \widehat{R}_{W,S}$ and show that $\Theta = \Omega^{-1}$, which will prove the result.

The Homomorphism $\Theta : \widehat{R}_{W,S} \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1}}$. We let $\theta : \widehat{P}_{W,S} \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1}}$ be defined on the vertices and edges of $\Gamma_{W,S}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta([s_i]) &= [s'_i]^\dagger + [s''_i]^\dagger & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n+1, & \theta(z) = (z')^\dagger, \\ \theta([t_j]) &= [t'_j]^\dagger + [t''_j]^\dagger & \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq m+1, & \theta(\overline{z}) = (\overline{z'})^\dagger, \\ \theta(y_i) &= (y'_i)^\dagger + (y''_i)^\dagger, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n, & \theta(\overline{y_i}) = (\overline{y'_i})^\dagger + (\overline{y''_i})^\dagger, \\ \theta(x_j) &= (x'_j)^\dagger + (x''_j)^\dagger, & \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq m, & \theta(\overline{x_j}) = (\overline{x'_j})^\dagger + (\overline{x''_j})^\dagger, \end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to see that the set $\{\theta(s_i), \theta(t_j) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n+1, 1 \leq j \leq m+1\}$ is a set of mutually orthogonal idempotents in $R_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1}}$. It is also easy to check that products of vertices and edges in $\widehat{P}_{W,S}$ are mapped to the product of their images by θ . Therefore, θ is a well defined homomorphism from $\widehat{P}_{W,S}$ to $R_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1}}$. Applying θ to the generators of $\widehat{I}_{W,S}$. We see that for $1 \leq i \leq n$;

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(y_i \overline{y_i} - [s_i]) &= ((y'_i)^\dagger + (y''_i)^\dagger)((\overline{y'_i})^\dagger + (\overline{y''_i})^\dagger) - ([s'_i]^\dagger + [s''_i]^\dagger) \\ &= (y'_i)^\dagger (\overline{y'_i})^\dagger - [s'_i]^\dagger + (y''_i)^\dagger (\overline{y''_i})^\dagger - [s''_i]^\dagger \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similar calculations give that $\theta(\overline{y_i} y_i - [s_{i+1}]) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\theta(x_j \overline{x_j} - [t_j]) = 0$ and $\theta(\overline{x_j} x_j - [t_{j+1}]) = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(\overline{z} c_a(z \overline{z})) &= (\overline{z'})^\dagger (c_a(z' \overline{z'}))^\dagger = 0, \\ \theta(z c_a(\overline{z} z)) &= (z')^\dagger (c_a(\overline{z'} z'))^\dagger = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have $\widehat{I}_{W,S} \subseteq \ker \theta$, and the quotient homomorphism $\Theta : \widehat{R}_{W,S} \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1}}$ which sends p^* to $\theta(p)$ for $p \in \widehat{P}_{W,S}$, is well defined.

The Homomorphism $\Omega : R_{\mathfrak{S}_{Y_1}} \rightarrow \widehat{R}_{W,S}$. Let $\omega : \mathbb{Q}\Gamma \rightarrow \widehat{R}_{W,S}$ be defined on the vertices and edges of Γ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega([s'_{n+1}]) &= h(z\bar{z})^*/k, & \omega([s''_{n+1}]) &= [s_{n+1}]^* - \omega([s'_{n+1}]), & \omega(z') &= z^*, \\ \omega([t'_{m+1}]) &= h(\bar{z}z)^*/k, & \omega([t''_{m+1}]) &= [t_{m+1}]^* - \omega([t'_{m+1}]), & \omega(\bar{z}') &= \bar{z}^*, \end{aligned}$$

and for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$, we let

$$\begin{aligned} \omega([s'_i]) &= y_i^* \omega([s'_{i+1}]) \bar{y}_i^*, & \omega([s''_i]) &= y_i^* \omega([s''_{i+1}]) \bar{y}_i^*, \\ \omega([t'_j]) &= x_j^* \omega([t'_{j+1}]) \bar{x}_j^*, & \omega([t''_j]) &= x_j^* \omega([t''_{j+1}]) \bar{x}_j^*, \\ \omega(y'_i) &= \omega([s'_i]) y_i^* \omega([s'_{i+1}]), & \omega(\bar{y}'_i) &= \omega([s'_{i+1}]) \bar{y}_i^* \omega([s'_i]), \\ \omega(y''_i) &= \omega([s''_i]) y_i^* \omega([s''_{i+1}]), & \omega(\bar{y}''_i) &= \omega([s''_{i+1}]) \bar{y}_i^* \omega([s''_i]), \\ \omega(x'_j) &= \omega([t'_j]) x_j^* \omega([t'_{j+1}]), & \omega(\bar{x}'_j) &= \omega([t'_{j+1}]) \bar{x}_j^* \omega([t'_j]), \\ \omega(x''_j) &= \omega([t''_j]) x_j^* \omega([t''_{j+1}]), & \omega(\bar{x}''_j) &= \omega([t''_{j+1}]) \bar{x}_j^* \omega([t''_j]). \end{aligned}$$

In order to show that ω gives a well defined homomorphism from $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma$ to $\widehat{R}_{W,S}$, we need to show that the map preserves the relations in the path algebra. In our calculations, we will use the relations on the images of the edges in $\widehat{R}_{W,S}$ listed below;

$$\begin{aligned} (c_3(y_i \bar{y}_i))^* &= y_i^* \bar{y}_i^* - [s_i]^* = 0, & (c_3(\bar{y}_i y_i))^* &= \bar{y}_i^* y_i^* - [s_{i+1}]^* = 0, \\ (3.1) \quad \bar{z}^* (c_a(z\bar{z}))^* &= \bar{z}^* (h(z\bar{z}) - k[s_{n+1}])^* = 0, & z^* (c_a(\bar{z}z))^* &= z^* (h(\bar{z}z) - k[t_{m+1}])^* = 0, \\ (c_3(x_j \bar{x}_j))^* &= x_j^* \bar{x}_j^* - [s_j]^* = 0, & (c_3(\bar{x}_j x_j))^* &= \bar{x}_j^* x_j^* - [s_{j+1}]^* = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$.

The following remarks will also be useful throughout our calculations:

Remarks 3.6. (1) For $1 \leq i \leq n+1$, $1 \leq j \leq m+1$,

$$\begin{aligned} [s_i]^* \omega([s'_i]) &= \omega([s'_i]) [s_i]^* = \omega([s'_i]), & [t_j]^* \omega([t'_j]) &= \omega([t'_j]) [t_j]^* = \omega([t'_j]), \\ [s_i]^* \omega([s''_i]) &= \omega([s''_i]) [s_i]^* = \omega([s''_i]), & [t_j]^* \omega([t''_j]) &= \omega([t''_j]) [t_j]^* = \omega([t''_j]). \end{aligned}$$

This follows from the definition of $\omega([s'_i])$, $\omega([s''_i])$, $\omega([t'_j])$ and $\omega([t''_j])$

(2) For $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ we have that

$$(3.2) \quad \omega([s''_i]) = [s_i]^* - \omega([s'_i])$$

This is true by definition for $i = n+1$. Using induction, we assume that Equation 3.2 holds for all l such that $i+1 \leq l \leq n+1$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega([s''_i]) &= y_i^* \omega([s''_{i+1}]) \bar{y}_i^* \\ &= y_i^* ([s_{i+1}]^* - \omega([s'_{i+1}])) \bar{y}_i^* \\ &= y_i^* [s_{i+1}]^* \bar{y}_i^* - y_i^* \omega([s'_{i+1}]) \bar{y}_i^* \\ &= [s_i]^* - \omega([s'_i]) \end{aligned}$$

(from the definition of $\omega([s'_i])$ and the relations listed in (3.1).

A similar calculation gives that

$$\omega([t''_j]) = [t_j]^* - \omega([t'_j])$$

for $1 \leq j \leq m+1$.

(3)

$$((h(z\bar{z}))^*)^2 = k(h(z\bar{z}))^*.$$

We have $c_a(t) = h(t) - k = th_a(t) - \kappa$ where $h_a(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$. From 3.1 we have identities $0 = (\bar{z}c_a(z\bar{z}))^* = (\bar{z}(h(z\bar{z}) - k[s_{n+1}]))^*$ and $0 = (zc_a(\bar{z}z))^* = (z(h(\bar{z}z) - k[t_{m+1}]))^*$ in the algebra $\widehat{\widehat{R}}_{W,S}$. Thus we have the following:

$$((h(z\bar{z}))^*)^2 = (z\bar{z}h_a(z\bar{z})h(z\bar{z}))^* = (h_a(z\bar{z})z\bar{z}h(z\bar{z}))^* = (h_a(z\bar{z})z\bar{z}k[s_{n+1}])^* = k(h(z\bar{z}))^*.$$

Likewise we have that

$$((h(\bar{z}z))^*)^2 = k(h(\bar{z}z))^*.$$

We first show that $\{\omega([s'_i]), \omega([s''_i]), \omega([t'_j]), \omega([t''_j]) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n+1, 1 \leq j \leq m+1\}$, are a set of mutually orthogonal idempotents in $\widehat{\widehat{R}}_{W,S}$. Using the definition of ω and Remarks 3.6(3), we see that

$$(\omega([s'_{n+1}]))^2 = ((h(z\bar{z}))^*)^2 / k^2 = k(h(z\bar{z}))^* / k^2 = (h(z\bar{z}))^* / k = \omega([s'_{n+1}]).$$

By a similar calculation, we have that $\omega([t'_{m+1}])$ is also an idempotent.

We use induction to show that $\omega([s'_i])$ is an idempotent for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Assuming that $\omega([s'_l])$ is an idempotent for all l such that $i+1 \leq l \leq n+1$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega([s'_i]))^2 &= y_i^* \omega([s'_{i+1}]) \bar{y}_i^* y_i^* \omega([s'_{i+1}]) \bar{y}_i^* \text{ (from the definition of } \omega) \\ &= y_i^* \omega([s'_{i+1}]) [s_{i+1}]^* \omega([s'_{i+1}]) \bar{y}_i^* \text{ (using the relations listed in (3.1))} \\ &= y_i^* \omega([s'_{i+1}]) \bar{y}_i^* \text{ (from Remarks 3.6 (1))} \\ &= \omega([s'_i]) \text{ (from the definition of } \omega). \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way, we see that $\omega([t'_j])$ is an idempotent for $1 \leq j \leq m$.

Applying Remarks 3.6 (1) and (2) to $\omega(s''_i)$, where $1 \leq i \leq n+1$, we get

$$(\omega(s''_i))^2 = ([s_i]^* - \omega(s'_i))^2 = [s_i]^* - [s_i]^* \omega(s'_i) - \omega(s'_i) [s_i]^* + (\omega(s'_i))^2 = [s_i]^* - \omega(s'_i) = \omega(s''_i).$$

Similarly, $(\omega(t''_j))^2 = \omega(t''_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq m+1$. Using Remarks 3.6 (2), we show that for a given i with $1 \leq i \leq n+1$, $\omega([s'_i])$ and $\omega([s''_i])$ are orthogonal;

$$\begin{aligned} \omega([s'_i])\omega([s''_i]) &= \omega([s'_i])([s'_i] - \omega([s'_i])) \\ &= \omega([s'_i]) - (\omega([s'_i]))^2 \text{ (by Remarks 3.6 (1))} \\ &= \omega([s'_i]) - \omega([s'_i]) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we get that $\omega([s''_i])\omega([s'_i]) = 0$ and therefore, $\omega([s'_i])$ and $\omega([s''_i])$ are orthogonal idempotents for any given i with $1 \leq i \leq n+1$. By symmetry or by similar routine calculations, we see that $\omega([t'_j])$ and $\omega([t''_j])$ are orthogonal idempotents for $1 \leq j \leq m+1$. The remaining verifications of mutual orthogonality for the set of idempotents,

$$\mathfrak{S} = \{\omega([s'_i]), \omega([s''_i]), \omega([t'_j]), \omega([t''_j]) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n+1, 1 \leq j \leq m+1\},$$

can be checked by comparing the origin and terminus of the paths in the coset representatives used in their definitions.

That the product of a given vertex and edge in $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma$ is mapped to the product of the images in $\widehat{\widehat{R}}_{W,S}$ by ω now follows easily from the definition of ω on the edges, and the fact that the set \mathfrak{S}

above is a set of mutually orthogonal idempotents. Therefore, we see that ω gives us a well defined homomorphism $\omega : \mathbb{Q}\Gamma \rightarrow \widehat{\widehat{R}}_{W,S}$.

Looking at the behavior of ω on the generators of $I_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1}}$, we have

$$\omega(c_a(z'\overline{z'})) = \omega(h(z'\overline{z'}) - k[s'_{n+1}]) = (h(z'\overline{z'}))^* - k(h(z'\overline{z'}))^* / k = 0.$$

Similarly $\omega(c_a(\overline{z'}z')) = 0$.

For $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(C_3(y'_i \overline{y'_i})) &= \omega((y'_i \overline{y'_i}) - [s'_i]) \\ &= \omega([s'_i]) y_i^* \omega([s'_{i+1}]) \overline{y_i}^* \omega([s'_i]) - \omega([s'_i]) \\ &= \omega([s'_i]) y_1^* \omega([s'_{i+1}]) \overline{y_i}^* \omega([s'_i]) - \omega([s'_i]) \\ &= \omega([s'_i]) \omega([s'_i]) \omega([s'_i]) - \omega([s'_i]) \quad (\text{from the definition of } \omega([s'_i])) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Similar calculations yield that $\omega(C_3(y''_i \overline{y''_i})) = 0$, $\omega(C_3(x'_j \overline{x'_j})) = 0$ and $\omega(C_3(x''_j \overline{x''_j})) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m$.

For $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(C_3(\overline{y'_i} y'_i)) &= \omega((\overline{y'_i} y'_i) - [s'_{i+1}]) \\ &= \omega([s'_{i+1}]) \overline{y_i}^* \omega([s'_i]) \omega([s'_i]) y_i^* \omega([s'_{i+1}]) - \omega([s'_{i+1}]) \\ &= \omega([s'_{i+1}]) \overline{y_i}^* \omega([s'_i]) y_i^* \omega([s'_{i+1}]) - \omega([s'_{i+1}]) \\ &= \omega([s'_{i+1}]) \overline{y_i}^* y_i^* \omega([s'_{i+1}]) \overline{y_i}^* y_i^* \omega([s'_{i+1}]) - \omega([s'_{i+1}]) \quad (\text{from the definition of } \omega([s'_i])) \\ &= \omega([s'_{i+1}])^3 - \omega([s'_{i+1}]) \quad (\text{from the relations listed in (3.1)}) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Similar calculations yield that $\omega(C_3(\overline{y''_i} y''_i)) = 0$, $\omega(C_3(\overline{x'_j} x'_j)) = 0$ and $\omega(C_3(\overline{x''_j} x''_j)) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m$.

This gives us that $I_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1}} \subseteq \ker \omega$ and that the quotient map $\Omega : R_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1}} \rightarrow \widehat{\widehat{R}}_{W,S}$ which sends $p + I_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1}}$ to $\omega(p)$ in $\widehat{\widehat{R}}_{W,S}$ is well defined.

The Homomorphism $\Omega \circ \Theta : \widehat{\widehat{R}}_{W,S} \rightarrow \widehat{\widehat{R}}_{W,S}$. We check that the map $\Omega \circ \Theta$ is the identity map on the generators of $\widehat{\widehat{R}}_{W,S}$. We have $\Omega \circ \Theta(z^*) = \Omega((z')^\dagger) = z^*$. Similarly, $\Omega \circ \Theta(\overline{z}^*) = \Omega((\overline{z'})^\dagger) = \overline{z}^*$.

For $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega \circ \Theta(y_i^*) &= \Omega((y'_i)^\dagger) + \Omega((y''_i)^\dagger) \\ &= \omega([s'_i]) y_i^* \omega([s'_{i+1}]) + \omega([s''_i]) y_i^* \omega([s''_{i+1}]) \\ &= y_i^* \omega([s'_{i+1}]) \overline{y_i}^* y_i^* \omega([s'_{i+1}]) + y_i^* \omega([s''_{i+1}]) \overline{y_i}^* y_i^* \omega([s''_{i+1}]) \quad (\text{from the definition of } \omega([s'_i]) \text{ and } \omega([s''_i])) \\ &= y_i^* \omega([s'_{i+1}]) [s_{i+1}]^* \omega([s'_{i+1}]) + y_i^* \omega([s''_{i+1}]) [s_{i+1}]^* \omega([s''_{i+1}]) \quad (\text{by the relations listed in (3.1)}) \\ &= y_i^* \omega([s'_{i+1}]) + y_i^* \omega([s''_{i+1}]) \quad (\text{by Remarks 3.6 (1)}) \\ &= y_i^* (\omega([s'_{i+1}]) + [s_{i+1}]^* - \omega([s'_{i+1}])) \quad (\text{by Remarks 3.6 (2)}) \\ &= y_i^* [s_{i+1}]^* \\ &= y_i^*. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, using the relations (3.1)) and Remarks 3.6, we get for $1 \leq i \leq n$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega \circ \Theta(\overline{y_i}^*) &= \Omega((\overline{y'_i})^\dagger) + \Omega((\overline{y''_i})^\dagger) \\
&= \omega([s'_{i+1}])\overline{y_i}^* \omega([s'_i]) + \omega([s''_{i+1}])\overline{y_i}^* \omega([s''_i]) \\
&= \omega([s'_{i+1}])\overline{y_i}^* y_i^* \omega([s'_{i+1}])\overline{y_i}^* + \omega([s''_{i+1}])\overline{y_i}^* y_i^* \omega([s''_{i+1}])\overline{y_i}^* \\
&= \omega([s'_{i+1}])[s_{i+1}]^* \omega([s'_{i+1}])\overline{y_i}^* + \omega([s''_{i+1}])[s_{i+1}]^* \omega([s''_{i+1}])\overline{y_i}^* \\
&= \omega([s'_{i+1}])\overline{y_i}^* + \omega([s''_{i+1}])\overline{y_i}^* \\
&= [s_{i+1}]^* \overline{y_i}^* = \overline{y_i}^*.
\end{aligned}$$

By symmetry (or by a similar calculation), one gets that $\Omega \circ \Theta(x_j^*) = x_j^*$ and $\Omega \circ \Theta(\overline{x_j}^*) = \overline{x_j}^*$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$.

Using Remarks 3.6 (2), we see that for $1 \leq i \leq n+1$, we have

$$\Omega \circ \Theta([s_i]^*) = \Omega([s'_i]^\dagger + [s''_i]^\dagger) = \omega([s'_i]) + \omega([s''_i]) = \omega([s'_i]) + [s_i]^* - \omega([s'_i]) = [s_i]^*.$$

Similarly $\Omega \circ \Theta([t_j]^*) = [t_j]^*$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$.

Thus, since $\Omega \circ \Theta$ is a homomorphism, which acts as the identity on all of the generators of $\widehat{R}_{W,S}$, it is the identity homomorphism on that algebra.

The Homomorphism $\Theta \circ \Omega : R_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1}} \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1}}$. We check that $\Theta \circ \Omega$ acts as the identity on the generators of $R_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1}}$.

First we look at the action of $\Theta \circ \Omega$ on the cosets associated to the vertices of the graph Γ ,

$$S' = \{[s'_i]^\dagger, [s''_i]^\dagger, [t'_j]^\dagger, [t''_j]^\dagger \mid 1 \leq i \leq n+1, 1 \leq j \leq m+1\}.$$

We have,

$$\Theta \circ \Omega([s'_{n+1}]^\dagger) = \Theta((h(z\overline{z}))^* / k) = (h(z'\overline{z'}))^* / k = k[s'_{n+1}]^\dagger / k = [s'_{n+1}]^\dagger.$$

By a similar calculation, we have $\Theta \circ \Omega([t'_{m+1}]^\dagger) = [t'_{m+1}]^\dagger$.

For $s'_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ we use induction. Assuming that $\Theta \circ \Omega([s'_l]^\dagger) = [s'_l]^\dagger$ for $i+1 \leq l \leq n+1$, we have that

$$\Theta \circ \Omega([s'_i]^\dagger) = \Theta(y_i^* \omega([s'_{i+1}])\overline{y_i}^*) = (y'_i + y''_i)^\dagger [s'_{i+1}]^\dagger (\overline{y'_i} + \overline{y''_i})^\dagger = (y'_i)^\dagger [s'_{i+1}]^\dagger (\overline{y'_i})^\dagger = [s'_i]^\dagger.$$

A similar calculation gives that $\Theta \circ \Omega([t'_j]^\dagger) = [t'_j]^\dagger$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$.

For $1 \leq i \leq n+1$, by Remarks 3.6 (2), we have

$$\Theta \circ \Omega([s''_i]^\dagger) = \Theta([s_i]^* - \omega([s'_i]^\dagger)) = [s'_i]^\dagger + [s''_i]^\dagger - \Theta \circ \Omega([s'_i]^\dagger) = [s'_i]^\dagger + [s''_i]^\dagger - [s'_i]^\dagger = [s''_i]^\dagger.$$

Similarly, we see that $\Theta \circ \Omega([t''_j]^\dagger) = [t''_j]^\dagger$ for $1 \leq j \leq m+1$.

Next we look at the action of $\Theta \circ \Omega$ on the cosets associated to the edges of Γ . We get that

$$\Theta \circ \Omega((z')^\dagger) = \Theta(z^*) = (z')^\dagger \text{ and } \Theta \circ \Omega((\overline{z'})^\dagger) = \Theta(\overline{z}^*) = (\overline{z'})^\dagger.$$

For $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta \circ \Omega((y'_i)^\dagger) &= \Theta(\omega([s'_i])y_i^* \omega([s'_{i+1}])) \\
&= (\Theta \circ \Omega([s'_i]^\dagger))((y'_i)^\dagger + (y''_i)^\dagger)(\Theta \circ \Omega([s'_{i+1}]^\dagger)) \\
&= [s'_i]^\dagger((y'_i)^\dagger + (y''_i)^\dagger)[s'_{i+1}]^\dagger \\
&= [s'_i]^\dagger(y'_i)^\dagger[s'_{i+1}]^\dagger = (y'_i)^\dagger.
\end{aligned}$$

Similar calculations show that for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\Theta \circ \Omega((\overline{y_i'})^\dagger) = (\overline{y_i'})^\dagger$, $\Theta \circ \Omega((y_i'')^\dagger) = (y_i'')^\dagger$ and $\Theta \circ \Omega((\overline{y_i''})^\dagger) = (\overline{y_i''})^\dagger$. Using symmetry, or performing similar calculations, for $1 \leq j \leq m$, we have $\Theta \circ \Omega((x_j')^\dagger) = (x_j')^\dagger$, $\Theta \circ \Omega((\overline{x_j'})^\dagger) = (\overline{x_j'})^\dagger$, $\Theta \circ \Omega((x_j'')^\dagger) = (x_j'')^\dagger$ and $\Theta \circ \Omega((\overline{x_j''})^\dagger) = (\overline{x_j''})^\dagger$.

Since $\Theta \circ \Omega$ acts as the identity on the generators of $R_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1}}$, it acts as the identity map on the algebra $R_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1}}$. Therefore, the maps $\Theta : \widehat{\widehat{R}}_{W,S} \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1}}$ and $\Omega : R_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1}} \rightarrow \widehat{\widehat{R}}_{W,S}$ are inverse homomorphisms, and we have unital algebra isomorphisms:

$$\widehat{\widehat{R}}_{W,S} \cong R_{\mathfrak{F}_{Y_1}} \cong M_{n+m+2}(L_a) \oplus M_{n+1}(\mathbb{Q}) \oplus M_{m+1}(\mathbb{Q}).$$

□

Corollary 3.7. *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system. We have the following unital ring isomorphisms:*

$$\widehat{\widehat{R}}_{W,S} \cong \begin{cases} M_2(L_a) \oplus \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q} & \text{if } (W, S) \text{ is of type } I_2(a), a > 6, \text{ where } a \text{ is even,} \\ M_p(\mathbb{Q}) \oplus M_{p-1}(\mathbb{Q}) \oplus \mathbb{Q} & \text{if } (W, S) \text{ is of type } B_p, p \geq 2, \\ M_4(\mathbb{Q}) \oplus M_2(\mathbb{Q}) \oplus M_2(\mathbb{Q}) & \text{if } (W, S) \text{ is of type } F_4, \end{cases}$$

(where $L_n \cong \bigoplus_{N \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 3}, N|n} \mathbb{Q}_N$, $n \geq 3$ is a direct sum of field extensions of \mathbb{Q}).

Proof. Case 1: If (W, S) is a Coxeter system of type $I_2(a)$, $a \geq 6$, a even, we can apply Theorem 3.5 with $n = 0$ and $m = 0$. This gives that

$$\widehat{\widehat{R}}_{W,S} \cong M_2(L_a) \oplus \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}.$$

Case 2: If (W, S) is a Coxeter system of type B_p , $p \geq 2$, we can apply Theorem 3.5 with $a = 4$, $n = p-2$ and $m = 0$. This gives that

$$\widehat{\widehat{R}}_{W,S} \cong M_p(L_4) \oplus M_{p-1}(\mathbb{Q}) \oplus \mathbb{Q} \cong M_p(\mathbb{Q}) \oplus M_{p-1}(\mathbb{Q}) \oplus \mathbb{Q},$$

since $L_4 \cong \mathbb{Q}$.

Case 3: If (W, S) is a Coxeter system of type F_4 we can apply Theorem 3.5 with $a = 4$, $n = 1$ and $m = 1$. This gives that

$$\widehat{\widehat{R}}_{W,S} \cong M_4(L_4) \oplus M_2(\mathbb{Q}) \oplus M_2(\mathbb{Q}) \cong M_4(\mathbb{Q}) \oplus M_2(\mathbb{Q}) \oplus M_2(\mathbb{Q}).$$

□

We summarize the results on the finite irreducible Coxeter groups as follows:

Theorem 3.8. *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system with rank N , where W is a finite irreducible Coxeter group. We have the following unital ring isomorphisms:*

$$\widehat{\widehat{R}}_{W,S} \cong \begin{cases} M_N(\mathbb{Q}) & \text{if } (W, S) \text{ is of type } A_n(n \geq 1), B_n(n \geq 2), D_n(n \geq 4), E_6, E_7, E_8, F_4 \text{ or } G_2, \\ M_N(\mathbb{Q}_5) & \text{if } (W, S) \text{ is of type } H_3, \text{ or } H_4, \\ M_2(\mathbb{Q}_n) & \text{if } (W, S) \text{ is of type } I_2(n), n \geq 5, n \neq 6, \end{cases}$$

(where \mathbb{Q}_n is the field extension of \mathbb{Q} obtained by adjoining $4\cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right)$)
and

$$\widehat{\widehat{R}}_{W,S} \cong \begin{cases} M_N(\mathbb{Q}) & \text{if } (W, S) \text{ is of type } A_n (n \geq 1), D_n (n \geq 4), E_6, E_7 \text{ or } E_8, \\ M_N(\mathbb{Q}_5) & \text{if } (W, S) \text{ is of type } H_3, \text{ or } H_4, \\ M_2(L_n) & \text{if } (W, S) \text{ is of type } I_2(n), n \geq 5, \text{ where } n \text{ is odd,} \\ M_2(L_n) \oplus \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q} & \text{if } (W, S) \text{ is of type } I_2(n), n \geq 6, \text{ where } n \text{ is even,} \\ M_n(\mathbb{Q}) \oplus M_{n-1}(\mathbb{Q}) \oplus \mathbb{Q} & \text{if } (W, S) \text{ is of type } B_n, n \geq 2, \\ M_4(\mathbb{Q}) \oplus M_2(\mathbb{Q}) \oplus M_2(\mathbb{Q}) & \text{if } (W, S) \text{ is of type } F_4, \end{cases}$$

(where $L_n \cong \bigoplus_{N \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 3}, N|n} \mathbb{Q}_N$, $n \geq 3$ is a direct sum of field extensions of \mathbb{Q}).

DECLARATIONS

Ethical Approval Not Applicable.

Funding Not Applicable.

Availability of Data and Materials Not Applicable.

REFERENCES

- [1] Cohn, P. M. On The Free Product of Associative Rings, Math. Zeitschr. 71, 380-398 (1959).
- [2] Dyer, M. Root systems over non-commutative rings, Preprint, 2020.
- [3] Lusztig, G., Hecke algebras with unequal parameters, CRM Monograph Series, 18 (2003), American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI.
- [4] Pilkington, A., On reflection representations of Coxeter groups over non-commutative rings, Communications in Algebra, (2023) 51:11, 4567-4596, doi = 10.1080/00927872.2023.2213347.
- [5] Pilkington, A., Subregular J-Rings as Matrix Rings, Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, To Appear.
- [6] Serre, J. P., translated by John Stillwell. *Trees*. Springer Verlag, 1980.
- [7] Xu, T., On the subregular J -rings of Coxeter systems, Algebr. Represent. Theory Vol. 22, No. 6 (2019)1479-1512.