

ABSENCE OF TORSION IN ORBIT SPACE

SAMPAT SHARMA

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13C10, 19B10, 13H99

Keywords: Unimodular rows, Suslin matrices

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove that if R is a local ring of dimension d , $d \geq 2$ and $\frac{1}{d!} \in R$ then the group $\frac{Um_{d+1}(R[X])}{E_{d+1}(R[X])}$ has no k -torsion, provided $kR = R$. We also prove that if R is a regular ring of dimension d , $d \geq 2$ and $\frac{1}{d!} \in R$ such that $E_{d+1}(R)$ acts transitively on $Um_{d+1}(R)$ then $E_{d+1}(R[X])$ acts transitively on $Um_{d+1}(R[X])$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this article, we assume R to be a commutative noetherian ring with $1 \neq 0$ unless stated otherwise.

In [14], J. Stienstera, using the ideas of S. Bloch in [2] showed that $NK_1(R)$ is a $W(R)$ -module where $NK_1(R) = \text{Ker}(K_1(R[X]) \rightarrow K_1(R)); X = 0$ and $W(R)$ is the ring of big Witt vectors. Consequently, as noted by C. Weibel in [18], $SK_1(R[X])$ has no k -torsion if $kR = R$ and R is a commutative local ring. Note that $SK_1(R[X])$ coincides with $NK_1(R)$ for a commutative local ring R . In [1], R. Basu simplified J. Stienstera's approach of big Witt vector and proved that $NK_1(R)$ has no k -torsion for an associative ring R with $kR = R$.

In ([3, Theorem 2.2]), J. Fasel proved that for a non-singular affine algebra R of dimension $d \geq 3$ over a perfect field K of cohomological dimension atmost 1, the universal Mennicke symbol $MS_{d+1}(R)$ is uniquely p divisible for p prime to the characteristic of K . Using results of J. Fasel ([3, Theorem 2.1]) and W. van der Kallen ([10, Theorem 4.1]), Ravi Rao and Selby Jose in [8] concluded that for a non-singular affine algebra R of dimension $d \geq 3$ over a perfect field K , $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$, of cohomological dimension atmost 1, the orbit space $\frac{Um_{d+1}(R)}{E_{d+1}(R)}$ is uniquely p divisible for p prime to the characteristic of K .

In ([19, Corollary 7.4]), L.N Vaserstein proves that if R is a local ring of dimension 2, in which 2 is invertible then $\frac{Um_3(R[X])}{E_3(R[X])} \simeq W_E(R[X])$. Here $W_E(R[X])$ denotes the elementary symplectic Witt group which has been defined in section 2. Using Karoubi's linearization technique, in [13], Rao-Swan proved that $W_E(R[X]) \hookrightarrow SK_1(R[X])$ is an injective group homomorphism for a local ring R with $2R = R$. Using Weibel's result in [18], Rao-Swan (for a proof see [8]), proved that $\frac{Um_3(R[X])}{E_3(R[X])}$ has no 2-torsion for a local ring of dimension 2 with $2R = R$.

In this article, using the theory of Suslin matrices, we generalise the result of Rao–Swan for any d -dimensional local ring, $d \geq 2$. We prove that :

Theorem 1.0.1. *Let R be a local ring of dimension d and let $\frac{1}{d!} \in R$, then the group $\frac{Um_{d+1}(R[X])}{E_{d+1}(R[X])}$ has no k -torsion, provided $kR = R$.*

Using Ravi Rao’s result ([12, Theorem 2.4]), we define a map $\phi : \frac{Um_{d+1}(R[X])}{E_{d+1}(R[X])} \longrightarrow SK_1(R[X])$. Weibel’s result that $SK_1(R[X])$ has no k -torsion for a local ring R with $kR = R$, ensures that the map ϕ is well defined. Next we use a result of Anuradha Garge and Ravi Rao ([4, Corollary 2.4]), to establish that ϕ is an injective map. Using the fact that $[v] \mapsto [S_n(v, w)]$ is a Mennicke symbol, one proves that ϕ is a group homomorphism. Here $S_n(v, w)$ denotes the Suslin matrix which has been defined in section 2.

As an application of the Theorem 1.0.1, we answer a question raised by Ravi Rao and Selby Jose in [8]. One can define an injective map from orbit space to the quotient group $SO_{2(d+1)}(R[X])/EO_{2(d+1)}(R[X])$. We prove that

Corollary 1.0.2. *Let R be a local ring of dimension d , $d = 2k$, $k \geq 1$, $\frac{1}{d!} \in R$. Then the map*

$$\varphi : \frac{Um_{d+1}(R[X])}{E_{d+1}(R[X])} \longrightarrow \frac{SO_{2(d+1)}(R[X])}{EO_{2(d+1)}(R[X])}$$

is injective.

In [16], A. A. Suslin proved that for a noetherian ring of dimension d , $E_{d+2}(R[X])$ acts transitively on $Um_{d+2}(R[X])$ for $d \geq 1$. As an application of Theorem 1.0.1, we improve this bound upto $d + 1$ in certain rings. We prove that :

Corollary 1.0.3. *Let R be a regular ring of dimension d , $d \geq 2$ and $\frac{1}{d!} \in R$ such that $E_{d+1}(R)$ acts transitively on $Um_{d+1}(R)$ then $E_{d+1}(R[X])$ acts transitively on $Um_{d+1}(R[X])$.*

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

A row $v = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_r) \in R^{r+1}$ is said to be unimodular if there is a $w = (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_r) \in R^{r+1}$ with $\langle v, w \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^r a_i b_i = 1$ and $Um_{r+1}(R)$ will denote the set of unimodular rows (over R) of length $r + 1$.

The group of elementary matrices is a subgroup of $GL_{r+1}(R)$, denoted by $E_{r+1}(R)$, and is generated by the matrices of the form $e_{ij}(\lambda) = I_{r+1} + \lambda E_{ij}$, where $\lambda \in R$, $i \neq j$, $1 \leq i, j \leq r + 1$, $E_{ij} \in M_{r+1}(R)$ whose ij^{th} entry is 1 and all other entries are zero. The elementary linear group $E_{r+1}(R)$ acts on the rows of length $r + 1$ by right multiplication. Moreover, this action takes unimodular rows to unimodular rows : $\frac{Um_{r+1}(R)}{E_{r+1}(R)}$ will denote set of orbits of this action, and we shall denote by $[v]$ the equivalence class of a row v under this equivalence relation.

Definition 2.0.1. Essential dimension: Let R be a ring whose maximal spectrum $\text{Max}(R)$ is a finite union of subsets V_i , where each V_i , when endowed with the (topology induced from the) Zariski topology is a space of Krull dimension d . We shall say R is essentially of dimension d in such a case.

In ([9, Theorem 3.6]), W. van der Kallen derives an abelian group structure on $\frac{Um_{d+1}(R)}{E_{d+1}(R)}$ when R is of essential dimension d , for all $d \geq 2$. We will denote the group operation in this group by $*$.

2.1. Abelian group structure on $\frac{Um_n(R)}{E_n(R)}$ for $\text{sdim}(R) \leq 2n - 4$.

Definition 2.1.1. Stable range condition $sr_n(R)$: We shall say stable range condition $sr_n(R)$ holds for an associative ring R if for any $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1}) \in Um_{n+1}(R)$ there exists $c_i \in R$ such that

$$(a_1 + c_1 a_{n+1}, a_2 + c_2 a_{n+1}, \dots, a_n + c_n a_{n+1}) \in Um_n(R).$$

Definition 2.1.2. Stable range $sr(R)$, Stable dimension $\text{sdim}(R)$: The stable range of an associative ring R is defined to be the least integer n such that $sr_n(R)$ holds. Stable range of R is denoted by $sr(R)$. The stable dimension of R is defined by $\text{sdim}(R) = sr(R) - 1$.

Definition 2.1.3. Universal weak Mennicke symbol $\text{WMS}_n(R)$, $n \geq 2$: We define the universal weak Mennicke symbol on $\frac{Um_n(R)}{E_n(R)}$ by a set map $wms : \frac{Um_n(R)}{E_n(R)} \rightarrow \text{WMS}_n(R)$, $[v] \mapsto wms(v)$ to a group $\text{WMS}_n(R)$. The group $\text{WMS}_n(R)$ is the free abelian group generated by $wms(v)$, $v \in Um_n(R)$ modulo the following relations

- $wms(v) = wms(v\varepsilon)$ if $\varepsilon \in E_n(R)$.
- If $(q, v_2, \dots, v_n), (1 + q, v_2, \dots, v_n) \in Um_n(R)$ and $r(1 + q) \equiv q \pmod{(v_2, \dots, v_n)}$, then

$$wms(q, v_2, \dots, v_n) = wms(r, v_2, \dots, v_n)wms(1 + q, v_2, \dots, v_n), \text{ for } r \in R.$$

We recall ([10, Theorem 4.1]).

Theorem 2.1.4. (W. van der Kallen) Let R be a ring of stable dimension d , $d \leq 2n - 4$ and $n \geq 3$. Then the universal weak Mennicke symbol $wms : \frac{Um_n(R)}{E_n(R)} \rightarrow \text{WMS}_n(R)$ is bijective.

In view of above theorem $\frac{Um_n(R)}{E_n(R)}$ gets a group structure for $d \leq 2n - 4$, $n \geq 3$. We will denote group operation in $\frac{Um_n(R)}{E_n(R)}$ by $*$. In ([10, Lemma 3.5]), W. van der Kallen proves various revealing formulae in this group. Let us recall some of these;

- $[(x_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)] * [(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)] = [(v_1(x_1 + w_1) - 1, (x_1 + w_1)v_2, \dots, v_n)]$, where w_1 is such that $\sum_{i=1}^n v_i w_i = 1$, for some $w_i \in R$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. In particular $[(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)]^{-1} = [(-w_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)]$.
- $[(x_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)] * [(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)]^{-1} = [(1 - w_1(x_1 - v_1), (x_1 - v_1)v_2, \dots, v_n)]$.
- $[(x_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)] * [(v_1^2, v_2, \dots, v_n)] = [(x_1 v_1^2, v_2, \dots, v_n)]$.

2.2. Mennicke–Newman Lemma. We note Mennicke–Newman lemma proved by W. van der Kallen in ([11, Lemma 3.2]):

Lemma 2.2.1. Let R be a commutative ring with $\text{sdim}(R) \leq 2n - 3$. Let $v, w \in Um_n(R)$. Then there exists $\varepsilon, \delta \in E_n(R)$ and $x, y, a_i \in R$ such that for $i = 2, \dots, n$

$$v\varepsilon = (x, a_2, \dots, a_n), w\delta = (y, a_2, \dots, a_n), x + y = 1.$$

2.3. L.N Vaserstein's power operation χ_k on $\frac{Um_r(R)}{E_r(R)}$, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}, r \geq 3$. In [20], L.N. Vaserstein has shown that taking k^{th} power of a co-ordinate is a well defined operation χ_k on $\frac{Um_r(R)}{E_r(R)}$, $r \geq 3$, for any commutative ring R . Let $\overset{E}{\sim}$ denote equivalence under the elementary group $E_r(A)$. Thus,

- $(v_1, \dots, v_i^k, \dots, v_r) \overset{E}{\sim} (v_1, \dots, v_j^k, \dots, v_r)$, for all $1 \leq i, j \leq r, k \geq 0$.
- $(v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, w_i, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_r) \overset{E}{\sim} (v_1, \dots, v_{j-1}, w_j, v_{j+1}, \dots, v_r)$, for all $1 \leq i, j \leq r$, where $\sum_{i=1}^r v_i w_i = 1$, for some $w_i \in R$.

2.4. On the equality of $\chi_k([v])$ with $[v]^k, k \in \mathbb{N}$. W. van der Kallen in ([10, Section 4]), gives an example to illustrate that, in general, $(v_1^k, v_2, \dots, v_n) \notin [v]^k$, where $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$. A cause for this anomaly was pointed out by Ravi A. Rao in [12] – Antipodal unimodular rows may not coincide up to an elementary action. Following ([12, Lemma 1.3.1]), we prove the following :

Lemma 2.4.1. *Let $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) \in Um_n(R)$, where $sdim(R) = d, d \leq 2n - 4$. Let us assume that $v \overset{E}{\sim} (-v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$ (equivalently, $\chi_{-1}([v]) = [v]^{-1}$), then $(v_1^k, v_2, \dots, v_n) \in [v]^k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof : Let $\sum_{i=1}^n v_i w_i = 1$, for some $w_i \in R, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Note that we can then write $v_1^2 w_1^2 + \sum_{i=2}^n v_i w_i' = 1$ for some $w_i' \in R$, and $2 \leq i \leq n$. Now

$$[(w_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)] = \chi_{-1}([v]) = \chi_{-1}([(-v_1, \dots, v_n)]) = [(-w_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)].$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} [v] &= [(-w_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)]^{-1} = [(v_1^2 w_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)] \\ &= [(w_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)] * [(v_1^2, v_2, \dots, v_n)] \\ &= [(-w_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)] * [(v_1^2, v_2, \dots, v_n)] \\ &= [v]^{-1} * [(v_1^2, v_2, \dots, v_n)]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $[v]^2 = [(v_1^2, v_2, \dots, v_n)]$. It is now easy to check, via the group identities in $\frac{Um_n(R)}{E_n(R)}$ that $[(v_1^k, v_2, \dots, v_n)] = [v]^k$, for all $k > 2$. □

2.5. The elementary symplectic Witt group $W_E(R)$. If $\alpha \in M_r(R), \beta \in M_s(R)$ are matrices then $\alpha \perp \beta$ denotes the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{bmatrix} \in M_{r+s}(R)$. ψ_1 will denote $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in E_2(\mathbb{Z})$, and ψ_r is inductively defined by $\psi_r = \psi_{r-1} \perp \psi_1 \in E_{2r}(\mathbb{Z})$, for $r \geq 2$.

A skew-symmetric matrix whose diagonal elements are zero is called an alternating matrix. If $\phi \in M_{2r}(R)$ is alternating then $\det(\phi) = (\text{pf}(\phi))^2$, where pf is a polynomial (called the Pfaffian) in the matrix elements with coefficients ± 1 . Note that we need to fix a sign in the choice of pf, and hence we insist $\text{pf}(\psi_r) = 1$ for all r . For any $\alpha \in M_{2r}(R)$ and any alternating matrix $\phi \in M_{2r}(R)$ we have $\text{pf}(\alpha^t \phi \alpha) = \text{pf}(\phi) \det(\alpha)$. For alternating matrices ϕ, ψ it is easy to check that $\text{pf}(\phi \perp \psi) = (\text{pf}(\phi))(\text{pf}(\psi))$.

Two matrices $\alpha \in M_{2r}(R), \beta \in M_{2s}(R)$ are said to be equivalent (w.r.t. $E(R)$) if there exists a matrix $\varepsilon \in SL_{2(r+s+l)}(R) \cap E(R)$, such that $\alpha \perp \psi_{s+l} = \varepsilon^t (\beta \perp \psi_{r+l}) \varepsilon$, for some l . Denote this by $\alpha \overset{E}{\sim} \beta$. Thus $\overset{E}{\sim}$ is an equivalence relation; denote by $[\alpha]$ the orbit of α under this relation.

It is easy to see ([19, p. 945]) that \perp induces the structure of an abelian group on the set of all equivalence classes of alternating matrices with Pfaffian 1. This group is called elementary symplectic Witt group and is denoted by $W_E(R)$.

2.6. The Suslin matrices. First recall the Suslin matrix $S_r(v, w)$. These were defined by Suslin in ([15, Section 5]). We recall his inductive process : Let $v = (a_0, v_1), w = (b_0, w_1)$, where $v_1, w_1 \in M_{1,r}(R)$. Set $S_0(v, w) = a_0$ and set

$$S_r(v, w) = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 I_{2^{r-1}} & S_{r-1}(v_1, w_1) \\ -S_{r-1}(w_1, v_1)^T & b_0 I_{2^{r-1}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The process is reversible and given a Suslin matrix $S_r(v, w)$ one can recover the associated rows v, w , i.e. the pair (v, w) .

In [17], Suslin proves that if $\langle v, w \rangle = v \cdot w^T = 1$, then by row and column operations one can reduce $S_r(v, w)$ to a matrix $\beta_r(v, w) \in SL_{r+1}(R)$, whose first row is $(a_0, a_1, a_2^2 \cdots, a_r^r)$. This in particular proves that rows of such type can be completed to an invertible matrix of determinant one. We call any such $\beta_r(v, w)$ to be a compressed Suslin matrix.

2.7. Relative elementary groups.

Definition 2.7.1. Let I be an ideal of a ring R . A unimodular row $v \in Um_n(R)$ which is congruent to e_1 modulo I is called unimodular relative to ideal I . Set of unimodular rows relative to ideal I will be denoted by $Um_n(R, I)$.

Let I be an ideal of a ring R , we shall denote by $GL_n(R, I)$ the kernel of the canonical mapping $GL_n(R) \rightarrow GL_n(R/I)$. Let $SL_n(R, I)$ denotes the subgroup of $GL_n(R, I)$ consisting of elements of determinant 1.

Definition 2.7.2. The relative groups $E_n(I)$, $E_n(R, I)$: Let I be an ideal of R . The elementary group $E_n(I)$ is the subgroup of $E_n(R)$ generated as a group by the elements $e_{ij}(x)$, $x \in I$, $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$. The relative elementary group $E_n(R, I)$ is the normal closure of $E_n(I)$ in $E_n(R)$.

Definition 2.7.3. Excision ring : Let R be a ring and I be an ideal of R . The excision ring $R \oplus I$, has coordinate wise addition and multiplication are given as follows:

$$(r, i).(s, j) = (rs, rj + si + ij), \text{ where } r, s \in R \text{ and } i, j \in I.$$

The multiplicative identity of this group is $(1, 0)$ and the additive identity is $(0, 0)$.

Lemma 2.7.4. ([5, Lemma 4.3]) *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring. Then the excision ring $R \oplus I$ with respect to a proper ideal $I \subsetneq R$ is also a local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \oplus I$.*

Definition 2.7.5. For two commutative rings B and D , we say a ring homomorphism $\phi : B \rightarrow D$ has a section if there exists a ring homomorphism $\gamma : D \hookrightarrow B$ so that $\phi \circ \gamma$ is the identity on D . We shall also say D is a retract of B .

The following lemma is an easy consequence of ([6, Lemma 4.3, Chapter 3]) :

Lemma 2.7.6. (A. Suslin) *Let B, D be rings and $\pi : B \twoheadrightarrow D$ be a section. If $J = \ker(\pi)$, then $E_n(B, J) = E_n(B) \cap SL_n(B, J)$, $n \geq 3$.*

Remark 2.7.7. Let R be a ring and I be an ideal of R . There is a natural homomorphism $\omega : R \oplus I \rightarrow R$ given by $(x, i) \mapsto x + i \in R$. Clearly ω has a section. Let $v = (1 + i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \in Um_n(R, I)$ where i_j 's are in I . Then we shall call $\tilde{v} = ((1, i_1), (0, i_2), \dots, (0, i_n)) \in Um_n(R \oplus I, 0 \oplus I)$ to be a lift of v . Note that ω sends \tilde{v} to v .

3. ABSENCE OF TORSION IN $\frac{Um_{d+1}(R[X])}{E_{d+1}(R[X])}$

In ([19, Corollary 7.4]), L.N Vaserstein proves that if R is a local ring of dimension 2, in which 2 is invertible then $\frac{Um_3(R[X])}{E_3(R[X])} \simeq W_E(R[X])$. Since, $W_E(R[X]) \hookrightarrow SK_1(R[X])$ (See Corollary 3.0.3) for a local ring R with $2R = R$, one gets $\frac{Um_3(R[X])}{E_3(R[X])}$ has no 2-torsion for a local ring of dimension 2. In this section we generalise this result for any d -dimension local ring, $d \geq 2$, via a different approach.

We first collect some known results which will be used in this section.

Proposition 3.0.1. ([18, Section 3]) *Let R be a local ring. Assume that $kR = R$. Then $SK_1(R[X])$ has no k -torsion.*

Next we recall an observation of Rao-Swan in [13], about a result of Karoubi, and its consequence, proofs can be found in ([4, Lemma 4.2, Corollary 4.3]).

Lemma 3.0.2. (Karoubi) *Let $\phi + NX$, with $\phi \in GL_{2k}(R), N \in M_{2k}(R)$, be a linear invertible alternating polynomial matrix over R . If $2R = R$, then $\phi + NX = W(X)^t(\phi^{-1})^tW(X)$, where $W(X) = \phi(Id + \phi^{-1}NX)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.*

Corollary 3.0.3. (Rao-Swan) *Let R be a local ring with 1, in which $2R = R$. Then the natural map $W_E(R[X]) \rightarrow SK_1(R[X])$ is an injective group homomorphism.*

Proof : For a proof see ([4, Corollary 4.3]). □

Corollary 3.0.4. *Let R be a local ring and $kR = R$. Then $W_E(R[X])$ does not have any k -torsion.*

Proof : It follows from Proposition 3.0.1 and Corollary 3.0.3. □

Theorem 3.0.5. ([12, Theorem 2.4]) *Let R be a local ring of dimension $d \geq 2$. Let $v \in Um_{d+1}(R[X])$. If $\frac{1}{d!} \in R$ then $v \stackrel{E}{\sim} (w_0^{d!}, w_1, \dots, w_d)$ for some $(w_0, \dots, w_d) \in Um_{d+1}(R[X])$.*

Remark 3.0.6. In general, if R is a local ring of dimension $d, d \geq 2, 2mR = R$ and $v \in Um_{d+1}(R[X])$. Then one can similarly prove that

$$v \stackrel{E_{d+1}(R[X])}{\sim} (u_1^{2m}, u_2, \dots, u_{d+1})$$

for some $(u_1, \dots, u_{d+1}) \in Um_{d+1}(R[X])$.

Theorem 3.0.7. *Let R be a commutative ring with 1, $sdim(R) \leq 2n - 2, \frac{1}{n!} \in R$ and $n \geq 2$. Assume that*

- (1) *For all $v \in Um_{n+1}(R), v \stackrel{E_{n+1}(R)}{\sim} (w_0^{n!}, w_1, \dots, w_n)$.*

- (2) If $\beta_n(v, w) \in SL_{n+1}(R) \cap E_m(R)$, $m \geq n + 2$ then $[e_1\beta_n(v, w)] = [e_1]$.
 (3) $SK_1(R)$ has no k -torsion, if $kR = R$.

Then, for some w with $\langle e_1\sigma, w \rangle = 1$, $\sigma \in SL_{n+1}(R)$, the map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \frac{Um_{n+1}(R)}{E_{n+1}(R)} &\longrightarrow SK_1(R) \\ [v] = [e_1\sigma]^{n!} &\longmapsto [S_n(e_1\sigma, w)] \end{aligned}$$

is a well-defined injective group homomorphism. Hence the group $\frac{Um_{n+1}(R)}{E_{n+1}(R)}$ has no k -torsion.

Proof : Well-defined: In view of the hypothesis (1), for any $v \in Um_{n+1}(R)$, there exists $v' \in Um_{n+1}(R)$, such that $[v] = \chi_{n!}([v'])$. Since every $v' \in Um_{n+1}(R)$ is completable, so by Lemma 2.4.1, $[v] = [e_1\sigma]^{n!}$, for some $\sigma \in SL_{n+1}(R)$.

If $v', v'' \in Um_{n+1}(R)$ be such that $[v] = [v']^{n!} = [v'']^{n!}$. By hypothesis (1), there exists $\tau, \tau' \in SL_{n+1}(R)$ such that $[v] = [e_1\tau]^{n!} = [e_1\tau']^{n!}$. By ([7, Lemma 3.2]), $[S_n(e_1\tau^{n!}, w')] = [S_n(e_1\tau'^{n!}, w'')]$. Since $[v] \longmapsto [S_n(v, w)]$ is a Mennicke symbol and using Lemma 2.4.1, we have $[S_n(e_1\tau, w')]^{n!} = [S_n(e_1\tau', w'')]^{n!}$. Since $SK_1(R)$ does not have any $(n!)$ -torsion, we have $[S_n(e_1\tau, w')] = [S_n(e_1\tau', w'')]$. Therefore the map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \frac{Um_{n+1}(R)}{E_{n+1}(R)} &\longrightarrow SK_1(R) \\ [v] = [e_1\sigma]^{n!} &\longmapsto [S_n(e_1\sigma, w)] \end{aligned}$$

for some $w \in Um_{n+1}(R)$ with $(e_1\sigma) \cdot w^t = 1$, is well-defined.

Injectivity: Let $v = [e_1\sigma]^{n!}$ be such that $[S_n(e_1\sigma, w)] = 1$ in $SK_1(R)$. By ([21, Theorem 3.2]), $\beta_n(e_1\sigma, w) \in SL_{n+1}(R) \cap E(R)$. By hypothesis (2), $[v] = [e_1\sigma]^{n!} = [e_1]$. Thus ϕ is injective.

Homomorphism: Let $v, w \in Um_{n+1}(R)$ be such that $[v] = [e_1\sigma]^{n!}$ and $[w] = [e_1\tau]^{n!}$ for some matrices $\sigma, \tau \in SL_{n+1}(R)$. In view of Mennicke-Newman lemma (Lemma 2.2.1), we may assume that $[e_1\sigma] = [a, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ and $[e_1\tau] = [b, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$. Now, in view of Lemma 2.4.1, we have $[e_1\sigma]^{n!} = [a^{n!}, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ and $[e_1\tau]^{n!} = [b^{n!}, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$. Thus by ([9, Theorem 3.16(iii)]), we have $[v] * [w] = [(ab)^{n!}, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$.

Now, by hypothesis (1), there exists $\tau' \in SL_{n+1}(R)$ be such that $[e_1\tau'] = [ab, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi([v] * [w]) &= [S_n(e_1\tau', w')] \\ &= [S_n((ab, x_1, \dots, x_n), w')]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $v \longmapsto S_n(v, w)$ is a Mennicke symbol, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi([v] * [w]) &= [S_n((ab, x_1, \dots, x_n), w')] \\ &= [S_n((a, x_1, \dots, x_n), w_1)][S_n((b, x_1, \dots, x_n), w_2)] \\ &= [S_n(e_1\sigma, w_1)][S_n(e_1\tau, w_2)] \\ &= \varphi([v])\varphi([w]). \end{aligned}$$

It shows that there is an injective group homomorphism

$$\frac{Um_{n+1}(R)}{E_{n+1}(R)} \hookrightarrow SK_1(R).$$

Since $SK_1(R)$ does not have any k -torsion, $\frac{Um_{n+1}(R)}{E_{n+1}(R)}$ has no k -torsion. \square

Corollary 3.0.8. *Let R be a local ring of dimension $d, d \geq 2$ and let $\frac{1}{d!} \in R$, then $\frac{Um_{d+1}(R[X])}{E_{d+1}(R[X])}$ has no k -torsion, provided $kR = R$.*

Proof : It follows from Theorem 3.0.7 as all the hypothesis are satisfied in view of Theorem 3.0.5, ([4, Corollary 2.2]), and Proposition 3.0.1 respectively. \square

Lemma 3.0.9. *Let R be a local ring of dimension $d \geq 2, \frac{1}{d!} \in R$ and I be a proper ideal in R . Let $v \in Um_{d+1}(R[X], I[X])$. Then $\frac{Um_{d+1}(R[X], I[X])}{E_{d+1}(R[X], I[X])}$ has no k -torsion, provided $kR = R$.*

Proof : Let us assume that $[v]^k \in E_{d+1}(\widetilde{R[X], I[X]}) e_1$. Let $\tilde{v} \in Um_{d+1}((R \oplus I)[X], (0 \oplus I)[X])$. Here \tilde{v} is defined according to Remark 2.7.7. In view of Lemma 2.7.4 and ([9, Lemma 3.19]), $R \oplus I$ is a local ring of dimension d . By Corollary 3.0.8, the group $\frac{Um_{d+1}((R \oplus I)[X])}{E_{d+1}((R \oplus I)[X])}$ has no k -torsion. Thus there exists $\varepsilon \in E_{d+1}((R \oplus I)[X])$ such that $\tilde{v}\varepsilon = e_1$. Going modulo $0 \oplus I$, we have $e_1\bar{\varepsilon} = e_1, \bar{\varepsilon} \in SL_{d+1}(R[X])$. Now replacing ε by $\varepsilon(\bar{\varepsilon})^{-1}$ and using Lemma 2.7.6, we may assume that $\varepsilon \in E_{d+1}((R \oplus I)[X], (0 \oplus I)[X])$ satisfying $\tilde{v}\varepsilon = e_1$. Now applying ω to last equation we get $v\varepsilon_1 = e_1$ for some $\varepsilon_1 \in E_{d+1}(R[X], I[X])$ which proves that $\frac{Um_{d+1}(R[X], I[X])}{E_{d+1}(R[X], I[X])}$ has no k -torsion. \square

Corollary 3.0.10. *Let R be a local ring of dimension $d, d = 2m, m \geq 1, \frac{1}{d!} \in R$. Then the map*

$$\varphi : \frac{Um_{d+1}(R[X])}{E_{d+1}(R[X])} \longrightarrow \frac{SO_{2(d+1)}(R[X])}{EO_{2(d+1)}(R[X])}$$

is injective.

Proof : Let $[v] \in \frac{Um_{d+1}(R[X])}{E_{d+1}(R[X])}$ such that $\varphi([v]) \in EO_{2(d+1)}(R[X])$. In view of ([8, Theorem 3.8]), $\chi_2[v] = e_1$. Now, we use Corollary 3.0.8 to conclude that $[v] = e_1$, i.e. φ is injective. \square

4. AN APPLICATION TO COMPLETION OF UNIMODULAR ROWS

In ([16, Theorem 2.6]), A. A. Suslin proved the following result:

Theorem 4.0.1. (A.A. Suslin) *Let R be a noetherian ring of dimension d , then $E_r(R[X])$ acts transitively on $Um_r(R[X])$ for $r \geq \text{Max}(3, d + 2)$.*

As an application of Theorem 3.0.7, we improve the bound to $d + 1$ over regular local rings. We prove :

Corollary 4.0.2. *Let R be a regular local ring of dimension $d, d \geq 2$ and let $\frac{1}{d!} \in R$, then $E_r(R[X])$ acts transitively on $Um_r(R[X])$ for $r \geq \text{Max}(3, d + 1)$.*

Proof : If $r \geq d + 2$ then it follows from Suslin's theorem. Let $r = d + 1$. In view of theorem 3.0.7, there is an injective group homomorphism

$$\varphi : \frac{Um_{d+1}(R[X])}{E_{d+1}(R[X])} \longrightarrow SK_1(R[X]).$$

Since R is a regular local ring $SK_1(R[X]) = 0$. Thus $E_{d+1}(R[X])$ acts transitively on $Um_{d+1}(R[X])$. \square

Corollary 4.0.3. *Let R be a regular ring of dimension $d, d \geq 2, \frac{1}{d!} \in R$ such that $E_{d+1}(R)$ acts transitively on $Um_{d+1}(R)$, then $E_r(R[X])$ acts transitively on $Um_r(R[X])$ for $r \geq \text{Max}(3, d + 1)$.*

Proof : If $r \geq d + 2$ then it follows from Suslin's theorem. Let $r = d + 1$. For any maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of R , in view of corollary 4.0.2, $E_{d+1}(R_{\mathfrak{m}}[X])$ acts transitively on $Um_{d+1}(R_{\mathfrak{m}}[X])$. By Sulsin's local-global principle, we gets $v(X) \underset{E_{d+1}(R[X])}{\sim} v(0)$ for every $v(X) \in Um_{d+1}(R[X])$. Now result follows from our assumption. \square

Corollary 4.0.4. *Let R be a regular finitely generated ring over \mathbb{Z} of dimension $d, d \geq 3, \frac{1}{d!} \in R$. Then $E_{d+1}(R[X])$ acts transitively on $Um_{d+1}(R[X])$.*

Proof : The result follows in view of ([19, Theorem 18.2]) and corollary 4.0.3. \square

Corollary 4.0.5. *Let R be a regular finitely generated algebra over a field K of dimension $d, d \geq 2, \frac{1}{d!} \in R$. Then $E_{d+1}(R[X])$ acts transitively on $Um_{d+1}(R[X])$.*

Proof : The result follows in view of ([19, Theorem 20.5]) and corollary 4.0.3. \square

In ([16, Corollary 2.7]), A.A. Suslin proved the following result:

Corollary 4.0.6. (A.A. Suslin) *Let R be a noetherian ring of dimension d , then the canonical mapping*

$$\varphi : GL_r(R[X]) \longrightarrow K_1(R[X])$$

is an epimorphism for $r \geq d + 1$.

As an application of corollary 4.0.3, we prove :

Corollary 4.0.7. (A.A. Suslin) *Let R be a regular ring of dimension $d, d \geq 2, \frac{1}{d!} \in R$ such that $E_{d+1}(R)$ acts transitively on $Um_{d+1}(R)$, then the canonical mapping*

$$\varphi : GL_r(R[X]) \longrightarrow K_1(R[X])$$

is an epimorphism for $r \geq d + 1$.

Proof : The result is obvious in view of corollary 4.0.3. \square

Acknowledgement: I thank the referee for going through the manuscript with great care. A detailed list of suggestions by the referee improved the exposition considerably.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Basu; *Absence of torsion for $NK_1(R)$ over associative rings*, Journal of Algebra and Its Applications, Vol. 10, No. 04 (2011), pp. 793–799.
- [2] S. Bloch; *Algebraic K-Theory and crystalline cohomology*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. 47 (1977), 187–268.
- [3] J. Fasel; *Mennicke symbols, K-cohomology and a Bass–Kubota theorem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 367(1) (2015) 191–208.
- [4] A. Garge, R.A. Rao; *A nice group structure on the orbit space of unimodular rows*, K-Theory 38 (2008), no. 2, 113–133.
- [5] A. Gupta, A. Garge, R.A. Rao; *A nice group structure on the orbit space of unimodular rows–II*, J. Algebra 407 (2014), 201–223.
- [6] S.K. Gupta, M.P. Murthy; *Suslin’s work on linear groups over polynomial rings and Serre problem*, Indian Statistical Institute, New Delhi.
- [7] S. Jose, R.A. Rao; *A structure theorem for the elementary unimodular vector group*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 358 (2006), no. 7, 3097–3112.
- [8] S. Jose, R.A. Rao; *The orbit set and the orthogonal quotient*, J. Algebra Appl. 15 (2016), no. 6, 1650101, 14 pp.
- [9] W. van der Kallen; *A group structure on certain orbit sets of unimodular rows*, J. Algebra 82 (2) (1983), 363–397.
- [10] W. van der Kallen; *A module structure on certain orbit sets of unimodular rows*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 57 (1989), 657–663.
- [11] W. van der Kallen; *From Mennicke symbols to Euler class groups*, Algebra, arithmetic and geometry, Part I, II (Mumbai, 2000), 341–354, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math., 16, Bombay, 2002.
- [12] R.A. Rao; *The Bass–Quillen conjecture in dimension three but characteristic $\neq 2, 3$ via a question of A. Suslin*, Invent. Math. 93 (1988), no. 3, 609–618.
- [13] R.A. Rao, R.G. Swan; *On some actions of stably elementary matrices on alternating matrices*, see excerpts on the homepage of R.G. Swan at <http://www.math.uchicago.edu/swan/>.
- [14] J. Stienstera; *Operation in the linear K-theory of endomorphisms*, Current Trends in Algebraic Topology, Conf. Proc. Can. Math. Soc. 2 (1982).
- [15] A.A. Suslin; *On stably free modules*, Math. USSR-Sb. 31 (1977), no. 4, 479–491.
- [16] A.A. Suslin; *On the structure of special linear group over polynomial rings*, Math. USSR. Izv. 11 (1977), 221–238.
- [17] A.A. Suslin; *K-theory and K-cohomology of certain group varieties*, Algebraic K-theory, 53–74, Adv. Soviet Math. 4 (1991), Providence, RI.
- [18] C.A. Weibel; *Mayer-Vietoris sequences and module structure on NK_0* , Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Volume 854, Springer (1981), 466–498.
- [19] L.N. Vaserstein, A.A. Suslin; *Serre’s problem on projective modules*, Math. USSR Izv. 10 (1976), no. 5, 937–1001.
- [20] L.N. Vaserstein; *Operations on orbits of unimodular vectors*, J. Algebra 100 (2) (1986), 456–461.
- [21] L.N. Vaserstein; *On the stabilization of the general linear group over a ring*, Mat. Sbornik (N.S.) 79 (121) 405–424 (Russian); English translation in Math. USSR Sbornik. 8 (1969), 383–400.

SAMPAT SHARMA, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH,
 1, DR. HOMI BHABHA ROAD, MUMBAI 400005, INDIA
E-mail: Sampat Sharma <sampat.iiserm@gmail.com; sampat@math.tifr.res.in>